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Abstract: The concept of adaptive rationality as a characteristic of economic behavior viewed in the framework of evolution of institutions is proposed in the paper. The analysis of the most important approaches to rationality in the economic theory has allowed identifying the special nature of adaptive rationality and its manifestations at various levels of the economic behavior. The adaptive rationality is discussed in connection with formation and identification of institutions. Such an approach is aimed at development of tools contributing to a deeper understanding of evolutionary processes within a specific economic order.
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INTRODUCTION

Classical Economic Theory Approaches to Rational Behavior: In economic theory, rationality is the most important prerequisite of neoclassical analysis and is usually associated with selfish behavior and maximization. In the commonplace sense, rationality is synonymous to soundness and sensibility, while the standpoint of scientific analysis requires a more detailed treatment of the notion.

“From neoclassical perspective rationality is typically understood as corresponding to utility maximization or, more formally, to the satisfaction of the axioms of standard expected utility theory. It must be noted that the utility maximization hypothesis comes in three different versions: according to the descriptive (or positive) version, people do in reality deliberately maximize expected utility; the prescriptive (or normative) variety argues that people should maximize expected utility in order to be rational; in the ‘as if’ version, people are seen as behaving as if they were maximizing, without actually performing calculations, so that conscious deliberation is not required” [1, p. 121].

Thus, the choice of the preferred alternative is related to subjective evaluation and ranking. In economic theory, this choice usually comes down to maximizing the utility that depends on the quantity of goods consumed or profit.

The rational behavior is behavior aimed at making rational decisions that best match the necessities of life of an individual, community or organization under current conditions. According to this definition, individual decisions imposed by innate instincts, perception of behavior of others, experience of the individual fixed in his habits and skills may be considered rational. Meanwhile, the instinct for creativity and logical thinking allow outstepping the habitual behavior. The economic behavior is on the whole rational yet it does not mean that it is completely conscious, calculated and optimization-oriented. It only points at practical acceptability of such behavior and its conformity to the needs satisfaction level that is attainable under given conditions.

According to G.B. Kleiner, rationality is understood to mean the ability to consciously and consistently develop optimal programs of actions in line with the information available [2]. It should be pointed out that it is the specific properties of economic actors influencing the process of institutional changes most significantly that the author focuses on; in particular, he mentions psychological factors of evolution of institutions. As the theory of organic irrationality is derived, causes and consequences of most subjects' deviating from the principles of rationality are studied. Alongside with mental particularities of individuals, cultural particularities of social and economic development of the country play a significant part. Changeable goal-setting seems to be
Constructivism is a heterogeneous group of theories created in psychology, social science, philosophy. They emphasize the idea of non-reflective, constructive nature of cognition, linguistic, cultural and historical conditioning of the consciousness, mediatedness of cognition and understanding of the world by individual constructs formed in ontogenesis, the idea of constructive alternativism (the plurality of ways for conceptualizing the events) and pluralism of the truth [7].

In economic theory, the constructivist methodology is employed for identifying the institutions that are relevant at a given place, at a given time and in given groups. Rules and standards of behaviors being inseparable from the language in which they are formulated, they have to be studied within the context of existing discourses of the main actors.

Adaptive Rationality: Conceptual Framework: In the evolutionary perspective, the behavior of actors is related to adaptation to the current institutional conditions that form up the space for possible actions and influence the learning and creation of behavioral patterns. Within the context of evolutionary approach, we suggest using the adaptive rationality prerequisite as the most suitable one for analyzing the behavior in conditions of qualitative institutional dynamics.

Adaptive rationality is manifested in disposition of individuals to varied strategic action depending on the existing institutions that determine the opportunities and particularities of obtaining and interpreting the information. In developing their behavioral strategies, individuals follow two rules of thumb: "adherence to the majority" and "adherence to the minority". In other words, when choosing a variant of behavior, the actors pay attention to the influence of their choice on regularity of interactions within the current institutional environment. The choice will depend on individual interpreting the available information in terms of the existing institutions. An example of these rules may be shown by W. Arthur's model of going to a bar [8]. The author analyzes the problem of selecting a variant of behavior depending on assessment of probability of choices made by other participants.

