World Journal of Environmental Pollution 2 (1): 01-09, 2012 ISSN 2222-1360 © IDOSI Publications, 2012 DOI: 10.5829/idosi.wjep.2012.2.1.1101

Mineralogical and Geochemical Characterization of Soils in East Rosetta, Egypt

¹M.A. Abdel-Hamid, ²E.M.M. Moussa, ¹M.M. Kamel, ²M.E Darwish and ²Hoda A. Refaie

¹Department of Soil Sciences, Faculty Agriculture Cairo University Giza, Egypt ²Nuclear Materials Authority, Katameya, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract: Seventeen soil samples were collected from East Rosetta area. Based on particle size distribution, the studied samples are classified as sandy, loamy sand, sandy loam, clay loam and sandy clay loam. The studied samples were mineralogically and geochemically studied to identify their mineral compositions and chemical characteristics. In addition, uranium and thorium were determined to evaluate their radioactive behavior. Mineralogically, the heavy mineral fractions of the studied samples contain monazite, zircon, rutile, magnetite, ilmenite, garnet and green silicates (pyroxenes and amphiboles). Geochemically, based on CaO-Na₂O-K₂O and (Fe₂O₃ + MgO), Na₂O and K₂O ternary relationships, most of the studied samples are classified as greywacke with small contribution from arkose composition. Comparing the major oxides and trace elements distribution, the studied sandy and loamy sand samples exhibit high SiO₂ and low MgO compared with sandy loam samples which exhibit high K₂O and Na₂O but depleted in CaO. Sandy clay loam and clay loam samples exhibit high content of Al₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ but depleted in K₂O and P₂O₅ compared to sandy facies.

Key words: East Rosetta %Minerals % Heavy metals % Fractions % Radioactivity

INTRODUCTION

The beach area of Rosetta includes most of the economic minerals reserves in the Egyptian black sands due to its relatively great extension and high grade especially on both sides of the Rosetta distributary mouth [1]. Rosetta black sands are considered as the most important economic mineral resources in Egypt that include ilmenite, magnetite, garnet, zircon, rutile and monazite. These deposits occur as beach sediments and coastal sand dunes.

Ammar *et al.* [2] divided Rosetta beach area into 5 zones. These five distinct zones were characterized by relatively high radioactivity. They stated that, the radioactivity and hence the concentration of the two radioactive minerals, zircon and monazite decreased in general as the distance from Rosetta estuary increased, either eastward or westward. Moustafa *et al.* [3] concerned with the different mineralogical features of the Egyptian beach mineral deposits. Huge sand deposits occur in front of the Nile Delta as submerged bars and shoals, considerd as possible source of economic minerals.

The aim of this work is to study the mineralogical and geochemical characterization in the soils of East Rosetta area, River Nile, Egypt. Additionally, this work includes evaluation of the radioactivity content of the studied soils.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soil Sampling: Seventeen surface soil samples (0-25 cm) were collected from East Rosetta Estuary, Egypt between longitudes 30° 22' - 30° 26' East and latitudes 31° 25' - 31° 28' North (Fig. 1).

Soil Analysis: The collected soil samples were air dried and sieved to pass through a 2mm sieve. Soil particles size distribution was performed according to the pipette method as descried by Dewis and Feritas [4]. Soil pH and electrical conductivity were determined in a soil to water suspension ratio of 1:2.5 [5]. Organic matter content (O.M) was determined according to Walkley and Black method and total carbonates were determined using Collins calcimeter and calculated as CaCO₃ [4]. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by Na-acetate at pH 7 [6].

Corresponding Author: M.A. Abdel- Hamid, Department of Soil Sciences. Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ. Giza, Egypt.



Fig. 1: The location of the studied soil samples

Mineralogical Analysis

Clay Mineral Identification: Bulk soil samples and oriented clay fractions were analyzed using X-ray diffraction technique using PHILIPS PW 3710/31 diffractmeter. The criteria used for clay mineral identification are those mentioned by Wilson [7].

