Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants 13 (1): 60-76, 2021 ISSN 2079-2158 © IDOSI Publications, 2021 DOI: 10.5829/idosi.jhsop.2021.60.76

# Integrated Effects of Biochar and Potassium Silicate on Borage Plant Under Different Irrigation Regimes in Sandy Soil

<sup>1</sup>Seham, M.A. El-Gamal, <sup>1</sup>Wessam M. Serag El-Din, <sup>2</sup>Saad Farouk and <sup>3</sup>Namait Allah Y.O. Mokhtar

 <sup>1</sup>Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research Department,
Horticulture Research Institute (HRI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt
<sup>2</sup>Agricultural Botany Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt
<sup>3</sup>Water Requirements and Field Irrigation Research Department, Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute, A.R.C., Egypt

Abstract: Drought represents a critical constriction for food production worldwide. Biochar (Bo) and potassium silicate (K-Si) occupy a crucial role in crops' metabolic processes under normal or stress conditions and may induce drought tolerance. Two field experiments were done at the El Qassasein Research Farm, El Qassasein Horticulture Research Station, Ismailia province, Egypt, in 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons as a split-plot design with three replications, for considering the defensive function of Bo and/or Si on borage plant growth, yield, oil constituents and water use efficiency under various irrigation levels. The experimental treatments included three irrigation water regimes (100, 80 and 60% of daily reference evapotranspiration 'Et<sub>0</sub>') as the major factor, in addition to Bo and/or K-Si (water, 0.5% K-Si as a foliar application, Bo at 4 or 6 t/fed as soil additive; K-Si plus 4t/fed Bo; and K-Si plus 6 t/fed Bo) as the sub-factor. Water stress significantly decreases plant growth, yield, ion percentage and photosynthetic pigment. However, soil amendment with biochar and K-Si foliar application had a positive effect in mitigating the injury of drought on borage plants. Furthermore, biochar and K- Silicate treatments significantly enhanced borage vegetative growth characters, yield characters and fixed oil %. Application of K-Si+4 t/fed Bo gave the maximum plant growth and yield features, sustaining plant water status, along with enhanced physiological trials under irrigation regimes. Overall, this investigation recommends that the application of K-Si plus 4 t/fed Bo had the impending to mitigate the drought effects, improving water use efficiency besides increasing the seed and oil yield.

Key words: Biochar • Borago officinalis L. • Drought • Silicon • Water productivity • Water use efficiency • Yield

## INTRODUCTION

Global climate changes, scarceness of water, unsatis factory infrastructure, rapid population growth rate and urbanizations represent the chief impediments for crop production within arid and semi-arid regions. Drought represents the chief natural restrictions that limiting crop productivity all over the world [1-3]. The drought was affected about 25% of the world's agricultural lands and predictable to achieve about 30-40% by 2090s [4, 5]. Drought stress has decreased the yield of numerous crops by 17-70% as a result of their tremendous influences of morpho-anatomical and physio-biochemicals as well as molecular aspects that will modify diverse metabolic processes, including photosynthesis, water absorption, leading to growth and yield decline [1, 6, 7].

In Egypt, agricultural extension requests a huge amount of irrigation water that's by now not sufficient to suit the conventional necessities, given that 85% of total available water is consumed by the agriculture sector and the majority of the on-farm irrigation systems have low effectiveness, plus poor irrigation prerequisite. Therefore,

Corresponding Author: Seham, M.A. EL-Gamal, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research Department, Horticulture Research Institute (HRI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt.

scheduling irrigation according to weather factors should be appropriate and the principles of deficit irrigation should be accepted with a simple level of reduction in yield [7]. Deficit irrigation is very useful and important for increasing water productivity in view limitation of water resources in agriculture [8].

Newly, rising competition for inadequate water resources has encouraged the gainful innovated approach for exploiting water use efficiency (WUE) and crop productivity. Hence, deficit irrigation should be established as extremely practical, easy and vital for improving WUE capacity [2, 8]. Application of soil amendment or nutrients has been one of the rational strategies in this regard [1, 7, 9]. Therefore, biochar (Bo) and potassium silicate (K-Si), are utilized for improving crop development and productivity under normal or stressful conditions. It is a satisfactory treatment within sustainable agriculture production owing to its features, like, non-corrosive, non-pollutant nature, low-priced, availability and present both economic and ecological efficiency.

