

Effect of Heading Cut Levels, Bending and NAA on Spurs Formation, Yield and Fruit Quality of Sun Gold Plum Cultivar

¹Samira, M. Mohamed, ¹T.A. Fayed, ²H.M. El-Shrief and ²O.S. Mokhtar

¹Pomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt
²Deciduous Fruits Department, Hort. Res. Instit., Agric. Res. Center, Egypt

Abstract: This study was carried out during two successive seasons of 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 on 6 years old Sun Gold plum trees budded on Mariana rootstock. Trees were grown in sandy soil of a private orchard at Markaz-Badr region, El-Behera Governorate, Egypt. Four heading cut levels treatments were applied in winter i.e. tipping, shortening 1/3, 1/2 of branches' length and control (un pollarded) trees with or without some spring and summer applications i.e., NAA at 150 ppm, shoots bending and combination between them. Concerning the effect of heading cut levels on number of spurs/shoot; tipping cut treatment was more effective in this concern than either shortening cut treatments or control trees. Moreover, the combination between spraying of NAA plus shoot bending application gave the highest spurs/shoot, followed by treatment of bending and spraying NAA. In addition, Tipping with NAA + bending treatment gave the highest spurs values (10.16 and 10.45 spurs/shoot) in the first and second seasons, respectively. Distribution of spurs at shoot parts was affected by all treatments and higher the terminal or middle parts than the basal part of shoot in the two seasons under study. Whereas, the optimize C/N ratio, yield and fruit quality (weight, size, length, diameter, shape, flesh thickness, TSS and acidity of the fruits) were obtained by shortening the branches by 1/3 level with NAA plus bending treatment in both seasons.

Key words: Binding • C/N ratio • Fruit quality • Heading cut • NAA • Plum • Sun Gold • Spurs • Tipping and Yield

INTRODUCTION

Several techniques are used to reduce or maintain tree canopy size, topping uses heading cuts through wood more than several years old to shorten all stems and branches [1]. Whereas, pollarding time remained the same for each subsequent year, longitudinal sections through stems showed that barrier zones and decay extended farther behind heading cuts on topped trees five years after the initial pruning than cuts on pollarded trees [2]. Heading cuts through branches up to about three years old and annually removes all or most sprouts back to the original heading cuts [3]. Therefore, pruning has been practiced for ages in controlling tree size because it has much less stimulating effect shoot re-growth [4]. Heading back 1/3 of shoots length gave more yield of fruits than heading back 2/3 of them [5].

According to actual knowledge that stated by many workers, summer pruning is more effective in reducing tree size than dormant pruning, because promotes the growth

of short shoots able to fruiting [6]. On the other side, successful plum production in the competitive modern market requires from polish growers optimizing yield, fruit quality; and improving production efficiency, pruning has generally a dwarfing affection the whole tree [7], but just when dormant pruning results in greater shoot re-growth than summer pruning on plum trees [8]. Whereas, Flore *et al.* [9] noticed higher yield and better fruit quality of plum trees after pruning compare with control trees. Also, the plum cultivars have very different characters; some cultivars tend to will balanced yields and other shows on average of too high or low yields. In general, pruning treatments had increased tree yield (up to 53%) compared to unpruned check. Also, pruning plum tree during the late December was found to be optimum as indicated by higher yield than pruning in late January [5]. In addition, summer branch bending is a long established and widely used cultural practice in orchards and its concept has, nowadays, been integrated in to the training system [10]. Lawes *et al.* [11] reported that bending resulted in higher floral precocity and in reduced shoot vigor of the

Doyenne du comice pear. Apple and pear trees yielded more fruit and produced fruit earlier if regulated only by bending than those regulated by pruning alone [12]. However, Lauri and lespinasse [13] have shown that the trees reaction to bending also varies with genotype and time of bending, as will as with the branches angle degree of bending.

To determine if differences in the pruning and training system adopted could modify the concentration of C and N reserves in trees, *prunus domestica* was pruned and trained in two different ways of vertical and horizontal branches systems, horizontal branches (bending) system gave the higher C/N ratio in wood tissues than vertical system [14]. Moreover, bending shoots to horizontal position is known to slow down shoot growth [15, 16]. Similarly, summer bending increased spurs formations on shoots [17-19].

The plum cultivars have very different characters, some cultivars tend to well balanced yields and other shows on average of too high or low yields and important for producing quality is an optimal fruit set [20]. Zbigniew [21] found no difference in flower initiation in shoots of Japanese plum trees, which were summer pruned with various severities. It is known that some prune cultivars set floral buds simultaneously on both spurs and long

shoots, while the others do on either spurs or long one-year-old shoots [22]. Therefore, stimulation of shoot growth in plum by dormant tipping is not always associated with a decrease in floral bud induction and spur formation because it may be cultivar growth habit related [23]. In addition, Aleksander and Kazimierz [24] reported that in all three years at the experiment, an increasing in the intensity of ethylene evaluation by plum flowers and fruitlets was observed after NAA application. An additional indicator of the treatment at the time was falling of petals and a distinctly visible abscission zone between the floral tube and the pedicle, the distinctly more intensive ethylene evaluation was maintained for about eight days after the treatment.

