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Abstract: The removal of carbon dioxide from gas mixtures is very important for greenhouse gas emission control. This study deals with the preparation and simulation of hollow fiber membrane made from polypropylene (PP) for CO₂ absorption. Polypropylene hollow fibers were prepared by melt-spinning method at speed of 100 m/min. Hollow fibers were obtained with the following typical geometries: outer diameter, 600 μm; inner diameter, 400 μm; length, 20 cm. To evaluate the hollow fibers performance as a membrane contactor, the simulation was performed for CO₂ absorption by the liquid absorbents. Both of physical and chemical absorption were considered in the simulations. Simulation results indicated that the hollow fiber membrane contactors have a great potential in the area of CO₂ absorption. Furthermore, polypropylene was shown to be a good membrane material for CO₂ absorption.
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INTRODUCTION

Global expansion of industrial activities over the past three decades has caused the concentration of greenhouse gases to rise significantly in the atmosphere. This has contributed to global warming, which in turn has resulted in serious environmental problems [1]. Carbon dioxide is representing about 80% of greenhouse gases. It is reported that half of the CO₂ emissions are produced by industry and power plants using fossil fuels [2]. From the global environmental perspective, it is important to capture CO₂ to avert the threat of global warming, thereby attaining the carbon emission reduction targets set out by the Kyoto Agreement. Additionally, the CO₂ concentrations are typically 3-5% in gas-fired power plants and 13-15% in coal plants [3].

Current carbon dioxide capture technologies are based on a variety of physical and chemical processes including, absorption, adsorption, cryogenic and membrane techniques. Conventional processes for the removal of CO₂ suffer from many problems such as flooding, foaming, entraining, channeling, and high capital and operating costs. Therefore, many researchers have examined the possibilities of enhancing the efficiency of these processes to reduce the effect of their problems. Hollow fiber membrane contactors are expected to overcome the disadvantages of the conventional equipment when incorporated into the gas treating processes [4]. The characteristic of hollow fiber membrane contactors is that the gas stream flows on one side and the absorbent liquid flows on the other side of the membrane without phase dispersion, thus avoiding the problems often encountered in the conventional equipment such as flooding, foaming, channeling and entrainment.

Hollow fiber membranes are the most advantageous from of membranes used in the processes of gas separation. They can be produced by any method employed for the manufacture of chemical fibers, i.e. they can be spun from melt or half-melt or from a polymer solution. Melt-spinning is most economic method of hollow fiber membrane production. It is also a very ecological method, since no wastewater or harmful by-products are involved. Of all the thermoplastic polymers which can be processed by melt spinning, polyolefins have been found most suitable for making hollow fiber membranes [5]. One polymer of this group, polypropylene (PP), shows particularly desirable gas permeation properties.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials: The hollow fibers were formed from a melt of polypropylene (PP, Grade R60) supplied by Arak Petrochemical Complex (Iran) with a melt flow index of 3 g/min.
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Fig. 1: Schematic drawing of melt-spinning apparatus

![Image of die which was used in spinning of hollow fibers](image_url)

Fig. 2: Image of die which was used in spinning of hollow fibers

**Hollow Fibers Preparation:** The hollow fibers were extruded at high pressure through a die (a fine capillary) which was designed and fabricated for this work. The polymer was extruded into cooling water that both cools the fiber and exerts a drag force on the fiber. At some distance below the spinneret (a meter or more), a mechanical roll provides the force that drives the process. Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of a hollow fibers preparation apparatus. Dimensions of spinneret are shown in the Fig. 1. Fig. 2 shows image of die which was used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner fiber diameter (µm)</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer fiber diameter (µm)</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber length (cm)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Dimensions of hollow fiber melt-spun from polypropylene**

**Variables in the Spinning Process Were:**

- Extrusion rate: 100 m/min;
- Output rate: 50 g/min;
- Melt temperature: 230°C;
- Sub-spinneret zone cooling conditions.

Stretching of hollow fibers was carried out at room temperature and at a draw ratio of 2.5. The dimensions of obtained hollow fibers are shown in Table 1.