Adaptive rationality implies following certain examples of behavior that are accessible and clear for actors. They interpret current rules and thus determine individual behavioral patterns for themselves. Here it has
to be pointed out that social and economic exchanges themselves create implicit knowledge that subsequently gets fixed in the prevailing institutions.

The notions of "adaptive rationality" and of "bounded rationality" have similar meanings [9]. Both are based on recognition of the importance of fundamental uncertainty when analyzing the economic behavior. The main distinction of the adaptive rationality model from the bounded rationality one consists in that adaptive rationality does not even imply seeking to choose the best variant, as this variant cannot be determined depending on other social or economic exchanges. Thus, adaptive rationality is one based on interpretation of institutions within the context of implicit knowledge dispersed among the actors [10, 11]. Adaptive rationality modeling requires studying the discourse of main actors in order to discover the relevant rules employed in constructing of social reality [12, 13], which will allow not only identifying rules and institutions but also determining principles of their evolution.

Changeable goal-setting is an example of adaptive rationality of economic actors. As the changes in goal-setting are conditioned by both endogenous and exogenous factors, it seems logically impossible for an outside observer to ex ante predict what value setting will prevail in the following point of time. Nevertheless, classes of patterns, rules and institutions may be singled out that are available for actors in a certain special institutional organization of the economic order.

The role of institutions in understanding the adaptive rationality prerequisite is in much similar to their role in the transforming downward causation [14] that is employed within the original (old) institutionalism. The downward causation is manifested in the fact that institutions existing at the given time and at the given place form special behavioral patterns in actors included into the action of this institutional environment. Not only do the individuals follow the rules contained in institutions, but they also obtain for themselves the relevant information for decision making by virtue of the existing institutional environment.

The individuals not only compare costs of following the rules with costs of breaking them, but, more importantly, they obtain the relevant information, including one on possible and acceptable variants of actions owing to institutionalization within this or that economic order. However, actions of individuals are one of the factors transforming social reality.

In complex adaptive systems, the social (institutionalized) reality itself is a result of the actors' adapting to changing conditions of decision making. Moreover, the very fact of making a certain decision to enter economic or social exchange is one of prerequisites for changing the behavioral patterns used ad hoc.

This is why it can be concluded that the diversity of behavioral patterns, on the one hand, increases uncertainty in decision making, while on the other hand, it improves the stability of the system against action of external shocks. It is expressed in actions of individuals following strategies that do not imply too big percentage of self-fulfilling forecasts, which leads to collapsing of markets and curtailing of economic and social exchanges (e.g. panics at financial markets, systemic economic crisis, poverty trap).

A significant number of contemporary economists belonging to various schools of thought agree that bounded rationality and fundamental uncertainty are sources of transaction costs and, therefore, models of economic organizations [15]. Representatives of mainstream view bounded rationality from the standpoint of quantitative studies and formal models. In contrast to them, economists of non-orthodox schools focus on qualitative research of institutions, routines and rules that further decrease of uncertainty. The bounded rationality prerequisite, or the adaptive rationality one – in its modified form, allows understanding the particularities and principles of evolution of institutions better. In its turn, this broadens the scope of practical application for institutional analysis of economic behavior included in cultural, social, psychological and political context. The import and cultivation of institutions can and must be based on the heuristic potential of adaptive rationality.

Identification of Institutions: It is to be borne in mind when studying the adaptive rationality that rules are formed and interpreted within the discourses which are related to language used by actors for social and economic interactions [16].

The relevant rules have to be revealed alongside with studying of stories told by actors. This is done in order to determine what rules implementation mechanisms are used by actors proceeding from their understanding of the normative aspect and existing institutions. Moreover, interpretation of rules by the actors may also be related to their adaptation to current implicit or explicit conventions in a certain group or special economic order.
The classical approach to economic behavior implies the individuals' conscious pursuit of their interests. It does not consider the possibility of non-economic motivation, irrational actions performed under the influence of misapprehension. An achievement of contemporary behavioral economics consists in studying the systematic principles of irrational behavior both at microlevel and on the scale of markets and states, e.g. in order to develop macroeconomic policy. In the work by G. Akerlof and R. Shiller, the irrationality, “animal spirits”, is used for denoting all the unordered and the illogical in economy. At the same time, it characterizes the way we behave in ambiguous or uncertain conditions [17]. The ideas of economic crises being mostly caused by peculiarities of thinking, changes in attitudes and stereotypes contradict the current economic theories. However, the social and psychological reasons of instability in financial and real estate markets manifested themselves with all their acuteness at the end of the last decade of the 21st century. It has become impossible for economic theory to overlook irrational behavior based on emotions and illusory perception of reality that seized the population of entire countries. The level of trust in economic systems, ideas of justice and bad faith, financial illusions and real-life experience of individuals within the context of more general “stories” reflecting the actual evolving system, the very actions of actors generate stereotypical beliefs that are widely known in the market. The theory of games shows possible variants of actions in cases when rules and institutions are given. In a real evolving system, the very actions of actors generate implicit knowledge which is subsequently reflected in the forming institutions.