The aim, of this study, is to evaluate spurs formation, yield and fruit quality of Sun Gold plum cultivar under the effect of winter heading cut levels with spring spraying of NAA and/or summer bending applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out during two successive seasons of 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 on 6 years old trees of Sun Gold plum cultivar (newly imported from South Africa) budded on Mariana rootstock (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1: The tree and fruits of Sun Gold plum cultivar grown in a private orchard at Markaz Badr district, El-Behera Governorate, Egypt.

The trees were grown in sandy soil at private orchard, in Markaz Badr district at El-Behera Governorate, Egypt. They were spaced 5×5 m apart, trained as open center shape system and irrigated by drip irrigation system, as well as, subjected to the recommended agriculture practices. This study conducted forty-eight similar trees in shape vigor and uniform of growth. All trees were sprayed with hydrogen cyanamid (commercial product of Dormex contained 49% H₂CN₂) at 1.5% on 20th January in both seasons. Four winter heading cut levels treatments were applied from terminal branch on (one year old shoot) 15th December i.e., tipping 0.5-1 cm, shortening 1/3 and 1/2 branches length beside control trees (un pollarding). All previous winter pruning were applied with or without the following spring and summer applications i.e., double spraying of 150 ppm NAA (15th March, 70% full bloom and 1st April, after initial fruit set), bending at 45 angle degree from the vertical axe on the 1st week of July and combination between them. Each treatment contained three replicates (trees).

The following parameters were estimated and recoded as follows:

- Numbers of spurs that formed on each selected branch (9 branches on each replicate), as well as, their distribution on the branch length (terminal, middle and basal) were determined at the end of growing season (15th December).
- C/N ratio: Samples of buds and nodal tissues were taken monthly from the 2nd week of September until January and dried to determine the total nitrogen, according to Pregel [25] and total carbohydrates as described in AOAC [26] and C/N ratio was calculated.
- Yield and fruit physical characteristics: The yield was estimated (kg/tree) after fruits harvested at maturity stage (during the 2nd week of Augusts). 10 fruits of each replicate were used to determine the fruit physical characters i.e., fruit weight (g), fruit volume (cm³), fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), flesh thickness (cm) and flesh firmness (Lb/inch², by using pentameter pressure tester).
- Fruit chemical characteristics: 10 fruits of each replicate were used to determine the total soluble solids (TSS %) and fruit acidity (%) by titration as malic acid as described in AOAC [26].

Statistical Analysis: The obtained data were tabulated and statistically factorial analyzed as randomize complete block design. The means of results were compared using

LSD method at 5% level [27]. The percentages were transformed to arcsine to find the binomial percentages according to Steel and Torrie [28].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Spur Formation

Total Number of Spurs/Branch: Data presented in Table 1 show that all pruning treatments significantly increased the number of spurs formed per branch. Concerning the effect of heading cut levels factor, tipping cut treatment was more effective in this concern (8.38 spurs/branch) than either shortening cut treatments 1/3 and 1/2 branches (7.65 and 5.81 spurs/branch) respectively. Nevertheless, control trees (un pollarded) gave the lowest spurs/branch (4.61 spurs/branch). Moreover, the effect of spring and summer applications, appeared that combination between NAA + bending gave the highest results in this concern (8.54 spurs/branch) followed by bending alone (7.29 spurs/shoot) or NAA alone (5.68 spurs/branch) then plum trees without any spring and summer applications (4.95 spurs/branch). In addition, the 2nd season was more effective than the first one under study.

Concerning, the interaction between three factors, heading cut levels, spring and summer applications and seasons, tipping with NAA + bending gave the highest results (10.16 and 10.45 spurs/branch) in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively, compared to other treatments under study.

These results agreed with Edward *et al.* [5] on plum tree and Savoboda [29], Ebied [30] and Mohamed *et al.* [19] on different apricot cultivars. Also, Towfik *et al.* [31] noticed that heading cuts of current season shoots gave the higher number of spurs than pinching. Ferree and Schmid [32] added that bending vigorous laterals resulted in more flowering spurs than heading on the apple trees.

Distribution of Spurs at Different Branch Parts:

Distribution of spurs, at different branch parts (basal, middle and terminal) was significantly affected by all treatments as presented in Table 2. Also, terminal or middle parts of branch were higher in spurs than the basal part in the two seasons of study. However, basal part of control trees and terminal part of shortening 1/2 branches level treatments were did not formed any spurs in the two seasons. In addition, shortening 1/3 branch level and tipping treatments with NAA + bending were most effective for spurs formations at the terminal part in

Table 1: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on total number of spurs/shoot of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Seasons 2008/2009 and 2009/2010)

Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	Total number of spurs / shoot Seasons		
		2008/2009	2009/2010	Average
Control	NAA	3.30	3.54	3.42
	Bending	4.93	6.59	5.76
	NAA+Bending	5.47	6.82	6.15
	Without	3.00	3.22	3.11
	Average	4.18	5.04	4.61
Tipping (0.5-1 cm)	NAA	6.90	8.22	7.56
	Bending	8.60	9.63	9.12
	NAA+Bending	10.16	10.45	10.31
	Without	6.43	6.66	6.55
	Average	8.02	8.74	8.38
Shortening (1/3 length)	NAA	5.57	7.92	6.75
	Bending	7.48	8.52	8.00
	NAA+Bending	8.70	10.09	9.40
	Without	5.15	7.77	6.46
	Average	6.73	8.58	7.65
Shortening (1/2 length)	NAA	4.86	5.12	4.99
	Bending	5.91	6.60	6.26
	NAA+Bending	8.04	8.59	8.32
	Without	3.42	3.92	3.67
	Average	5.56	6.06	5.81
General average	6.12	7.11	6.62	
Average of spring and summer applications	NAA	5.16	6.20	5.68
	Bending	6.73	7.84	7.29
	NAA+Bending	8.09	8.99	8.54
	Without	4.50	5.39	4.95
LSD at 5%				
Winter heading cut levels (A)				0.32
Spring and summer applications (B)				0.32
Seasons (C)				0.23
AXB				0.64
AXC				0.45
BXC				0.45
AXBXC				0.90