Heavy Liquid Minerals Identification: Five selected soil samples were chosen for heavy liquid separation. Clay fraction was removed using sementation and decantation method. The cleaned samples were subjected to heavy liquid separation using bromoform (sp. gr. 2.88) which separate the light minerals, mainly of quartz and feldspars from the heavy ones.

Geochemical Analysis

Major Oxides and Trace Elements: The studied soil samples were chemically analyzed for their major oxides following the method of Shapiro and Bannock [8]. The trace elements were analyzed using XRF technique (PHILIPS X' Unique-II spectrometer). CaO-Na₂O-K₂O ternary diagram [9] was used for classification of the studied samples. In addition, another ternary relationship between (Fe₂O₃ + MgO), Na O and K O was used [10].

Uranium and Thorium Analysis: Uranium and thorium were determined using a multichannel analysis of (- ray detector (gamma ray spectrometer technique).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physico-Chemical Characteristics of the Studied Soil Samples: Data presented in Table 1 indicate that the soil samples show relatively variation in its texture class: sandy, loamy sand, sandy loam, sandy clay loam and clay loam. pH values range between 7.53 to 8.86. The tested soil samples have slight salinity; the EC values were between 0.45 to 2.6 dS/m. The CaCO₃ contents ranged between 0.72 to 3.31 %. CEC values ranged between 1.76 and 5.84 CmolkG¹ for coarse texture studied soils and between 7.56 and 19.34 CmolkG¹ for medium textured ones.

Mineralogical Investigations

Bulk Samples Analyses: Analysis of the bulk soil samples led to identify the essential minerals in the studied samples. X-ray diffraction data of some selected soil samples from the studied area are listed in Table 2 and presented in Fig. 2. The obtained data indicate that quartz and albite minerals are present in all the studied soil samples. Feldspar are present in samples No. 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 and amphibole in samples No.6, 7, 11, 12 and 16. Calcite is present in sample No. 17, halite in sample No. 2 and mica in sample No. 7.

It was realized that the presence of quartz mineral in all the studied samples reflect the sandy facies. The presence of calcite in sample No. 17 reflect the calcerous nature of this facies while the presence of halite in sample No. 2 indicate the saliferous behaviour of this facies. It is interesting to note that some clay minerals (kaolinite and montmorillonite) were also detected in the bulk samples (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

Clay Fractions Analyses: X-ray Diffraction analysis of the clay fractions ($<2\mu$) for representative soil samples is shown in Table 3 and presented in Fig. 3. The data indicate that the clay fraction of the studied sandy clay loam and clay loam soils (S. No. 1 and 17) contains montmorillonite, kaolinite and illite whereas the clay fraction of sandy loam and sandy soils (S. No. 3 and 7) contains only montmorillonite and kaolinite.

	Particle Siz	e Distribution	1										
				Texture Class			CaCO ₃	O.M	CEC CmolkG ¹				
S.N	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %		EC (ds/m)	PH (1:2.5)	%	ó					
1	46.90	25.11	27.99	Sandy clay loam	1.26	8.07	0.83	0.89	12.70				
2	62.55	17.52	19.93	Sandy loam	2.10	7.53	1.14	0.80	7.56				
3	75.15	12.40	12.45	Sandy loam	1.60	8.21	2.38	0.30	6.83				
4	89.10	5.30	5.60	Loamy sand	2.60	7.94	1.45	0.54	4.69				
5	85.85	7.20	6.95	Loamy sand	0.88	8.41	1.34	0.39	3.58				
6	91.85	4.56	3.59	Sand	0.67	7.40	1.86	0.61	3.88				
7	87.95	6.54	5.51	Sand	1.68	8.86	3.00	0.55	3.86				
8	87.40	7.10	5.50	Sand	1.50	8.72	2.38	0.50	3.00				
9	90.80	5.21	3.99	Sand	0.45	8.40	1.03	0.72	3.44				
10	91.40	4.51	4.09	Sand	1.03	7.72	0.72	0.59	3.23				
11	85.00	6.80	8.20	Loamy sand	0.70	8.28	0.72	0.57	5.24				
12	81.50	8.90	9.60	Loamy sand	0.85	8.01	2.28	0.52	5.84				
13	66.10	16.40	17.50	Sandy loam	1.35	7.96	2.07	0.67	7.09				
14	82.70	8.74	8.56	Loamy sand	0.85	8.52	1.76	0.44	5.16				
15	82.45	8.51	9.04	Loamy sand	1.43	7.85	3.31	0.03	4.58				
16	94.55	3.21	2.24	Sand	0.53	7.91	0.31	0.32	1.76				
17	31.05	34.60	34.35	clay loam	0.70	8.05	3.00	1.09	19.34				

