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Abstract: The current study makes theoretical contribution by developing a model explaining the relationship among transformational leadership, work environment and employee performance. While empirically, these relationships were examined in manufacturing sector of Pakistani economy. The results reveal positive and significant impact of transformational leadership and work environment on employee performance. Moreover, the work environment was also found to play a mediating role in the relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance. Implications and future research were also discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

The Organizations today consider employees as their top most priority. A lot of effort is put in order to attain, retain and motivate them. The traditional role of employees is no more effective and the organizations are finding new ways of working. The key to organizational success is the competitive workforce [1, 2]. The organizations strive hard to align organizational strategies and objectives with employee’s behaviors in order to stay competitive [3, 4]. The way in which employees accomplish organizationally set goals and relate their interpersonal behaviors to the organizational norms can be termed as employee performance [5].

Employee performance was found to be related to a number of factors including conscientiousness, openness to experience, agreeableness and emotional stability [6]. An empirical investigation found significant relationship between TQM practices and employee performance [7]. Employee performance was also found to be affected by trait competitiveness, self efficacy and level of effort [8]. Iun and Huang [9] examined the relationship between age and performance and found organizational commitment moderating the relationship. Moreover, a positive effect of transformational leadership was also found on employees’ performance [5].

Transformational leadership theory is deemed as one of the most influential theories of the management literature. It is speculated to increase the performance of the employees within the organization or a team [10-12]. Transformational leaders act as a role model and shows concern for the employees. In this way they are able to articulate a clear vision, align employee’s self and organizational interest and encourage followers to achieve their goals [13, 14].

Transformational leadership can be defined as a form of leadership motivating followers to perform beyond expectations by transforming their values, aspirations, needs and priorities. [15]. Moreover, such leaders encourage followers to think of collective interest to achieve collective goals [16]. They create an emotional link with their followers; make them realize the significance of shared mission and a sense of purpose in order to encourage their commitment to the results [16].

Transformational leadership has been extensively studied during the last twenty years and is found to be positively related to a variety of outcomes in various situations and organizations [17, 18]. For instance Kouzes and Posner [19] identified that among all the leadership styles transformational leadership can be helpful to accomplish organizational goals. They also proposed that strong leadership can alter visions into realities, values into actions, obstacles into innovations and successful leadership helps to develop a climate in which followers
are well motivated to transform challenges into success. Marion and Uhl-Bien [20] advocated that complex dynamics within organizations can be simplified by the strong leadership. For instance, leaders may support their followers make sense of the changes and provide guidance and help them to cope with the ambiguous change.

Work environment is considered to be an important factor affected by transformational leadership and affecting performance. It is composed of all factors related to job and organization, which influence the relationship between employees, their job and the organization [21]. Organizations in order to boost productivity design work environment in a way that satisfies employees [22].

Dension [23] proposed that there are two components of work environment: culture and climate. During the last decade these two constructs have gained comparatively more attention of researchers [24]. Some researchers have used these two terms interchangeably [25] whereas, others claim that they overlap [26]. While, some emphasize that they are different from each other [27]. However, the operational definition of these two constructs can better help to understand their true sense. Cooke and Szumal [28] defined organization culture as the set of norms, beliefs, assumptions and values shared by the individuals which prevail in the organization. These values and beliefs help the employees to approach the work in the organization and help them to perform in better way. Whereas on the other hand organizational climate is the property of individual and defines the way that how does he perceive his work [29]. Climate can be described as the practices, procedures, policies and routines followed within the organization whereas culture provides the underlying mechanism and reasons for why these things occur based on individuals’ assumptions, values and beliefs [30] therefore in the light of above definitions culture is the organizational property and climate is the individual’s property [29]. However, multiple cultures and climates may prevail within the organization under different supervisors [31]. They provide the context within which employees function and perform on daily basis [32].