**Simulation of CO₂ Absorption in Hollow Fiber Membrane:** To investigate the performance of hollow fibers as membrane contactor for gas absorption, a comprehensive two-dimensional mathematical model was used for the transport of carbon dioxide through hollow fiber membrane (HFM) contactors. In this work we study the separation of CO₂ from CO₂/N₂ gas mixture using amines and carbonate aqueous solutions as absorbents in hollow fiber membrane contactors. The model was based on “non-wetted mode” in which the gas mixture filled the membrane pores for countercurrent gas-liquid contacts. Laminar parabolic velocity profile was used for the gas flow in the tube side; whereas, the liquid flow in the shell side was characterized by Happel’s free surface model. Axial and radial diffusion inside the fiber, through the membrane, and within the shell side of the contactor were considered in the model.
Fig. 3: (a) Model domain (b) A schematic diagram for the membrane contactor (c) Cross sectional area of the membrane contactor and circular approximation of portion of the fluid surrounding the fibers.

**Formulation of Mass Transfer and Absorption:** The model is used for a segment of a hollow fiber, as shown in Fig. 3b, through which the gas mixture flows with a fully developed laminar parabolic velocity profile. The fiber is surrounded by a laminar liquid flow in an opposite direction. Fig. 3c shows the cross sectional area of the membrane contactor. Based on Happel’s free surface model [6], only portion of the fluid surrounding the fiber is considered which may be approximated as circular cross section. Therefore, the membrane contactor consists of three sections: tube side, membrane, and shell side. The steady state two-dimensional material balances are carried out for all these sections. The gas mixture is fed to the tube side (at \( z = 0 \)), while the solvent is passed through the shell side (at \( z = L \)). CO\(_2\) is removed from the gas mixture by diffusing through the membrane and then absorption and reaction with the solvent.

The used assumptions were: (1) steady state and isothermal conditions; (2) fully developed parabolic gas velocity profile in the hollow fiber; (3) ideal gas behavior is imposed; (4) the Henry's law is applicable for gas-liquid interface; (5) Laminar flow for gas and liquid flow in the contactor.

**Tube Side:** The continuity equation for each species in a reactive absorption system can be expressed as [7]:

\[
\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = -(\nabla \cdot \vec{V}) - (\nabla \cdot J_i) + \vec{R}_i \tag{1}
\]

Where \( C_i \), \( J_i \), \( \vec{R}_i \), \( \nabla \) and \( t \) are the concentration, diffusive flux, reaction rate of species \( i \), velocity and time, respectively. Either Fick's law of diffusion or Maxwell-Stefan theory can be used for the determination of diffusive fluxes of species \( i \).

The continuity equation for steady state for CO\(_2\) in the tube side of contactor for cylindrical coordinate is obtained using Fick’s law of diffusion for the estimation of the diffusive flux:

\[
P_{CO_2,sys} \left( \frac{\partial C_{CO_2,sys}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial C_{CO_2,sys}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial C_{CO_2,sys}}{\partial \theta} \right) = -v_{r,sys} \frac{\partial C_{CO_2,sys}}{\partial r} \tag{2}
\]

The velocity distribution in the tube is assumed to follow Newtonian laminar flow [7]:
\[ V_{r-tube} = 2\pi \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r}{r_{f}} \right)^{2} \right] \]  

Where \( u \) is average velocity in tube side.

**Boundary Conditions:**

1. At \( z = 0 \), \( C_{CO_2:membrane} = C_{absorption} = 0 \)
2. At \( r = r_1 \), \( C_{CO_2:membrane} = C_{CO_2:solution} \)
3. At \( r = 0 \), \( \frac{\partial C_{CO_2:membrane}}{\partial r} = 0 \) (symmetry)

**Membrane:** The steady-state continuity equation for the transport of \( CO_2 \) inside the membrane, which is considered to be due to diffusion alone, may be written as:

\[ D_{CO_2:membrane} \left[ \frac{\partial C_{CO_2:membrane}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial C_{CO_2:membrane}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial C_{CO_2:membrane}}{\partial z} \right] = 0 \]  

**Boundary Conditions Are Given As:**

1. At \( r = r_1 \), \( C_{CO_2:membrane} = C_{CO_2:solution} \)
2. At \( r = r_2 \), \( C_{CO_2:membrane} = C_{CO_2:shell} \)

Where \( m \) is the physical solubility of \( CO_2 \) in the solution.