World J. Envir. Pollut., 2 (1): 01-09, 2012

Table 1: General characteristics of the studied samples

Table 2: Minerals detected in the studied bulk soil samples

S.N	Quartz	Albite	Feldspar	Amphibole	Calcite	Halite	Mica	Mont.	Kaol.
1	*		*					*	*
2	*		*			*		*	
3	*								
4	*	*							
5	*	*	*	*					
6	*	*	*	*					
7	*	*	*	*			*		
8	*	*						*	
9	*	*						*	
10	*	*							
11	*			*					
12	*			*				*	
13	*	*							
14	*	*						*	
15	*	*						*	
16	*	*		*					
17	*	*			*			*	

Table 3: Clay minerals composition of the clay fraction (<2 μ)

S.N	Texture	Mont.	Kaol.	Illite
1	S.C.L.	*	*	*
3	S. L.	*	*	
7	S.	*	*	
17	C.L	*	*	*





Fig. 3: X-Ray diffraction patterns of some studied clay fractions ($>2\mu$)

Table 4: Percentages of some heavy minerals in selected soil samples												
S. No.	Total	Amphiboles	Pyroxenes	Ilmenite	Magnetite	Garnet	Zircon	Rutile	Monazite			
1	12	5	3	2.1	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1			
3	9.05	4	2	1.9	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.05			
7	7.45	3.5	1.4	1.7	0.7	0.09	0.05	-	0.05			
17	0.78	0.4	0.1	0.13	0.1	0.05	-	-	-			

World J. Envir. Pollut., 2 (1): 01-09, 2012

-not present



Fig. 4: Plates showing (a: Zircon- b: Monazite- c: Ilminite- d: Leucoxene- e: Rutile-f: Sphene- g: Garnet- h: Gold), X 20

Heavy Liquid Minerals Identification: The heavy bromoform fraction in selected studied soil samples ranged from 0.78 % to 12 % with an average 7.32 %. The minerals encountured in descending order of abundance are amphiboles, pyroxenes, ilmenite, magnetite, garnet, zircon, rutile, monazite, sphene and gold (Table 4). The distribution of the haevy minerals show that amphiboles range from 0.4 % to 5 % with an average 3.23 %, pyroxenes ranges from 0.1 % to 3 %, ilmenite ranges from 0.13 % to 2.1 %, magnetite ranges from 0.1 % to 1.1 %, garnet ranges from 0.05 % to 0.2%, zircon ranges from 0.05 % to 0.3 %, rutile ranges from 0.1% to 0.2 %, monazite ranges from 0.05 % to 0.1%. Sphene and gold found in low proportion. Generally, our results are in good agreement with findings of Dewedar [11] and El Hadary [12].

In the Following, a Breif Description for Each Mineral.

Zircon [**Zr** (**SIO**₄)]: It occurs as euhedral to subhedral pale yellow crystals mostly prismatic of good adamantine luster. Some of the prismatic zircon grains are characterized by bipyramidal terminations (Fig. 4a). The oval, needle, spherical and broken crystals are also observed.

Monazite [(**Ce, La, Nd, Th**) **PO**₄]: Monazite present as euhederal and someimes subhederal, yellow to reddish brown colours, rounded to subrounded crystals (egg-shaped) with resinous luster (Fig. 4b).