Table 2: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on spurs distribution per shoot parts of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Seasons 2008/2009 and 2009/2010)

Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	Spurs distribution/shoot parts							
		2008/2009				2009/2010			
		Basal	Middle	terminal	Av.	Basal	Middle	Terminal	Av.
Control	NAA	0.00	1.30	2.00	1.10	0.00	1.65	1.89	1.18
	Bending	0.00	1.92	3.01	1.64	0.00	2.06	4.53	2.20
	NAA+Bending	0.00	1.52	3.95	1.82	0.00	2.49	4.32	2.27
	Without	0.00	0.45	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.75	2.47	1.07
	Average	0.00	1.30	2.88	1.39	0.00	1.74	3.30	1.68
Tipping (0.5-1 cm)	NAA	1.46	2.22	3.22	2.30	1.82	2.44	3.96	2.74
	Bending	1.33	3.24	4.03	2.87	1.65	3.71	4.27	3.21
	NAA+Bending	2.31	3.62	4.23	3.39	2.49	4.15	3.81	3.48
	Without	1.74	2.30	2.39	2.14	1.56	2.74	2.36	2.22
	Average	1.71	2.85	3.47	2.68	1.88	3.26	3.60	2.91
Shortening (1/3 length)	NAA	1.46	1.69	2.42	1.86	1.75	1.73	4.44	2.64
	Bending	1.60	2.59	3.29	2.49	1.64	2.83	4.05	2.84
	NAA+Bending	1.46	2.49	4.75	2.90	1.66	3.29	5.14	3.36
	Without	1.24	1.87	2.04	1.72	1.35	1.85	4.57	2.59
	Average	1.44	2.16	3.13	2.24	1.60	2.43	4.55	2.86

Table 2: Continued

		Spurs distribution/shoot parts							
		2008/2009				2009/2010			
Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	Basal	Middle	terminal	Av.	Basal	Middle	Terminal	Av.
Shortening (1/2 length)	NAA	1.82	3.04	0.00	1.62	1.95	3.17	0.00	1.71
	Bending	2.16	3.75	0.00	1.97	2.64	3.96	0.00	2.20
	NAA+Bending	3.42	4.62	0.00	2.68	3.70	4.89	0.00	2.86
	Without	1.50	1.92	0.00	1.14	1.82	2.10	0.00	1.31
	Average	2.23	3.33	0.00	1.85	2.53	3.53	0.00	2.02
General average	1.35	2.41	2.37	2.04	1.50	2.74	2.86	2.37	
Average of spring and summer applications	NAA	1.19	2.06	1.91	1.72	1.38	2.25	2.57	2.07
	Bending	1.27	2.88	2.58	2.24	1.48	3.14	3.21	2.61
	NAA+Bending	1.80	3.06	3.23	2.69	1.96	3.71	3.32	2.99
	Without	1.12	1.64	1.75	1.50	1.18	1.86	2.35	1.80
LSD at 5%									
Winter heading cut level (A)			0.08				0.08		
Spring and summer applications (B)			0.08				0.08		
Spurs distribution(C)			0.06				0.07		
AXB			0.16				0.15		
AXC			0.14				0.13		
BXC			0.14				0.13		
AXBXC			0.28				0.26		

Table 3: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on C/N ratio of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Season 2008/2009)

		C/N ratio						
		2008/2009						
Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	15/9	15/10	15/11	15/12	15/1	Av.	
Control	NAA	11.32	11.09	12.59	13.76	14.99	12.75	
	Bending	12.38	12.41	13.56	13.62	15.00	13.39	
	NAA+Bending	12.71	13.43	14.75	14.12	15.17	14.03	
	Without	10.08	11.63	11.51	12.20	12.87	11.66	
	Average	11.62	12.14	13.10	13.43	14.51	12.96	
Tipping (0.5-1cm)	NAA	17.34	16.65	16.55	16.66	17.22	16.88	
	Bending	18.62	19.03	19.66	18.09	18.62	18.80	
	NAA+Bending	21.52	21.64	21.13	21.23	20.74	21.25	
	Without	15.90	16.16	15.66	15.50	16.29	15.90	
	Average	18.34	18.37	18.25	17.87	18.22	18.21	
Shortening (1/3 length)	NAA	18.24	17.54	18.20	18.60	18.88	18.29	
	Bending	18.87	20.34	19.54	19.79	19.57	19.62	
	NAA+Bending	25.96	25.01	24.35	25.5	24.05	24.97	
	Without	15.87	15.38	16.24	16.73	16.56	16.16	
	Average	19.74	19.57	19.58	20.16	19.76	19.76	
Shortening (1/2 length)	NAA	13.82	14.27	15.32	14.88	15.17	14.69	
	Bending	14.30	14.32	15.09	16.07	16.24	15.20	
	NAA+Bending	15.30	14.05	15.48	16.40	15.98	15.44	
	Without	13.65	13.65	14.37	15.16	15.00	14.37	
	Average	14.27	14.07	15.06	15.63	15.60	14.93	
General average	15.99	16.04	16.50	16.77	17.02	16.46		
Average of spring and summer applications	NAA	15.18	14.89	15.66	15.98	16.57	15.65	
	Bending	16.04	16.52	16.96	16.89	17.36	16.75	
	NAA+Bending	18.87	18.53	18.93	19.31	18.99	18.92	
	Without	13.88	14.20	14.44	14.90	15.18	14.52	
LSD at 5%								
Winter heading cut level (A)			0.17					
Spring and summer applications (B)			0.17					
Date of sample(C)			0.19					
AXB			0.33					
AXC			0.37					
BXC			0.37					
AXBXC			0.75					