The “irrational behavior” in individuals is in much an adaptive response towards the existence of fundamental uncertainty [18]. The beliefs reflected in stories that are told by actors during social interactions are formed on the basis of individual identification with social roles, statuses and institutions that determine the habitual or approved by society models of behavior [19]. As F. Knight sees it, identification in institutional coordinates is an action targeted at reducing fundamental uncertainty [20].

If fundamental uncertainty is understood to mean a situation when the information required for decision making has not yet been created, then adaptive rationality assumes that individuals who choose behavior models proceeding from their own understanding of the current state of affairs employ previously created implicit knowledge in order to obtain information that is relevant for them under current interactions.

In this context, implicit knowledge is viewed as a result of social and economic exchanges, which is close to its treatment by the Austrian school. With it, the set of individual knowledge dispersed in the economic system is used for forming institutional organization of economic order. This is the advantage of implicit knowledge in coordinating the economic activity [21].

In the contemporary economic theory, when economic behavior is analyzed within the context of institutional limitations influencing the choices of strategies, theory of games is widely used. The theory of games provides the analytical basis allowing the researchers to deductively limit the set of (rational) beliefs, thus reflecting the expectations of people relative to actions to be performed by others in various unforeseeable circumstances. With generally accepted beliefs being usually widely known when players make their best responses to them, the set of permissible beliefs is limited by those that are self-backed up. Therefore, this definite subset of beliefs can be formalized as a probability distribution series in the combination of equilibrium strategy. Each distribution of probability reflects a player's expectation about actions to be accepted or declined in the course of the game [22]. However, the generally accepted beliefs about institutions, as well as interpretation of institutional limitations, are not evident or given exogenously. The theory of games shows possible variants of actions in cases when rules and institutions are given. In a real evolving system, the very actions of actors generate implicit knowledge which is subsequently reflected in the forming institutions.

The probability of choices in adaptation to current institutional conditions cannot be evaluated by actors using deductive models. However, getting into the world of induction, we should not forget the importance of interpretation of rules within the context of correct forecasts influencing the adaptation of the system to current institutions. If the current cognitive and behavioral models allow the system to exist stably, despite relatively low efficiency of use of resources, then the problem of economic development has to be considered in terms of endogenous institutional changes and actions of special interest groups.

The analysis of adaptive rationality consists in detecting principles of inductive reasoning within the complex systems within the context of interaction of actors between themselves and influence on such interactions by the existing institutions. Thus, the primary task of a researcher is determining the main actors and correlating them with interest groups, provided these actors are included in the clear interest groups.
Forming of institutions and changes to them are related to special interest groups. As M. Olson has demonstrated, interest groups may pursue both special goals that are characteristic for a narrow group and targeted at redistribution and universal ones that reflect the interests of the society as a whole [23]. Coordination inside the interest groups is based on consensus about interactions in order to produce the collective good. The institutions which incorporate special interest groups are an instance of collective goods for the group.

Actions of special interest groups as institutional innovators may also be considered in terms of adaptive rationality. Implementation of an institutional innovation not only changes the distribution of rent in the society but also leads to both medium-term and long-term changing of roles of interest groups within the economic order. In the short-term period, the groups respond to the amount of rent which results from an institutional innovation. Other actors involved in the action of institution being implemented will perform actions aimed at following the effect of standards contained in the institutions or at evading it.

In the evolutionary perspective, special interest groups undergo selection, as a result of which interest groups incorporated in action of an institution are created or destroyed [24].