Ilminite (FeTiO₃): It presents as angular to subrounded in shape exhibiting smooth or pitted surface with metallic luster (Fig. 4c). Some few grains exhibit rhombohedral forms and iron-black to brownish black colours (Fig. 4.d). Leucoxenation appears on the ilmenite grain surfaces and show different colours as well as their changes in

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
							Major ox	(%) kides								
49.28	46.94	68.97	72.88	67.19	70.19	68.69	69.76	76.80	77.39	72.50	68.50	68.50	73.24	71.06	77.04	56.00
14.98	16.68	8.78	6.38	13.99	9.48	6.99	8.37	6.50	8.57	7.01	9.21	10.14	10.30	10.05	7.04	15.24
8.02	8.31	6.07	6.87	5.91	5.88	4.87	6.07	5.99	5.96	7.21	8.79	6.87	5.43	7.56	6.79	9.00
4.28	4.29	4.38	4.49	5.00	3.68	9.53	5.33	4.07	0.97	4.00	6.15	3.00	4.01	4.00	3.64	5.53
5.44	2.28	1.28	2.46	1.01	3.33	0.18	1.92	0.30	1.61	3.41	0.91	2.52	1.11	1.41	1.92	2.02
1.48	2.99	1.28	1.48	1.40	1.54	1.66	1.34	1.50	1.40	1.47	1.49	1.23	1.50	1.26	1.11	1.40
1.18	2.34	1.08	1.28	1.20	1.36	1.43	1.15	1.29	1.20	1.26	1.28	1.05	1.28	1.10	0.95	1.20
0.48	0.29	0.19	0.37	0.28	0.26	0.14	0.27	0.22	0.20	0.22	0.25	0.29	0.25	0.20	0.19	0.18
15.2	16	7.9	3.7	4.1	4.2	6.6	5.7	3.4	2.6	2.9	3.3	6.4	2.9	3.2	1.5	9.5
						Т	race elen	nents (pp	m)							
481	346	500	684	439	718	471	662	811	390	448	280	401	400	340	296	431
673	359	252	574	607	478	514	564	557	300	585	204	546	207	235	622	697
295	260	324	415	347	299	332	304	379	287	270	342	279	290	320	439	339
371	112	202	206	193	214	192	194	249	160	250	200	225	198	140	137	322
114	94	92	117	109	117	103	114	125	94	99	102	88	84	93	71	98
77	75	59	56	60	75	75	65	70	70	63	61	59	55	61	70	69
30	30	30	30	39	29	25	25	30	26	30	20	30	28	26	26	30
29	29	26	25	21	17	17	24	23	20	28	26	24	25	20	28	29
28	28	25	15	22	17	12	19	17	29	24	24	28	20	20	15	28
						Radi	oactives e	elements	(ppm)							
5	4	4	5	5	3	2	2	3	2	3	4	2	3	4	3	3
6	6	4	5	4	3	2	6	4	5	6	5	4	4	3	6	5
	1 49.28 14.98 8.02 4.28 5.44 1.48 1.18 0.48 15.2 481 673 295 371 114 77 30 29 28 5 6	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 2 \\ \\ 49.28 & 46.94 \\ 14.98 & 16.68 \\ 8.02 & 8.31 \\ 4.28 & 4.29 \\ 5.44 & 2.28 \\ 1.48 & 2.99 \\ 1.18 & 2.34 \\ 0.48 & 0.29 \\ 15.2 & 16 \\ \\ \hline \\ 481 & 346 \\ 673 & 359 \\ 295 & 260 \\ 371 & 112 \\ 114 & 94 \\ 77 & 75 \\ 30 & 30 \\ 29 & 29 \\ 28 & 28 \\ \hline \\ 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 6 