Theory Building: Management literature is rich of the researches demonstrating the effect of transformational leadership on a number of outcomes. Transformational leadership is found to have a relationship with job satisfaction [15], organizational commitment [33], turnover intention [33] and innovation [34]. The positive relationship of transformational leadership has been found with motivation, individual and financial performance [35, 36]. The link among transformational leadership and performance is obvious from previous literature [12, 37, 38]. The effect of transformational leadership has also been established with employee performance in Asian context [39]. For instance studies by Bono and Judge, [40]; Walumbwa, Wang, Lawler and Shi, [41] revealed that the followers of the transformational leaders are comparatively more satisfied, concerned about work, motivated and devoted to their organizations and reduce turnover. Such kinds of leaders promote followers’ confidence; motivate them by considering their individual requirements and ambitions [42]. They also acknowledge, recognize and try to address the individual needs of the followers [10, 43] which in return provide them higher level of aspirations [44]. Hence, followers who are well motivated perform beyond expectations and mostly work to achieve longer-term goals resulting in increased job satisfaction [45]. Transformational leaders pay individualized attention which becomes an important reason of motivating followers to perform beyond expectation [46].

H1: The Transformational leadership perception would lead to high employee performance

The new era has given the leadership researches a new shape. Leadership does not take place in vacuum so organizational context is considered potentially Important [47]. The researchers started exploring the importance of situation antecedents on leadership especially transformational leadership [43, 48]. Transformational leadership was found to be more influential in uncertain and changing work environments [36, 49]. An important contribution in the transformational leadership literature is made by an empirical study conducted by Bass, Avolio, Jung and Berson [48] as it is one of the few studies examining the effect of transformational leaders on employees outside the stable work environment.

H2: Transformational leadership has an impact on work environment

Kravetz [50] proposed that management practices and policies (organization climate) foster participation, creativity and autonomy and are objective indicators of performance. Hansen and Wernerfelt [51] studied the effects of internal organization on performance and found a positive relationship between two constructs. Ostroff and Bowen [52] argue that employees’ attitude and behavior (organization climate) influences individuals’ motivational level which in return affects their
performance. The performance within the organization is affected by the employees’ values (organization culture) and to which extent they are aligned to organizational strategy [53,23, 54].

There has been a heavy body of research suggesting that organizational and individual performance is a function of existing culture, characteristics and underlying traits within the organization [55-58]. Denison [23] and Gordon and DiTomaso [54] both established a link between culture and performance and proposed that performance is a function of organization culture and varies with the adaptability of cultural traits. Therefore in order to sustain competitive edge organizations need to adapt those traits which are necessary [59, 60].

**H3:** Work environment is related to employee performance.

The understanding of the processes through which the leaders influence organizational outcomes is still limited and largely speculative [61, 62]. This relationship needs to be established further. Past researches have explored the influence of transformational leadership on performance through some intermediate constructs such as knowledge management [64], entrepreneurship [65], congruence in top management teams [66], flexibility [67], absorptive capacity [68] and culture [69]. As work environment is one of the most important factors in the relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance. So it is proposed that work environment mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and performance. Work environment has a positive relationship with both transformational leadership and employee performance respectively. Therefore, present study is aimed at examining the role of work environment. In the presence of transformational leadership within an organization, when workers feel their work environment as a comfortable place to work at, they would surely outperform. There is a lack of empirical research exploring this relationship so; this study fills the gap by exploring the mediating role of work environment in the relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance.

**H4:** Work environment mediates the relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance.

**Methodology**

**Sample:** A purposive sample of 215 employees from manufacturing sector of Pakistan was used for the study. About 21.4 percent of respondents were male and 78.6 percent were female. Majority of the respondents belong to age group of 20 to 30 years. Education level of respondents was bachelors and above. Pilot study was also conducted to test the reliability of adapted instrument on a sample of 70 respondents.

**Measures**

**Employee Performance:** The concept of employee performance was measured using a scale developed by Babin and Boles [70] consisting of 4 items. The scale was anchored at five point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

**Transformational Leadership:** The instrument consisted of 20 items of Transformational leadership adopted from Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire MLQ-5X, developed by Bass and Avolio [71]. Five point Likert scale was used ranging from 1 being strongly disagree to 5 being strongly agree.

**Work Environment:** The concept of work environment was measured by using a scale developed by Lee and Brand [72]. It contained 5 items and was anchored at 5 point likert type scale ranging from 1 (Strongly disagree) to 5 (Strongly agree).