The process of identifying the relevant institutions has to be complemented by finding the interest groups that are involved in its action or that are institutional innovators having implemented it. Individuals pursuing their interests by forming groups get into a situation when they have to choose strategies that would allow them to adapt to both the existing and changing conditions. It should be mentioned that adaptive behavior of actors in special interest groups may be of both selfish nature towards individual values and altruistic nature towards values of the group with which they associate themselves. During the social evolution, it is not only selection but also "mutations" of the institutional organization of the economic order that take place. The latter case is when institutions and rules start performing primary functions that are not common to them without changing their title name, which is a neutral adaptational reaction in the form of exaptation of institutions.

A further task is identifying institutions that are relevant for the actors. The relevance of institutions may only be determined by means of studying the discourses or stories told by actors. It is important to bear in mind that one and the same formal and informal institutions can get interpreted in various ways depending on cultural, gender, situational and other factors.

As it has been mentioned above, identification of institutions allows highlighting numerous variants for the actors’ adaptive strategic actions. It is important to recognize that the plurality of possible variants of actions set by institutions does not imply that exactly the optimal result will be chosen. Within the prerequisite of adaptive rationality, the behavior can be irrational and altruistic in nature [3], yet it does not eliminate incidental use of other behavioral models, including maximization, the strong form of rationality.

An important aspect to studying the institutions is also discovering the historical contexts and principles of evolution thereof. In order to solve this problem, written historical documents have to be studied, among them regulations, tests of contemporaries that reflect understanding of the current institutional organization of the economic order. The role of quantitative historical data shall not be underestimated, too: they are required for demonstrating influence of institutions on the fields and results of economic activity of the contemporaries.

The institutions have to be identified proceeding from a clear idea of the main mechanisms of social and economic exchanges existing in the market or within an organization [25]. Once the main social and economic exchange mechanisms have been identified, the composition and degree of participation of the main actors in the relationships studied should be defined clearly.

The actors that are studied for identification of rules and institutions can respond to the very fact of presence of a researcher within the economic organization or administrative regulating structure under study, thus altering or adjusting their behavior as appropriate. This is the case where we face adaptation of actors to researcher's interference into the structure of relationships studied.

It is not only from analyzing interview transcripts that the information about the current relevant rules and institutions can be obtained. Stories told by the main actors as historical and literary works, memoirs and recollections can present a source of no smaller importance. Moreover, the said sources may help see the problem under study in a historical perspective.

Historical qualitative and quantitative data matter much throughout studying the institutional organization of the economic order within the context of adaptive rationality. The institutions identified as relevant in
At the macrolevel, adaptive rationality is related to actions of policymakers who develop and implement economic policy measures, on the one hand and of actors subject to these regulation measures, on the other hand. Rational expectations may be recognized as the simplest adaptive response of individuals, provided that there are no relevant limitations by institutional organization of the economy.

The institutional organization of the economy is characterized by several institutional hierarchy levels which the actors face during decision making. However, due to their inertness [26] and diversity, the institutions change at various rates depending on the actions of institutional innovators.

An important condition for stability of an economic order is a relevant economic policy which takes into account current institutional limitations within the context of actions by actors adapting their behavior in accordance with their understanding of efficiency of regulation mechanisms being implemented.

The independent observers may perceive adaptive rational actors as ones acting irrationally. Nevertheless, irrationality of behavior may be determined by the existing inefficient or suboptimal institutions that prevent actors from efficiently using the implicit or dispersed knowledge, as well as from using and bringing about the advantages of economic market coordination.

The contemporary efficient economic orders are close to open access orders in their organization. When interpreting the history of development of the humanity, North, Wallis and Weingast note: “Unlike the natural state, which actively manipulates the interests of elites and non-elites to ensure social order, the open access order allows individuals to pursue their own interests through organizations [27, p. 255].

When creating organizations, the individuals create internal rules or routines enabling them to exploit the advantages of control transactions versus transactions in open markets. Yet the interactions within the organizations are not limited to relationships “superior vs subordinate”. Actors adapt to development of the organization and influence the formation of internal institutions and rules. We cannot get a relevant idea of the behavior of individuals within an organization unless we take into account the institutional structure existing in it. The institutions, rules and routines discovered allow revealing the variants of behavior which, in their turn, may either intensify or attenuate depending on the behavior strategies opted for by actors.
Intraorganizational behavior can be analyzed within the context of adaptive rationality. In an organization, adaptation is performed by control transactions. The transactions to be fixed in the institutional structure of the organization as common or accepted ones will shape the possibility space within which individuals can make choices that are irrational from the perfect rationality standpoint.