Table 4: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on C/N ratio of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Season 2009/2010)

		C/N ratio					

		2009/2010					
Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	15/9	15/10	15/11	15/12	15/1	Av.
Control	NAA	12.75	13.96	12.80	14.07	14.31	13.58
	Bending	13.55	14.77	13.36	14.41	14.14	14.05
	NAA+Bending	14.65	14.80	13.90	13.89	14.81	14.41
	Without	11.88	13.10	12.81	13.15	13.50	12.89
	Average	13.21	14.16	13.22	13.88	14.19	13.73
Tipping (0.5-1cm)	NAA	17.68	18.62	18.54	19.10	19.33	18.65
	Bending	20.03	20.73	21.92	22.37	22.06	21.42
	NAA+Bending	24.17	24.92	25.65	23.56	24.29	24.52
	Without	16.61	17.19	16.67	17.54	18.41	17.28
	Average	19.62	20.36	20.69	20.64	21.02	20.47
Shortening (1/3 length)	NAA	21.05	21.10	21.19	21.75	20.85	21.19
	Bending	21.58	22.94	23.28	22.09	22.99	22.58
	NAA+Bending	26.17	27.77	27.08	25.80	26.70	26.70
	Without	18.39	18.74	19.34	19.69	20.71	19.37
	Average	21.80	22.64	22.72	22.33	22.81	22.46
Shortening (1/2 length)	NAA	14.45	14.48	14.76	14.75	15.69	14.83
	Bending	14.18	14.29	14.64	15.07	15.79	14.79
	NAA+Bending	17.34	17.73	16.19	16.36	17.30	16.98
	Without	13.90	13.94	14.90	15.33	14.80	14.57
	Average	14.97	15.11	15.12	15.38	15.89	15.29
General average	17.40	18.07	17.94	18.06	18.48	17.99	
Average of spring and summer applications	NAA	16.48	17.04	16.82	17.42	17.55	17.06
	Bending	17.33	18.18	18.30	18.49	18.75	18.21
	NAA+Bending	20.58	21.31	20.70	19.90	20.77	20.65
	Without	15.19	15.74	15.93	16.43	16.85	16.03
LSD at 5%							
Winter heading cut level treatments (A)			0.17				
Spring and summer applications (B)			0.17				
Date of sample(C)			0.19				
AXB			0.34				
AXC			0.38				
BXC			0.38				
AXBXC			0.76				

the two seasons. However, shortening 1/2 branch level with NAA + bending treatment was more effective for spurs formation at middle part in both seasons under study. The superiority of tipping with spraying NAA + bending treatment may be due to effectiveness for former mean rather faster one for controlling apical dominance phenomenon i.e., the inhibition of lateral bud growth by auxin emanating from the apical bud [33]. Moreover, increasing the shoot angle by bending known to increase the lateral spurs number at terminal part of branch [17-19].

C/N Ratio: Data presented in Tables 3 and 4 revealed that shortening 1/3 branches level treatment increased C/N ratio in spurs and buds plus nodal tissues followed by tipping, shortening 1/2 branches level then control trees in both seasons. Also, the combination between NAA +

bending application gave the highest value in this concern. Generally, C/N ratio was significantly increased from 15th September till 15th January in both seasons. Also, shortening 1/3 branches level with NAA + bending treatment gave the highest C/N ratio compared to other treatments in all samples date under study.

In this respect, total carbohydrate and C/N ratio were significantly increased in spurs and buds of pruned trees affecting by different heading cut levels with NAA and / or bending. This may be due to increasing leaf chlorophyll content and photosynthesis rate activity [17-19, 34, 35]. Also, these results may be due to horizontal branches system (bending) which gave higher C/N ratio, in wood tissues, than vertical system [14]. Moreover, bending shoots to horizontal position is known to slow down shoot growth [15, 16].