**RESULTS**

Table 1 exhibits the correlation matrix of all the variables of present research. The results show that employee performance is significantly and positively associated with transformational leadership ($r = .31, p < .01$) and work environment ($r = .51, p < .01$). Transformational leadership is also significantly and positively associated with work environment ($r = .21, p < .01$). The values of Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for all the scales used in the current research are also exhibited in above table. All scales reliabilities exceeded the.70 recommended by Nunnally [73]. Cronbach’s alpha of .82,.89 and.54 for transformational leadership, employee performance and work environment respectively, were significantly high for research use.
Transformational Leadership is positive and significantly related to Employee Performance ($=0.31$, $p<0.01$). Transformational Leadership explains 10% of the variance in Employee Performance.

Figure 2 reveals the mediating role of Work Environment in the relationship between Transformational Leadership and Employee Performance. Transformational leadership is positively and insignificantly related to Employee Performance ($=0.17$, $p>0.01$) with the inclusion of Work Environment as mediating variable in the model. Work Environment is significantly related to both the Transformational Leadership and Employee Performance ($p<0.01$). Transformational Leadership explains 34% variance in Work Environment. Transormational Leadership and Work Environment explains 14% variance in Employee Performance. The positive and insignificant relationship between Transformational Leadership and Employee Performance with Work Environment as mediating variables indicates that Work Environment completely mediates the relationship between Transformational Leadership and Employee Performance.

**DISCUSSION**

Employee performance is the focus of the attention of the academicians and practitioners since decades. The Organizations in order to gain competitive edge and achieve success give top priority to the employee performance. As employees are the key to organizational success, new ways are sought to get maximum performance from them. [1,2]. Past literature is evident of different steps to observe the partial or full mediation. First, the direct effect of the predictor to the outcome variable is to be examined. Second, the indirect effect of the predictor to the outcome variable is to be examined through mediating variable. If the predictor variable to the outcome variable is insignificant through the mediating variable, it indicates complete mediation. If the predictor variable to the outcome variable is still significant and path declines, it is the evidence of partial mediation [74,75]. For both complete and partial mediation, the mediating variable should be significantly related to the predictor as well as outcome variable in the model with indirect effect [75, 76]. Table 2 represents the impact of transformational leadership on employee performance using two models i.e. direct and indirect model. These models are described in detail below.

This study uses the procedure explained in literature Hoyle and Smith [74] and Prabhu [75] to examine the mediating role of variable between the predictor and outcome variable [74-76]. This procedure consists of
the relationship between transformational leadership, work environment (i.e. climate and culture) and performance [12, 36, 37, 38, 41, 49, 52, 60].

The aim of the current study was twofold: to examine the effect of transformational leadership and work environment on employee performance and to explore role of work environment as a mediator between transformational leadership and employee performance. Findings based on 215 managers from Pakistani manufacturing organizations support out preposition that transformational leadership have a significant and positive impact on employee performance. The results found support by the past literature revealing significant and positive impact of transformational leadership on employee performance [40, 39, 37, 38, 41]. The hypothesized impact of transformational leadership on work environment was also supported. Transformational leadership was found to influence work environment [48].

The results also supported the preposition anticipating the effect of work environment on employee performance. The result is also in line with the previous researches claiming the effect of work environment on employee performance [51, 52].

The hypothesized mediating role of work environment in the relationship between transformational leadership and employee performance was partially supported. It means that transformational leadership is directly as well as indirectly related to employee performance. The past research is evident of a limited research exploring the effect of transformational leadership on performance through some contextual factors [64-67]. Culture which is an important component of work environment was also found to influence performance [69].

The study was limited due to its cross-sectional study design. However, these types of relationships may require a longitudinal analysis so, the future researches should examine this relationship over a longer period of time. The results were also limited to the uni-dimensional analysis of the variables whereas; the future researches may treat these variables as multi-dimensional i.e. using their facets instead of the whole variable. The study was conducted in the manufacturing sector limiting its scope. The future researches may examine the relationship in other sectors and may compare different sectors as well.
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