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Major oxides (%) 49.28 46.94 68.97 72.88 67.19 70.19 68.69 69.76 76.80 77.39 72.50 14.98 16.68 8.78 6.38 13.99 9.48 6.99 8.37 6.50 8.57 7.01 8.02 8.31 6.07 6.87 5.91 5.88 4.87 6.07 5.99 5.96 7.21 4.28 4.29 4.38 4.49 5.00 3.68 9.53 5.33 4.07 0.97 4.00 5.44 2.28 1.28 1.46 1.01 3.33 0.18 1.92 0.30 1.61 3.41 1.48 2.99 1.28 1.48 1.40 1.54 1.66 1.34 1.50 1.40 1.47 1.18 2.34 1.08 1.28 1.20 1.36 1.43	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 H_3 H_3 6.91 68.97 72.88 67.19 70.19 68.69 69.76 76.80 77.39 72.50 68.50 14.98 16.68 8.78 6.38 13.99 9.48 6.99 8.37 6.50 8.57 7.01 92.11 8.02 8.31 6.07 6.87 5.91 5.88 4.87 6.07 5.96 7.21 8.79 4.28 4.29 4.38 4.49 5.00 3.68 9.53 5.33 4.07 0.97 4.00 6.15 5.44 2.28 1.28 1.48 1.40 1.54 1.66 1.34 1.50 1.40 1.47 1.49 1.48 2.99 1.28 1.28 1.20 1.36 1.41 1.50 1.40 1.47 1.49 1.48 2.34 1.08 1.28 1.28	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Hajor oxider (%) 49.28 46.94 68.97 72.88 67.19 70.19 68.69 69.76 76.80 77.39 72.50 68.50 68.50 14.98 16.68 8.78 6.38 13.99 9.48 6.99 8.37 6.50 8.57 7.01 9.21 10.14 8.02 8.31 6.07 6.87 5.91 5.88 4.87 6.07 5.99 5.96 7.21 8.79 6.87 4.28 4.29 4.38 4.49 5.00 3.68 9.53 5.33 4.07 0.97 4.00 6.15 3.00 5.44 2.28 1.28 1.40 1.54 1.66 1.34 1.50 1.40 1.47 1.49 1.23 1.48 2.99 1.28 1.20 1.36 1.43 1.50 1.40	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 Hajor oxider 49.28 46.94 68.97 72.88 67.19 70.19 68.69 69.76 76.80 77.39 72.50 68.50 68.50 73.24 14.98 16.68 8.78 6.38 13.99 9.48 6.99 8.37 6.50 8.57 7.01 9.21 10.14 10.30 8.02 8.31 6.07 6.87 5.91 5.88 4.87 6.07 5.99 5.96 7.21 8.79 6.87 5.43 4.28 4.29 4.38 4.49 5.00 3.68 9.53 5.33 4.07 0.97 4.00 6.15 3.00 4.01 1.48 2.99 1.28 1.48 1.40 1.54 1.66 1.34 1.50 1.40 1.47 1.49 1.23 1.50 1.18 2.3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 49.28 46.94 68.97 72.88 67.19 70.19 68.69 69.76 76.80 77.39 72.50 68.50 68.50 73.24 71.06 14.98 16.68 8.78 6.38 13.99 9.48 6.99 8.37 6.50 8.57 7.01 9.21 10.14 10.30 10.05 8.02 8.31 6.07 6.87 5.91 5.88 4.87 6.07 5.99 5.96 7.21 8.79 6.87 5.43 7.56 4.28 4.29 4.38 4.49 5.00 3.68 9.53 5.33 4.07 0.97 4.00 6.15 3.00 4.01 4.00 5.44 2.28 1.28 1.48 1.40 1.54 1.50 1.40 1.47 1.49 1.23 1.50 1.26 1.18 2.34 1.08 1.20 1.36 1.43 1.50 1.20 <t< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td></t<>	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