Table 5: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on yield (Kg/tree) of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Seasons 2008/2009 and 2009/2010)

Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	Yield (Kg/tree)		
		Seasons		
		2008/2009	2009/2010	Average
Control	NAA	18.82	19.46	19.14
	Bending	20.73	22.22	21.47
	NAA+Bending	22.13	23.51	22.82
	Without	13.15	15.25	14.20
	Average	18.71	20.11	19.41
Tipping (0.5-1cm)	NAA	28.63	30.62	29.63
	Bending	33.11	32.07	32.59
	NAA+Bending	36.51	37.07	36.79
	Without	28.27	25.51	26.89
	Average	31.63	31.32	31.47
Shortening (1/3 length)	NAA	31.93	33.09	32.51
	Bending	33.78	35.41	34.60
	NAA+Bending	35.20	37.62	36.41
	Without	29.60	32.29	30.94
	Average	32.63	34.60	33.62
Shortening (1/2 length)	NAA	21.47	21.28	21.38
	Bending	21.68	22.86	22.27
	NAA+Bending	21.91	24.21	23.06
	Without	19.49	20.87	20.18
	Average	21.14	22.31	21.72
General average	26.03	27.08	26.56	
Average of spring and summer applications	NAA	25.21	26.11	25.66
	Bending	27.33	28.14	27.73
	NAA+Bending	28.94	30.60	29.77
	Without	22.63	23.48	23.05
LSD at 5%				
Winter heading cut level (A)			0.87	
Spring and summer applications(B)			0.87	
Seasons(C)			0.61	
AXB			1.74	
AXC			1.23	
BXC			1.23	
AXBXC			2.45	

Yield (Kg/tree): Table 5 show that yield per trees was significantly affected by all treatments under study compared to the untreated ones (control) in both seasons. Concerning the winter heading cut levels treatments effect, shortening 1/3 branches level treatment gave the highest average of yield (33.62 Kg/tree) followed tipping (31.47 Kg/tree), shortening 1/2 branches level (21.72 Kg/tree) then control trees (19.41 Kg/tree). However, spring spraying of NAA at 150 ppm plus summer bending application gave the highest yield compared to single application of NAA or bending alone in both seasons. Also, the yield/tree was increased in the second season than in the first one. Concerning the interaction between headings cut levels and spring and summer applications, tipping or shortening 1/3 branches level with NAA + bending gave the best results during two seasons under study.

These results are parallel with the data of Mika [7], who found that dormant pruning resulted in greater yield per tree than any pruning date. Heading cut through branches and bending increased the yield of plum trees [22, 23, 36]. Also, the yield of plum trees was increased affecting by NAA application at the time of petals fall and distinctly visible abscission zone between the floral tube and the pedicle [24].

Fruit Physical Properties: As shown in Tables 6-8, weight, size, length, diameter, shape and flesh thickness of Sun Gold plum fruits were affected significantly by different winter heading cut levels and spring and summer application treatments. The highest values of all parameters except fruit shape were recorded by shortening 1/3 branches level followed by shortening 1/2 branches level, tipping then control trees in both seasons.

Table 6: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on fruit weight and size of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Seasons 2008/2009 and 2009/2010)

Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	Fruit weight (gm)			Fruit size (cm ³)		
		2008/2009	2009/2010	Average	2008/2009	2009/2010	Average
Control	NAA	29.65	30.18	29.92	28.44	29.32	28.88
	Bending	30.49	31.26	30.88	29.32	30.60	29.96
	NAA+Bending	31.80	32.44	32.12	30.65	31.38	31.01
	Without	26.31	27.09	26.70	25.14	26.62	25.88
	Average	29.56	30.24	29.90	28.39	29.48	28.93
Tipping (0.5-1 cm)	NAA	35.62	35.82	35.72	34.40	34.70	34.55
	Bending	37.42	35.97	36.69	36.13	36.67	36.40
	NAA+Bending	39.69	40.52	40.10	38.26	39.11	38.69
	Without	34.48	32.21	33.35	33.53	34.46	33.99
	Average	36.80	36.13	36.47	35.58	36.24	35.91
Shortening (1/3 length)	NAA	45.52	45.33	45.42	44.18	44.30	44.24
	Bending	46.15	46.30	46.22	45.34	45.10	45.22
	NAA+Bending	46.27	47.94	47.10	46.90	46.72	46.81
	Without	44.18	43.53	43.85	44.50	42.29	43.40
	Average	45.53	45.77	45.65	45.23	44.60	44.92
Shortening (1/2 length)	NAA	42.10	40.16	41.13	41.36	42.76	42.06
	Bending	44.98	45.72	45.35	43.51	44.80	44.15
	NAA+Bending	45.9	46.39	46.15	44.66	45.68	45.17
	Without	41.74	42.66	42.20	40.67	41.91	41.29
	Average	43.68	43.70	43.71	42.55	43.79	43.17
General average	38.89	38.97	38.93	37.94	38.53	38.24	
Average of spring and summer applications	NAA	38.22	37.87	38.05	37.10	37.77	37.43
	Bending	39.76	39.81	39.79	38.58	39.29	38.93
	NAA+Bending	40.92	41.82	41.37	40.12	40.72	40.42
	Without	36.68	36.37	36.53	35.96	36.32	36.14
LSD at 5%							
Winter heading cut level (A)			0.61			0.58	
Spring and summer applications (B)			0.61			0.58	
Seasons(C)			0.43			0.41	
AXB			1.22			1.16	
AXC			0.86			0.82	
BXC			0.86			0.82	
AXBXC			1.72			1.64	

Table 7: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on fruit length and diameter of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Seasons 2008/2009 and 2009/2010)

Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	Fruit length (cm)			Fruit diameter (cm)		
		2008/2009	2009/2010	Average	2008/2009	2009/2010	Average
Control	NAA	2.45	3.15	2.80	2.37	2.98	2.67
	Bending	3.40	3.00	3.20	3.22	2.90	3.06
	NAA+Bending	3.21	3.35	3.28	3.00	3.20	3.10
	Without	2.62	3.00	2.81	2.50	2.82	2.66
	Average	2.92	3.13	3.02	2.77	2.97	2.87
Tipping (0.5-1cm)	NAA	3.65	3.69	3.67	3.53	3.66	3.59
	Bending	3.65	3.72	3.68	3.51	3.58	3.54
	NAA+Bending	3.73	3.57	3.65	3.61	3.79	3.70
	Without	3.58	3.76	3.67	3.48	3.66	3.57
	Average	3.65	3.68	3.67	3.53	3.67	3.60
Shortening (1/3 length)	NAA	3.95	4.41	4.18	3.75	4.00	3.87
	Bending	4.02	4.48	4.25	3.97	4.07	4.02
	NAA+Bending	4.15	4.60	4.37	4.07	4.12	4.09
	Without	3.89	4.35	4.12	3.69	4.04	3.86
	Average	4.00	4.46	4.23	3.87	4.05	3.96
Shortening (1/2 length)	NAA	4.01	4.15	4.08	3.59	3.92	3.75
	Bending	4.09	4.23	4.16	3.64	3.97	3.80
	NAA+Bending	4.20	4.25	4.22	3.97	4.00	3.91
	Without	4.12	4.25	4.18	3.54	3.54	3.70
	Average	4.10	4.22	4.16	3.64	3.94	3.79
General average	3.67	3.87	3.77	3.45	3.66	3.55	

Table 7: Continued

Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	Fruit length (cm)			Fruit diameter (cm)		
		2008/2009	2009/2010	Average	2008/2009	2009/2010	Average
Average of spring and summer applications							
	NAA	3.51	3.85	3.68	3.31	3.64	3.47
	Bending	3.79	3.85	3.82	3.58	3.63	3.60
	NAA+Bending	3.82	3.94	3.88	3.62	3.77	3.70
	Without	3.55	3.84	3.69	3.30	3.59	3.45
LSD at 5%							
Winter heading cut level (A)			0.18		0.15		
Spring and summer applications(B)			0.18		0.15		
Seasons(C)			0.13		0.11		
AXB			0.37		0.31		
AXC			0.26		0.22		
BXC			0.26		0.22		
AXBXC			0.52		0.43		

Table 8: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on fruit shape and flesh thickness of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Seasons 2008/2009 and 2009/2010)

Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	Fruit shape (L/D ratio)			Flesh thickness (cm)		
		2008/2009	2009/2010	Average	2008/2009	2009/2010	Average.
Control							
	NAA	1.033	1.057	1.045	1.03	1.03	1.15
	Bending	1.055	1.034	1.044	1.28	1.34	1.31
	NAA+Bending	1.070	1.046	1.058	1.30	1.40	1.35
	Without	1.048	1.063	1.055	1.05	1.21	1.13
	Average	1.051	1.050	1.050	1.16	1.30	1.23
Tipping (0.5-1cm)							
	NAA	1.033	1.008	1.021	1.42	1.43	1.42
	Bending	1.039	1.039	1.039	1.56	1.60	1.58
	NAA+Bending	1.033	1.031	1.032	1.66	1.71	1.68
	Without	1.028	1.027	1.027	1.37	1.40	1.38
	Average	1.033	1.026	1.029	1.50	1.53	1.51
Shortening (1/3 length)							
	NAA	1.053	1.088	1.070	1.76	1.80	1.78
	Bending	1.012	1.010	1.011	1.89	1.95	1.92
	NAA+Bending	1.019	1.116	1.067	1.96	1.96	1.97
	Without	1.083	1.076	1.079	1.70	1.75	1.72
	Average	1.041	1.072	1.056	1.82	1.87	1.85
Shortening (1/2 length)							
	NAA	1.116	1.058	1.087	1.76	1.82	1.79
	Bending	1.123	1.065	1.090	1.83	1.86	1.84
	NAA+Bending	1.099	1.024	1.061	1.85	1.90	1.87
	Without	1.129	1.098	1.113	1.64	1.70	1.67
	Average	1.117	1.061	1.089	1.77	1.82	1.79
General average		1.061	1.052	1.056	1.63	1.60	
Average of spring and summer applications							
	NAA	1.059	1.053	1.055	1.49	1.58	1.53
	Bending	1.057	1.037	1.039	1.64	1.68	1.66
	NAA+Bending	1.055	1.054	1.054	1.69	1.74	1.72
	Without	1.073	1.066	1.069	1.44	1.51	1.47
LSD at 5%							
Winter heading cut level (A)			0.02		0.03		
Spring and summer applications (B)			0.02		0.03		
Seasons(C)			0.01		0.02		
AXB			0.04		0.06		
AXC			0.03		0.04		
BXC			0.03		0.04		
AXBXC			0.05		0.09		

Table 9: Effect of heading cut levels, bending and NAA on fruit TSS and acidity of Sun Gold plum cultivar (Seasons 2008/2009 and 2009/2010)