**Shell Side:** The steady-state continuity equation for the transport with chemical reaction of \( CO_2 \) and potassium carbonate in the shell side, where \( CO_2 \) is absorbed and reacts with solvent may be written as:

\[ D_{r:shell} \left[ \frac{\partial C_{r:shell}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial C_{r:shell}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial C_{r:shell}}{\partial z} \right] = V_{r:shell} \frac{\partial C_{r:shell}}{\partial z} - R_i \]  

It is suggested that Happel's free surface model can be used to characterize the out fibers velocity profile [6]. Although the flow in the real hollow fiber module is not absolutely according with Happel's model, Happel's model has been extensively used for the membrane contactors. The laminar parabolic velocity profile in the outside of fibers is:

\[ V_{r:shell} = 2\pi \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{r}{r_{f}} \right)^{2} \right] \times \frac{- \left( r_{f}/r \right)^{3} - \left( r_{f}/r \right)^{2} + 2\ln(r_{f}/r)}{3 + \left( r_{f}/r \right)^{2} - 4\left( r_{f}/r \right)^{3} + 4\ln(r_{f}/r)} \]  

Where \( u, r_f, r_{f} \) represent the average velocity, radius of free surface (Fig. 3a) and fiber outer radius, respectively. \( r_f \) can be defined as:

\[ r_f = \left( \frac{1}{1 - \phi} \right)^{1/3} \]  

in which \( \phi \) is the volume fraction of the void. It can be calculated as follows:

\[ 1 - \phi = \frac{m_{j}}{R^2} \]  

Where \( n \) is the number of fibers and \( R \) is the module inner radius.

**Boundary Conditions for Shell Side Are Given As:**

1. At \( z = L \), \( C_{CO_2:shell} = 0 \), \( C_{absorption} = C_m \)
2. At \( r = r_f \), \( \frac{\partial C_{r:shell}}{\partial r} = 0 \) (insulation)
3. At \( r = r_f \), \( C_{CO_2:shell} = C_{CO_2:membrane} \), \( \frac{\partial C_{absorption:shell}}{\partial r} = 0 \)

**Reaction Rate for CO₂ Absorption into Amine Aqueous Solutions:** Two typical amine solution of monoethanolamine (MEA) and methyldiethanol amine (MDEA) were used as absorbent in this study. The zwitierions-mechanism was adopted for the reaction of \( CO_2 \) with primary or secondary amine [8]:

\[ CO_2 + RRNH \rightleftharpoons RRNH \cdot COO^- \]  

\[ RRNH \cdot COO^- + B \rightarrow RRNCOO^- + BH^+ \]  

Where \( R_i \) is an alkyl and \( R_j \) is H for primary amine and an alkyl for secondary amines. \( B \) is a base that could be an amine, OH, or \( H_2O \). For this mechanism, the reaction rate of \( CO_2 \) with MEA can be expressed as follow [9]:

\[ R_{CO_2:MEA} = \frac{k_{MEA}C_{CO_2}C_{MEA}}{k_1 + k_{H_2O}C_{H_2O} + k_{MEA}C_{CO_2} + k_{MEA}C_{H_2O} + k_{MEA}C_{MEA}} \]  

The reaction kinetics for the reaction of \( CO_2 \) with MDEA aqueous has been studied extensively. All the data for \( CO_2 \) with MDEA are in agreement well with the pseudo-first- order reaction as follow [8, 11]:
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Table 2: Parameters used in simulation for CO₂ absorption into amines
aqueous solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D_{CO2} (25°C, 121.3 kPa)</td>
<td>1.39 × 10⁻¹ m² s⁻¹ [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{CO2,MEA} (25°C, 10 wt. % MDEA)</td>
<td>1.63 × 10⁻¹ m² s⁻¹ [13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{MEA,CO2} (25°C, 10 wt. % MDEA)</td>
<td>6.91 × 10⁻³ m² s⁻¹ [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{MEA,MEA} (25°C, 10 wt. % MDEA)</td>
<td>1.69 × 10⁻¹ m² s⁻¹ [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{MEA,MEA} (25°C, 1 wt. % MDEA)</td>
<td>3.38 × 10⁻⁶ [7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_p )</td>
<td>10^{23.905 + 0.0347T} [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_0 )</td>
<td>10^{14.22 + 0.0131T} [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{MEA} )</td>
<td>1.34 × 10⁸ exp (-5771/T) m⁻⁠¹ m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{MEA} )</td>
<td>7.93 × 10⁹ exp (-6243/T) m⁻⁠¹ m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{OH} )</td>
<td>10^{25.92 + 2902T} m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{MEA,CO2} k_a / k_j )</td>
<td>1.1 × 10⁻⁶ exp (-1372/T) m⁻⁠¹ m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{MEA,MEA} k_a / k_j )</td>
<td>1.56 × 10³ exp (-7544/T) m⁻⁠¹ m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{MEA,MEA} k_a )</td>
<td>8.64 × 10⁻⁶ exp (-750T) m⁻⁠¹ m³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry constant of CO₂ with</td>
<td>0.891 [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aqueous (25°C, 10% MDEA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ R_{CO2-MEA} = k_{MEA} C_{CO2} C_{MEA} \] (20)