At the organization level, adaptive rationality is related to interaction of actors, first of all, with the internal institutions providing the control transactions. Innovations in control that are reflected in regulation models and systems are nothing more than a special case of actors' adaptation to the task of controlling the organization.

Evolution of organizations is first of all related with formation of a structure of rules, routines, institutions, technologies and statuses. Within a company, the behavior of individuals may be considered in terms of various behavioral prerequisites: bounded rationality, opportunism, obedience or organic rationality. Anyway, using the behavioral prerequisite of adaptive rationality allows focusing on the evolutionary dynamics.

When they choose a certain strategy of behavior within a company, the actors may both associate their goals with those of the organization and not. However, they cannot but face a response to their decisions on the part of intracompany structures and other actors. And here it is an interpretation of the current rules and institutions by actors that is important. The space of possible variants of actions can render preferable both behavior variants leading to maximization and ones leading to altruistic behavior. This is why identification of institutions is one of the fundamental particularities of the approach based on the adaptive rationality prerequisite.

The institutional organization of economic order is heterogeneous, which implies existence of various approaches, methods and techniques that allow singling out the relevant institutions at nano-, micro-, meso- and macro-levels of the institutional organization as well as at global ones. Anyway, regardless of the level, our approach to studying the economic behavior is based upon the prerequisite of studying an open evolving complex adaptive system, thus mostly staying within the framework of inductive methods.

Social Capital and Formation of Institutions

Determining the Possibilities for Economic Behavior:
The deductive economic behavior models that constitute the basis of the mainstream contemporary economic theory reflect the standard positivist approach to finding out the significance of theories being developed. Such an approach is based on M. Friedman's thesis about the prognostic power of the economic science: “Its task is to provide a system of generalizations that can be used to make correct predictions about the consequences of any change in circumstances. Its performance is to be judged by the precision, scope and conformity with experience of the predictions it yields” [28, p. 4].

If consider economic systems as evolving and complex adaptive ones, a conclusion can be made that the mainstream economists overestimate the prognostic power of deductive models that are based on the human behavior aimed at maximizing of its target function, let it be with institutional limitations. As W. Arthur has demonstrated, “…in interactive situations of complication, agents cannot rely upon the other agents they are dealing with to behave under perfect rationality and so they are forced to guess their behavior. This lands them in a world of subjective beliefs and subjective beliefs about subjective beliefs. Objective, well-defined, shared assumptions then cease to apply. In turn, rational, deductive reasoning (deriving a conclusion by perfect logical processes from well-defined premises) itself cannot apply”. [8, p. 406].

The research of "subjective beliefs about subjective beliefs" has to rely on inductive models that are based on understanding of institutional condition to the many variants of the actors' choosing strategies of behavior. However, nor are the institutions objectively given entities. They are subject to interpretation on the part of actors who can influence change of a rule during its being used for structuring repeated interactions in the economic practice as a result of their view or understanding of the rule.

An important role for understanding the adaptive rationality prerequisite in institutions formation and change is played by the social capital phenomenon. First of all, social capital involves the social connections that are based on trust and solidarity and allow using the past experience of social and economic interactions in order to reduce uncertainty in new transactions. Particularities of the social capital influence formation of institutional environment of actors.

Social capital is an important aspect in studying the economic behavior within the concept of adaptive rationality. Social capital also influences the availability of options, as it incorporates reproducible social connections. Social capital features a more limited validity span as compared to institutions. So, the information
required for decision making is transferred by means of the existing institutions, social capital and implicit knowledge available to actors.

Within this approach to analysis of economic behavior, bounded rationality is essentially different from adaptive rationality. Their main distinctions are related to the role of institutions and goals set by actors. Under bounded rationality, the actors seek to maximize their utility, with cognitive and institutional limitations. Meanwhile, adaptive rationality does not basically imply maximizing any functions by actors. Actors who make a decision depending on institutions, social capital and implicit knowledge available take into account the behavior of other actors.