Winter heading cut level treatments	Spring and summer applications	TSS (%)			Acidity (%)		
		2008/2009	2009/2010	Average	2008/2009	2009/2010	Average
Control	NAA	11.60	12.50	12.05	0.875	0.892	0.883
	Bending	11.65	12.55	12.10	0.882	0.863	0.872
	NAA+Bending	11.80	12.65	12.23	0.870	0.840	0.855
	Without	11.40	12.39	11.90	0.894	0.853	0.873
	Average	11.61	12.52	12.07	0.880	0.862	0.871
Tipping (0.5-1 cm)	NAA	13.00	13.55	13.27	0.840	0.830	0.835
	Bending	13.20	14.10	13.65	0.850	0.880	0.865
	NAA+Bending	13.55	14.50	14.02	0.830	0.810	0.820
	Without	12.85	13.50	13.18	0.851	0.860	0.855
	Average	13.15	13.91	13.53	0.842	0.845	0.843
Shortening (1/3 length)	NAA	14.22	15.30	14.76	0.860	0.810	0.835
	Bending	14.60	15.50	15.05	0.865	0.820	0.842
	NAA+Bending	14.85	15.60	15.23	0.860	0.820	0.840
	Without	14.11	15.10	14.60	0.871	0.800	0.835
	Average	14.44	15.38	14.91	0.864	0.812	0.838
Shortening (1/2 length)	NAA	14.55	14.55	14.55	0.850	0.911	0.880
	Bending	14.70	14.70	14.70	0.921	0.850	0.885
	NAA+Bending	14.57	14.90	14.73	0.808	0.850	0.829
	Without	14.35	14.35	14.35	0.897	0.935	0.916
	Average	14.54	14.63	14.58	0.869	0.886	0.877
General average	13.44	14.11	13.78	0.864	0.851	0.858	
Average of spring and summer applications	NAA	13.34	13.98	13.66	0.856	0.860	0.858
	Bending	13.54	14.21	13.88	0.879	0.853	0.866
	NAA+Bending	13.69	14.41	14.05	0.842	0.830	0.836
	Without	13.18	13.84	13.51	0.878	0.862	0.870
LSD at 5%							
Winter heading cut level (A)					0.22		
Spring and summer applications(B)					0.03		
Seasons(C)					0.15		
AXB					0.43		
AXC					0.31		
BXC					0.31		
AXBXC					0.61		

Also, the highest values of fruit physical parameters, except fruit shape, were obtained by NAA + bending followed by bending, NAA then control treatment. In addition, shortening 1/3 branches level + spraying NAA + bending treatment gave the best fruit physical properties compared other treatments in both seasons under study. In other words, fruits of higher shape index values were oblong shape, whereas those of lower shape index values appeared to be round shape.

Fruit Chemical Properties: Data in Table 9 clarify variations in chemical properties as a result of all conducted treatments. Juice TSS was significantly increased by all treatments in both seasons. Shortening 1/3 branches level with spraying NAA + bending treatment gave the highest TSS value (14.85 and 15.60%) compared to other treatments and control trees (11.40 and 12.39) in the two seasons. On the other hand, shortening

1/3 branches level with NAA + bending treatment gave the lowest fruit acidity level compared other treatment under study in both seasons.

These results (yield and fruit quality) may be achieved due to increasing in C/N ratio in wood tissues, photosynthesis rate activity and the balance between growth and fruiting in plum trees [2, 3, 10]. Similarly, it was stated that pruning had enhanced fruit physical and chemical parameter of plum, peach, apricot and apple trees [8, 9, 22, 23, 30, 37, 38].