The reaction kinetics for the reaction of CO₂ with H₂O can be expressed as follow [8]:

\[ R = R_{CO2-OH} + R_{CO2-H2O} \] (21)

The reaction of CO₂ with H₂O can be negligible due to the weak contribution [8].

The reaction of CO₂ with hydroxyl ion can be described as [10]:

\[ R_{CO2-OH} = k_{OH} C_{CO2} C_{OH} \] (22)

\[ C_{OH} = \frac{x_p (1 - \alpha)}{x_p} \] (23)

\[ C_{OH} = \left( \frac{x_p}{x_p} \right) C_{min} \] (24)

Where \( \alpha \) is the CO₂ loading in amine solution. The value of \( x_p \) and \( x_p \) is given in Table 2.

**Reaction Rate for CO₂ Absorption into K₂CO₃ Aqueous Solution:** Absorption of carbon dioxide into the aqueous carbonate solutions has been studied by many investigators [15-17]. In these studies most of the work has been carried out using high bicarbonate to the carbonate ratios. In such cases the carbon dioxide into the aqueous carbonate buffer solutions can be treated as absorption accompanied by an irreversible pseudo-first order reaction. The chemical absorption mechanism under the conditions of low or negligible bicarbonate to carbonate ratio is, however, more complex and contains two-step reversible reactions [18].

When the potassium carbonate is dissolved in water it is ionized into the potassium ion (K⁺) and carbonate ion (CO₃²⁻). The bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) and hydroxyl ion (OH⁻) are then generated by the inverse of reaction 26 in following reaction scheme. The various reactions taking place during the absorption of carbon dioxide into the aqueous potassium carbonate solution are given below [15].

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- \] (25)

\[ \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \] (26)

\[ 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{OH}^- \] (27)

\[ \text{CO}_3^{2-} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ \] (28)

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons 2\text{HCO}_3^- \] (29)

Reactions (25) and (28) are rate controlling reactions [15, 16]. Reaction (25) is practically irreversible for the pH values greater than 10. Reaction (28) is much slower and only of importance in solutions with pH values less than 8 [19]. The reactions (26) and (27) involve only proton transfer and can be considered as instantaneous so that they can be assumed to be at equilibrium [15]. Reaction (29) is the overall reaction of carbon dioxide absorption in aqueous carbonate solution. The corresponding equilibrium constants of the reactions are defined as follows:

\[ K_1 = \frac{[\text{HCO}_3^-]}{[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] [\text{OH}^-]} \] (30)

\[ K_2 = \frac{[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]}{[\text{HCO}_3^-] [\text{OH}^-]} \] (31)

\[ K_p = [\text{H}_2\text{O}^+] [\text{OH}^-] \] (32)

\[ K_4 = \frac{[\text{HCO}_3^-] [\text{H}_2\text{O}^+]}{[\text{CO}_2]} \] (33)

All the kinetic parameters can be obtained from the literature and are given in Appendix A.
The dimensionless model equations related to tube, membrane and shell side with the appropriate boundary conditions were solved using COMSOL software, which uses finite element method (FEM) for numerical solutions of differential equations. The finite element analysis is combined with adaptive meshing and error control using a variety of numerical solvers such as DASPK. This solver is an implicit time-stepping scheme, which is well suited for solving stiff and non-stiff non-linear boundary value problems. We used an IBM-PC-Pentium4 (CPU speed is 2800 MHz) to solve the set of equations. The computational time for solving the set of equations was 35 minutes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**CO₂ Absorption into Amine Aqueous Solutions**