According to U. Witt, evolution can be defined as the self-transformation of an observed system over time [29]. So within the evolutionary school in economic theory, the adaptive rationality prerequisite draws attention to self-transformation of systems and adaptation of actors to changes. This is why studies have to focus on the existing institutional factors that shape possibility space for economic and social exchanges. Measures of economic policy also have to be based on the following:

- Firstly, on the relevant idea about the current formal and informal institutions;
- Secondly, on the potential adaptive strategies of actors, with the possibility space set by institutions borne in mind.

Economic behavior modeling should not resemble drawing up a plan or self-fulfilling forecast. If we assume that institutions are important and complex in terms of studying the evolution of the economic order, then modeling of human behavior consists in possibility "mapping". Routes in the map are selected by actors themselves, guided by their understanding and vision of possible options.

Studies of economic behavior in the evolutionary perspective are required for developing cognitive tools essential for understanding adaptation processes of strategies of the actors in the current coordinates of institutions, social capital and implicit knowledge and not for tracing the path of evolution for an economic system in the direction of optimal use of resources.

The reverse side of competitive process is fundamental uncertainty. Competition is significant as its results cannot be predicted in advance [30]. But if the events have not yet occurred, we will inevitably get into situations of irremovable fundamental uncertainty. However, the previous studies in evolution principles of institutional organization of the economic order may be an important source of information both for actors being direct subjects of economic processes and for regulators implementing economic policy measures and thus creating new rules and institutions. This is why institutional monitoring has to underlie study of evolution of institutional organization of economy, as well as researches into economic behavior in the framework of adaptive rationality prerequisite.

In empiric research into economic behavior, two important aspects shall be borne in mind. Firstly, actions of actors have to be correlated with psychological decision making principles. Psychical peculiarities of information perception and interpretation can result in psychological biases in making economic decisions. D. Kahneman and A. Tversky have proved that decision making under uncertainty has to be based on psychological factors taken into account [31]. For instance, "wrong ideas of regression" (as a manifestation of regression to the average), "validity illusion" and "illusory interrelation" can yield irrelevant evaluation by the society of incentives provided by private (individualized) property in conditions of the present-day economy, where a large part is played by the government regulation of economic processes [32]. Secondly, in order to identify relevant rules and institutions which individuals are guided by, it is necessary to study discourses, stories and narratives in terms of historic and cultural processes taking place in society over the time spans studied. Peculiarities of behavior feature attachment to the context, which does not diminish their significance for qualitative and normative evaluation of efficiency of an intracompany organization and market process as parts of the single evolving social organism.

Institutions set limitations and create incentives for actors. However, it is important to remember that institutions shape cognitive and informational conditions for the process of choice and ranking of alternatives. Actions of the economic actors can be viewed from the standpoint of both rational and irrational behavior [17]. Irrational actions of the actors may also receive ex post quite rational explanation, with institutional environment overlooked by the contemporaries. For example, the Luddites movement in the end of the 19th century is traditionally viewed as (mainly) irrational attack at machine production and technology [33]. Instead, as Anderson and Tollison argue, the destruction of capital was a rational goal of those eager to support the knitwear cartel [34].
Economic behavior has to be analyzed with ex ante and ex post institutional factors. Ex post interpretation of behavior will explicitly or implicitly include institutional factors ignored for some reasons by actors when they entered economic and social exchanges in the given temporal and historic coordinates.

Thus, studies of economic behavior in terms of the adaptive rationality prerequisite feature a clearly defined contextual form. Identification and description of rules, institutions and social capital allow revealing the principles of economic and social actions at a certain stage of economic evolution of the society, be they even not universal (unlike laws in natural sciences). This further enables us to test and explore new interactions, proceeding from the scientific results achieved. This approach to analysis of economic behavior will be sound if we succeed in finding similar principles of institutional organization and decision making in other spatial and temporal examples of social and economic interactions.

The approach based on analyzing the economic behavior from the standpoint of adaptive rationality is more focused on development of tools contributing to a deeper insight into institutional contexts and evolutionary processes within a certain economic order. A relevant understanding of processes and knowledge of evolution path of institutional organization of an economic order may underlie the development of efficient regulation measures, with actions of special interest groups taken into account.
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