CONCLUSION

Winter tipping with spring spraying of NAA plus summer bending treatment gave the highest number of spurs formed per branch, especially, at terminal shoot part. Whereas, optimizing yield and fruit quality were obtained by winter shortening 1/3 branches level with spring spraying of NAA plus summer bending treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Edward, F.G., G.W. Knox and G. Patricia, 2008. Pruning Method Affects flowering and sprouting on crapemyrtle. *J. Envi. Hort.*, 26: 164-170.
2. Gilman, E.F. and S. Lilly, 2002. Best Management practices: Tree pruning. International Society of Arboriculture, Champaign, pp: 35.
3. Harris, R., J. Clark and N. Matheny, 2004. Arboriculture integrated management of landscape trees, Shrubs and Vines. Prentice Hall, fourth edition, USA, pp: 578.
4. Mika, A. and D. Krzewinska, 1995. Effect of pruning on growth, fruiting and apple quality of Empire and Spartan apple trees. *J. fruit Ornament. Plant Res.*, 3: 153-164.
5. Samiayyon, K. and D. Chandrasekaran, 1995. Effect of pruning and liming on Hale plum yield. *Madras Agric. J.*, 82: 499-500.
6. Mika, A. and M. Piatkowski, 1988. Controlling tree size in dense plantings by winter and summer pruning. *Acta Hort.*, 243: 95-102.
7. Mika, A., 1986. Physiological response of fruit trees to pruning. *Hort. Rev.*, 8: 339-369.
8. Mika, A., Z. Buler and D. Chlebowska, 2001. Effect of within row spacing and training systems of plum trees grafted on vigorous and semi dwarf rootstocks. *Acta Hort.*, 557: 275-279.
9. Flore, J.A., M. Faust and S.S. Miller, 1992. The influence of summer pruning on the physiology and morphology of stone fruit trees. *Acta Horticulturae*, 322: 257-264.
10. Costes, E., P.E. Lauri and J.L. Regnard, 2006. Analyzing fruit tree architecture: implications for tree management and fruit production. *Hort. Rev.*, 32: 1-61.
11. Lawes, G.S., C.B. Spence and D.S. Tusin, 1997. Tree quality and Canopy management effects on the growth and floral precocity of Young "Doyenned du Comice" Pear trees, N.Z.J. *Crop Hort. Sci.*, 25: 177-184.
12. Goldschmidt, R., 1997. Regulating trees of apple and pear by pruning and bending. *Swed. J. Agric. Res.*, 27: 45-52.
13. Lauri, A. and M. Lespinasse, 2001. Genotype of apple trees affects growth and fruiting responses to shoot bending at various times of year. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 126: 169-174.
14. Moling, A., B. Lafague and G. Lespinasseandandj, 1994. Carbon and nitrogen reserves in prune tree shoots: effect of training systems. *Scientia Hort.*, 57: 99-110.
15. Jonkers, H., 1967. Tree size control by pruning and bending. In: H.B. Tukey (Editor). 16th Int. Hortic. Congress, Michigan State Univ. East Lansing Mi, pp: 57-70.
16. Mika, A., 1969. Effect of shoot bending of Apple trees on accumulation and translocation of ¹⁴C-labeled assimilates. *Biol. Plant*, 11: 175-182.
17. Abd El-Wahab, W.A., T.A. Fayed and I.E. El-Shenawy, 2002. Effect of some treatments on spur formation on newly introduced Japanese apple cultivars in comparison with Anna apple. *Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.*, 53: 639-652.
18. Fayek, M.A., I.E. El-Shenawy and T.A. Fayed, 2004. Inducing of Flowering spurs in newly introduced Japanese Pear cultivars compared to Le-Conte cultivar. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 19: 717-729.
19. Mohamed, S.M., T.A. Fayed, A.M. Hussein and S.M. Maged, 2006. Effect of some summer pruning and paclobutrazol treatments on spurs state and fruit characteristics of El-Amar Apricot trees. *Annals. Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*, 44: 201-213.
20. Jacob, H.B., 1994. Ertrag and Qualitaet bei Pflaumen and Zwetschen, I. Optimal Ertragsbiladnng. *Erwerbsobstau*, 36: 93-96.
21. Zbigniew, B., M. Augustyn, K. Danuta, T. Waldemar and S. Barbara, 2006. Effect of three training on yield and fruit quality in two cultivars of Japanese plum. *Acta Hort.*, 714: 125-134.
22. Gonda, I., 2006. The role of pruning in the intensification of plum production. *Inter. Jour. Hort. Sci.*, 12: 83-86.
23. Cook, N.C., 2007. An overview of plum training systems in South Africa. *Acta Hort.*, 732: 532-539.
24. Aleksander, G. and N. Kazimierz, 2006. NAA-induced ethylene evolution by plum flowers and fruit lets. *Folia Hort. Ann.*, 18: 63-72.
25. Pregel, R., 1945. Quantitive Organic Micro Analysis. J.A. Churchill Ltd., 4th Ed., London, pp: 53.
26. AOAC, 1995. Official Methods of Analysis. Benjamin Franklin Station, 14th Ed., Washington DC, USA, pp: 494-510.
27. Snedecor, G.A. and W.G. Cochran, 1980. Statistical Methods. Iowa State Univ. Press, U.S.A.
28. Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie, 1980. Principals and procedures of statistics. Printed with the permission of C.I. Bliss, pp: 448-449.
29. Svoboda, A., 1996. Effect of annual apricot pruning on tree growth and fruit quality. *Acta Hort.*, 257: 345-353.

30. Ebied, M.S., 2005. Comparative Studies on the effect of some treatments on flowering and fruiting in different bearing sites of Canino apricot trees. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
31. Tawfik, M., M.M. Zaki, M.M. Enaist, M.M. Makarem and M.K. Bahan, 1987. Fruiting of Red Delicious apple cultivar following summer pruning. *Agric. Res. Review, Egypt*, 65: 361-368.
32. Ferree, D.C. and G.G. Martin, 1972. Influence of temporary bending and heading on branch development and flowering of vigours young apple trees. *Fruit Varieties J.*, 53: 231-235.
33. Devlin, R.M., 1972. *Plant physiology*. Affiliated East West Press Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, pp: 446.
34. Hao, S.G., H. Yang and Z.M. Sun, 1991. Physiological changes induced by paclobutrazal in "Delicious". *Apple Fruit Science Reports*, 18: 163-172.
35. Struklee, A., 1993. The possible influence of summer pruning on the Ca content and the incidence of physiological disorders in apple. *Zbornik Biotehniske Fakultete Univ. V.L. Jubliani, Kmetift*, 55: 55-62.
36. Mika, A., Z. Buler and D. Chlebowska, 1998. The Effect of training Systems and planting density on growth and fruiting of plum trees grafted on two root stocks. *Acta Hort.*, 478: 435-442.
37. Marini, R.P., 1985. Vegetative growth, yield and fruit quality of peach as influenced by dormant pruning, summer pruning and summer tipping. *J. of Amer. Soc. for Hort. Sci.*, 110: 133-139.
38. Fathi, M.S. and H. Mokhtar, 1998. Influence of summer pruning on growth, fruit set and fruit quality of Anna apple trees. *Egyptian J. of Agric. Res.*, 76: 721-732.