**Concentration Distribution of CO₂ in the Contactor:**

Fig. 4 presents the concentration distribution of CO₂ in the tube, membrane and shell side of the contactor. The gas mixture flows from one side of the contactor (z = 0) where the concentration of CO₂ is the highest (C₀), whereas the solvent (MDEA) flows from the other side (z = L) where the concentration of CO₂ is assumed to be zero. As the gas flows through the tube side, it moves to the membrane due to the concentration difference and then it is absorbed by the moving solvent.

**Effect of Liquid Flow Rate on the Absorption of CO₂:**

The percentage removal of CO₂ can be calculated from the equation below:

\[
\% \text{ removal } CO₂ = 100 \left( \frac{\langle v \times C \rangle_{\text{inlet}} - \langle v \times C \rangle_{\text{outlet}}}{\langle v \times C \rangle_{\text{inlet}}} \right)
\]

(34)

Where \( v \) and \( C \) are the volumetric flow rate and concentration, respectively. \( C_{\text{outlet}} \) is calculated by integrating the local concentration at outlet of tube side (\( z = L \)):

\[
C_{\text{outlet}} = \frac{\int_{z=L} \int_{r} C(r) dA}{\int_{z=L} \int_{r} dA}
\]

(35)

The change in volumetric flow rate is assumed to be negligible and thus \% CO₂ removal can be approximated by Eq. (34).

In Fig. 5, the CO₂ outlet concentration in gas is plotted as a function of absorbent flow rate or velocity for several absorbents and Fig. 6 illustrates the variation of the fractional removal of CO₂ as a function of liquid flow rate or velocity. As the absorbent flow rate increases, the transfer rate of carbon dioxide into the liquid increases because the concentration gradients of CO₂ and absorbent in the liquid increase, thus the CO₂ outlet concentration in gas decreases (Fig. 5) and the fractional removal of CO₂ increases (Fig. 6).

The behavior of CO₂ absorbed in MDEA/MEA mixed amines with different composition also illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6. Adding a little of MEA into MDEA aqueous solution, the capture of CO₂ increases. This is not surprise because the reaction rate constant of MEA with CO₂ is much higher than that of MDEA with CO₂. The transfer rate of carbon dioxide into the liquid increases as the concentration of MEA in mixed amines increases. As a result, the CO₂ outlet concentration in gas decreases and the fractional removal of CO₂ increases with increasing concentration of MEA in MDEA/MEA aqueous solution.

Fig. 7 shows the dimensionless concentration of amines versus dimensionless axial distance. The numerical results clearly show the concentrations of amines decrease as the axial increases. Also it can be seen the differences of dimensionless concentration of MEA is much larger than that of MDEA. This indicates the concentration gradient of MEA is much larger than that of MDEA due to the larger reaction coefficient of MEA with CO₂. Thus the diffuse flux of MEA is higher than that of MDEA in hollow fiber membrane. Consequently, the consumption of MEA is larger than that of MDEA.
Fig. 5: Relationship between CO₂ outlet concentration in gas and liquid flow rate for various amines. Gas pressure= 121.3 kPa; temperature= 298 K; n=100; R=0.5 cm; Gas flow rate=100 ml/min.

Fig. 6: Relationship between percentage removal CO₂ and liquid flow rate for various amines. Gas pressure= 121.3 kPa; temperature= 298 K; n=100; R=0.5 cm; Gas flow rate=100 ml/min.
Fig. 7: Dimensionless concentration of amines vs. dimensionless axial distance. Gas pressure = 121.3 kPa; temperature = 298 K; \( n = 100; \) \( R = 0.5 \) cm; Gas flow rate - liquid flow rate = 50 ml/min; \( r/r_t = 0.8 \).