World J. Envir. Pollut., 2 (1): 01-09, 2012

Table 5: Chemical analysis of the studied soil samples

magnetic susceptibities. Leucoxene grains indicate advanced degree of ilmenite alterations.

Rutile: It occurs as subhedral to anhedral prismatic, tabular and elongated crystals of yellowish red, brown to black colours with adamantine luster (Fig. 4e). Frequently, fragmental and irrigular crystals are present. They are commonly subangular to rounded with adamantine luster and roughly pitted surfaces.

Sphene (Ca Ti [SiO₄] (O,OH,F)): Sphene exhibits prismatic, tabular and platy crystals. The colour of sphene ranges from yellowish brown to brown colours. it is translucent mineral crystals with resinous luster (Fig. 4f). Garnet ($Mg_3Al_2Si_3O_{12}$): Garnet crystals are observed as angular to subrounded of rosy-pink and Reddish- pink subordinate associated by some brownish yellow and colourless (Fig. 4g). Some grains are cloudy due to staining or inclusions. Some grain sufaces show little pitting, grooves and cavities.

Gold (Au): Most of gold mineral crystals in the studied samples of East Rosetta area are golden yellow colour, flattened and ductile grains with aplate-like shape and abrilliant metallic lustr (Fig. 4h). Some irrrgular grains also noticed.

Geochemical Characterization: The analyzed major oxides, trace elements and uranium and thorium are shown in Table 5. The presence of the SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ oxides in all the studied samples reflect the presence of quartz and/or kaolinite. The presence of kaolinite clay mineral is confirmed by the high K₂O contents in some samples (2, 6 and 7). The high CaO contents in samples (7, 12 and 17) confirm the presence of calcite, while the high contents of Na₂O may indicate the presence of NaCl and/or feldspars. The high Fe₂O₃ in some samples (1, 2, 12 and 17) indicate the presence of hematite. The P₂O₅ contents in some samples (1 and 4) may indicate the presence of the apatite minerals.

Concerning the data of trace elements, the concentration of Nb, Y and Zr may be controlled by the presence of quartz content (sample No.1). The high Sr content (samples No.4 and 16) may mainly be controlled by the high CaO contents (calcite). Vanadium concentrations reached up to 371 and 322 ppm in some samples (No. 1 and 17) when comparing with international value of sandstone. The high V contents in these samples may indicate their loamy facies which mainly controlled by the presence of clay components.

From the data presented in Fig. 5 for major oxides and Fig. 6 for trace elements, it could be concluded the following results:

World J. Envir. Pollut., 2 (1): 01-09, 2012



Fig. 5: Major oxides distribution in the studied soil samples



Fig. 6: Trace elements distribution in the studied soil samples

- C Sandy soil samples (No. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 16) exhibit enrichment in SiO₂, Sr, Zr and Ba elements while they are depleted in Al₂O₃, MgO and Fe₂O₃ oxides and Nb and Ga compared to sandy clay loam samples.
- C Loamy sand samples (No.4,5,11,12,14 and 15) exhibit enrichment in SiO₂, P₂O₅ and CaO oxide and Ba, V and Zr elements while they are depleted in MgO oxide and Ga and Nb elements compared to clay loam and sandy loam facies.
- C Sandy loam samples (No.2, 3 and 13) exhibit enrichment in Na₂O and K₂O oxides and Ba, V and Zr elements while they are depleted in CaO oxides and Ga, Nb and Cr elements compared to sandy clay loam samples.
- C Sandy clay loam samples (S.No. 1) exhibit enrichment in Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, MgO and P₂O₅ oxides and Cr, Zr, Ba, V and Sr elements and they are depleted in K₂O oxides and Ga, Nb and Y elements compared to sandy samples.

Clay loam samples (S.No. 17) exhibit enrichment in Fe₂O₃, Al₂O₃ and CaO oxides and Zr, Ba and Sr elements but they are depleted in P₂O₅ oxides and Ga and Nb elements compared to sandy facies.

Uranium and thorium occur naturally in low concentrations in the studied soil samples. The data presented in Table 5 show that U concentrations in the studied soil samples range between 2 and 5 ppm with an average of 3.4 ppm. Th contents range between 2 and 6 ppm with an average of 4.6 ppm.