Fig. 8: Effect of liquid flow rate on the percentage removal of CO\(_2\) for the absorption of CO\(_2\) in K\(_2\)CO\(_3\). Gas pressure = 121.3 kPa; temperature = 298 K; \( n = 100; \) \( R = 0.5 \) cm; Gas flow rate = 100 ml/min.
CO₂ Absorption into K₂CO₃ Aqueous Solution: Fig. 8 shows the percentage removal of CO₂ as a function of liquid flow rate through the fibers for a constant gas inlet flow rate. It can be seen from the figure that as the liquid velocity increases the gas outlet concentration of carbon dioxide decreases. This indicates the increase in the removal rate of carbon dioxide with the liquid flow rate. As expected, the carbon dioxide concentration decreases as the liquid flow rate increases. The effect of the concentration of carbonate solution is also shown in the figure. It can be seen from the figure that as the concentration of the carbonate solution is increased, the concentration of the absorbed carbon dioxide is also increased. This indicates that the increase in the concentration of carbonate ions results into the increase in carbon dioxide absorption.

It can be seen from the figure that the absorption is a strong function of the liquid velocity when the liquid velocity is relatively low. However, at higher velocities the absorption is less dependent on the liquid velocity. It is known from the theory of mass transfer with chemical reaction that in the case of fast reaction regime the absorption flux is independent of the mass transfer coefficient whereas in the case of instantaneous reaction regime the absorption flux depends on the mass transfer coefficient. In the present case of gas absorption accompanied with chemical reaction into a liquid flowing through hollow fiber, there is relatively less depletion of the reactive species at the gas-liquid interface over the entire length of fiber at higher liquid velocities. This situation resembles to the fast reaction regime. Therefore in this case the absorption rate is dominated by the chemical reaction rate and the liquid velocity has less influence on the average absorption flux. However, at lower velocities significant depletion of the reactive species may occur. For extreme case of the complete depletion of the reactive species at the interface, the reaction regime may change from fast reaction regime at the liquid inlet to instantaneous reaction regime at liquid exit. In such cases the absorption rate is limited by the radial diffusion of reacting species to the reaction plane and the flux is strongly influenced by the mass transfer coefficient and hence by the liquid velocity.

The figures show absorption of carbon dioxide in amines aqueous solutions is higher than that in carbonate solution because the reaction rate and solubility of carbon dioxide in amines aqueous solutions is greater than carbonate solution that increases both physical and chemical absorption of carbon dioxide in amines.

CONCLUSION

Absorption of CO₂ in hollow fiber membrane contactors was studied in this work. Polypropylene hollow fibers were prepared by melt-spinning process. Simulation was performed for hollow fibers as membrane contactor to study performance of hollow fibers in gas absorption process. A mathematical model was used to describe absorption of CO₂ in the contactor. The model was based on solving the conservation equations for three sections of contactor. The finite element method (FEM) was used to solve the differential equations. Absorption of CO₂ in amines and carbonate aqueous solutions was simulated in this work. The amines aqueous solutions (MDEA and MEA) were better for absorption of CO₂ because of high solubility and reaction rate of CO₂ with amines. The simulation results for the absorption of CO₂ in liquid solvents indicated that the removal of CO₂ increased with increasing liquid velocity in the shell side. The polypropylene (PP) hollow fiber membrane contactor was found to be very efficient in purification of gas streams.

The results in this work show that the detailed numerical model developed is able to predict the performance of the membrane contactors. The numerical simulation can take into account complex chemical reaction schemes. Thus the model can be used to predict the mass transfer performance of the hollow fiber gas-liquid membrane contactor for other reactive as well as non-reactive systems simply by changing the physical and kinetic parameters. Furthermore, the model also represents a design and optimization tool for multi-component membrane gas absorption processes using membrane modules.
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Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Cross section of tube (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₀</td>
<td>inlet CO₂ concentration (mol/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>concentration (mol/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;CO₂-membrane&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CO₂ concentration in the membrane (mol/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;CO₂-shell&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CO₂ concentration in the shell (mol/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;CO₂-tube&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CO₂ concentration in the tube (mol/m³)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Here is a transcription of the text from the document:

\[ m_{\text{CO}_2} = 3.59 \times 10^{-7}RT \exp\left(\frac{2044}{T}\right) \]  

(A.1)

The solubility of gas in aqueous electrolytic solutions was estimated using the method presented by Weisenberger and Schumpe [21]. The distribution coefficient for \( \text{CO}_2 \) in aqueous solution of potassium carbonate was determined using Eqs. (A.2) and (A.3).

\[
\log\left(\frac{m_{\text{CO}_2}}{m_{\text{aq}}}\right) = (0.0959 + h_{\text{aq}}[K^+] + 0.0839 + h_{\text{aq}}[OH^-] + 0.0967 + h_{\text{aq}}[\text{HCO}_3^-] + 0.1423 + h_{\text{aq}}[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]
\]

(A.2)

Where the ionic concentrations are in kmol m\(^{-3}\), \( h_{\text{aq}} \) is the gas specific constant and is given for \( \text{CO}_2 \) in following equation:

\[ h_{\text{aq}} = -0.0172 - 3.38 \times 10^{-4}(T - 298.15) \]  

(A.3)

**A.2. Diffusivity:** The diffusivity of \( \text{CO}_2 \) in pure water, \( D_{\text{w,CO}_2} \) was taken from Versteeg and van Swaaij [20].

\[ D_{\text{w,CO}_2} = 2.35 \times 10^{-4} \exp\left(-\frac{2119}{T}\right) \]  

(A.4)

The diffusion coefficients of gases into aqueous electrolyte solutions were estimated by the method suggested by Ratcliff and Holdcroft [22].

\[ \frac{D}{D_{\text{w}}} = 1 - (0.154K_{\text{CO}_3}) + 0.0723[K_{\text{HCO}_3}] \]  

(A.5)

Where concentrations are in kmol m\(^{-3}\).

**A.3. Kinetic Rate Constants:** An expression for the forward rate constant, \( k_{\text{f}} \), as a function co-electrolytes concentration was presented by Pohorecki and Moniuk [23].

\[
\log\left(\frac{k_{\text{f}}}{k_{\text{f}}^{0*}}\right) = 0.22\left(0.5[K^+]Z^2_{\text{c}^-} + 0.22(0.5[OH^-]Z^2_{\text{c}^0} + 0.085(0.5[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]Z^2_{\text{c}^{0*}}} \right) \]  

(A.6)

Where \( k_{\text{f}}^{0*} \) (m\(^3\) kmol\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) is the rate constant for infinitely dilute solution.

\[ \log k_{\text{f}}^{0*} = 11.916 - \frac{2382}{T} \]  

(A.7)

The effect of \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) on the value of \( k_{\text{f}} \) has not been reported, hence its influence on the forward rate constants was neglected.

---

**Greek Symbols**

- \( \nu \) Volumetric flow rate (m\(^3\)/s)
- \( \phi \) Module volume fraction

---

**Appendix A**

**Solubility:** The distribution coefficient of \( \text{CO}_2 \) in pure water was taken from Versteeg and van Swaaij [20].
Since the reactions (26) and (27) involve only proton transfer and can be considered as instantaneous, these reactions were assumed to be at equilibrium.

The reaction rate constant of the reaction of water with carbon dioxide, $k_{26}$, as a function of temperature is given by Sharma and Danckwerts [17]. The reaction is first order with respect to the concentration of the carbon dioxide.

$$k_{26} = 329.850 - 110.541 \log T - \frac{17265.4}{T} \quad (A.8)$$

**A.4. Equilibrium Constants:** The equilibrium constant of the reaction (25), $K_1$ (m$^{-1}$ kmol$^{-1}$), can be determined by the combination of the equilibrium for reaction (28) and reaction (27). The equilibrium constant for the reaction (26), $K_2$ (m$^{-1}$ kmol$^{-1}$), at infinite dilution is reported by Hikita et al. [15].

$$\log K_2 = \frac{1568.94}{T} + 0.4134 - 0.006737T \quad (A.9)$$

The effect of the electrolyte concentration on $K_2$ is given by Hikita et al. [15] and Roberts and Danckwerts [16].

$$\frac{\log K_2}{K_2} = \frac{1.011}{1 + 1.49T} \quad (A.10)$$

The solubility product, $K_w$ (kmol$^{-1}$ m$^{-6}$), was taken from Tsonopoulou et al. [24].

$$\log K_w = -\left(\frac{5839.5}{T} + 22.4773 \log T - 61.2062\right) \quad (A.11)$$

The equilibrium constant of reaction (28), $K_1$ (kmol$^{-1}$ m$^{-3}$) is reported in Sharma and Danckwerts [17].

$$\log K_1 = -\left(\frac{3404.7}{T} + 14.843 - 0.03279T\right) \quad (A.12)$$
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