Geochemical Classification of the Studied Samples: Using CaO-Na₂O-K₂O ternary diagram for classification of the studied soil samples, (Fig. 7a) indicate that most of the sandy samples (No. 6, 7, 9 and 16), loamy sand samples (No. 4, 11 and 14) and sandy clay loam sample (No. 1) are plotted in the greywacke field while loamy samples (No. 5 and 12) and clay loam sample (No. 17) are plotted in



Fig. 7: Ternary classification diagram (a: after Pittijohn *et al.* 1972) and (b: after Blatt *et al.* 1980)

Arkose field. The other soil samples are plotted in the middle between greywacke field and Arkose field. It is realized that most of the studied samples are plotted near CaO apex indicating their enrichment by CaO. In addition, using the ternary relationship between (Fe_2O_2) +MgO), Na₂O and K Q for classification of the studied soil samples (Fig. 7 b) indicate that most of the sandy soil samples (No. 7, 8 and 10) and sandy clay loam sample (No. 1) are plotted in the greywacke field and clay loam sample (No. 17) are plotted in Arkose field while loamy sand facies (No. 4, 5, 11 and 14) distributed equally between greywacke and Arkose field. It is clear that most of the studied soil samples are plotted near (Fe₂O₃ + MgO) apex indicating their enrichment by Fe₂O₃ and MgO.

CONCLUSION

Lithologically, the studied samples can be classified into sand, loamy sand, sandy loam, clay loam and sandy clay loam based on particles size distribution of soils. Mineralogically, the studied samples indicate the presence of quartz in all samples as well as calcite, gypsum and hematite. XRD for the separated clay minerals indicate that the types of the clays are kaolinite, illite and montmorillonite. Geochemically, the analysis of the major oxides confirm the mineralogical constituents of the studied samples. The trace elements: Nb was controlled by quartz content whereas Zn and Co were controlled by the presence of iron. Also, the high Cr, Zn, Cu, Y, V, Ga, Co, Zr, Nb and Rb contents were mainly controlled by the presence of clay components.

The CaO-Na₂O-K₂O ternary diagram and ternary relationship between (Fe₂O₃ + MgO), Na₂O and K₂O indicate that most of the sandy samples are classified as greywacke field while loamy sand facies are classified as Arkose field.

REFERENCES

- Dabbour, G.A., 1995. Estimation of the economic minerals reserves in Rosetta beach sands. Egypt. Mineralogist, 7: 153-166.
- Ammar, A.A., S.N.Wassef, M.L.Meleik, K.M. Fouad and G.A. Dabbour, 1983. Remote, surface and laboratory detection of radioactive minerals of Rosetta black sand deposits. Egypt. Imt. J. Remote Sensing, 4: 739-754.
- Moustafa, M.I., O.A. Hegab and N.L. El-Agami,2000. Remarks on the physical, mineralogical features and amenability of the northern coast of Egypt. Egyptian Mineralogist, 12: 29-49.
- Dewis, J. and F. Feritas, 1970. Physical and chemical methods of soil and water analysis. Fao, Rome, Soil Bulletin, pp: 10.
- Cottenie, A., M. Verloo, L. Kieken, G. Velgh and R. Camcrlynck, 1982. Chemical analysis of plant and Soil. Lab. Anal. Agrochem. State Univ. Ghent, Belgium, pp: 365.
- Jackson, M.L., 1967. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice-Hall Inc. Englewood Cliffs, N. J. Library of Congress, USA.
- Wilson, M.J., 1987. X-ray powder diffraction methods, in determinative methods of clay mineralogy, M.J. Wilson, (ed.). Blacke and Son Ltd. New York.
- Shapiro, L. and W.W. Bannock, 1962. Rapid analysis of silicate, carbonate and phosphate rocks. U.S. Geol. surv. Bull., 1144: 56.
- Schwab, F.L., 1978. Secular trends in the composition of sedimentary rock assemblages-archean through phonerozic time. Geological Society of America, 6: 532-536.
- Blatt, H., 1980. Origin of sedemintary rocks. Prentice Hall, 2ndEd. USA, pp: 750.

- Dewedar, A.A., 1998. Comparative studies on the haevy minerals in some black sand deposits from Sinai and East Rosetta with contributions to the mineralogy and economics of thair garnets. Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of science, El Menoufia University, Egypt.
- El-Hadary, A.F., 1988. Geologic and radiometric investigations on Abu-khashaba deposites, East Rosetta, Egypt. M. Sc. Thesis, Geology Department, Faculty of science, Cairo University, Egypt.