Biochar (carbon reach product) has attracted surprising deliberation as potentially imperative biological resources. Various researches have established that Bo supplementation improved plant growth and productivity under either normal or water deficit conditions [9]. The positive effect of Bo on plants may result from its roles in rising water maintenance ability; improving their capacity to adsorb phytotoxic organic molecules and motivates valuable microbes activity [10-12].

Potassium silicate (K-Si) was newly utilized in nullifying the undesirable effect of drought on crop growth [13, 14]. Potassium represents the 3<sup>rd</sup> significant macronutrient necessary for plant development under normal or stress conditions. Potassium plays an imperative function in inducing drought tolerance by sustaining photosynthetic aptitude, defensive chloroplasts from oxidative stress, regulating stomatal functions, improving water status, activation of multiple enzymes, increased cell expansion and phloem loading and inducing osmotic adjustment plus net carbon assimilation [15, 16].

Silicon (Si) is regularly accepted to be a precious nutrient for crop productivity. Several studies have established that Si alleviates the negative effect of the stressful condition [3, 17]. Si in part compensate the depressing effect of drought by its function as a crucial physio-mechanical barrier, accelerating enzymes activity, regulating gene expression, dropping evapotranspiration or control of stomatal conductance, enhancing osmotic adjustment capacity by accretion of solutes includes proline and potassium, reduce drought-induced oxidative stress, activate photosynthetic enzyme i.e., ribulose biphosphate carboxylase and NADP<sup>+</sup>-dependent glycerladehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase [7, 18-22].

Borage (*Borago officinalis* L.; a power food) is used as a vegetable or as oil-seed crops. Seeds of borage are used from ancient in traditional medicine for the treatment of swelling and inflammation, coughs and other respiratory complaints [23]. Borage oil contains a substantial amount of  $\gamma$ -linolenic (all-cis-6, 9, 12octadecatrienoic) acid, which is essential fatty acid needed for all animals and human nutrition, that represents an important intermediate of indispensable compounds in the body, such as prostaglandin E1 and its derivatives. Moreover, because of the higher content of essential fatty acids, that has a great potential to prevent cancer, cardiovascular diseases and infectious diseases [24].

Exogenous application of Bo and/or K-Si has been established to have an encouraging effect on drought tolerance in diverse plant species. Despite identified encouraging effects of Bo or Si independently, whether they have additive or synergistic effects on alleviating drought injuries is uncertain and not studied. Consequently, the purpose of this study was to decide whether the application of Bo alone or synergistically interacting with Si would repress drought-injuries in the borage plant. This work was designed to progress understanding the defensive function of Bo and/or Si application on borage plant growth, some physiological parameters, water use efficiency and yield under well-watered and water-deficit stress conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Field Location:** Two field experiments were carried out during the two successive winter seasons of 2017/2018 and 2018/2019, on the El Qassasein Research Farm (30° 34' 51.7''N 31° 56' 15.6'' E and mean altitude above sea level 21 m), El Qassasein Horticulture Research Station (HRI, ARC), El Qassasein region, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt.

The experimental region is recognized as the arid climate with low precipitation winter and hot dry summer. The Agrometeorological data of the experimental region were secured from the meteorological station of Bilbies (Lat. 30:66°, Long. 31.95° and Elevation 24.8 m) and recorded as an average monthly weather data for the two growing seasons (Table 1).

Season	2017/2018							2018/2019						
Month	Tmax	Tmin	RH	WS	RF	SS	Et <sub>o</sub>	Tmax	Tmin	RH	WS	RF	SS	Et <sub>o</sub>
October	30.0	17.4	52.6	2.2	0.0	9.5	4.61	31.6	19.1	52.6	2.1	0.0	9.5	4.72
November	25.1	13.7	58.3	1.8	0.0	8.5	3.01	26.6	15.4	58.3	1.7	0.1	8.5	3.13
December	21.8	11.7	63.2	1.8	4.3	7.7	2.31	21.0	10.7	63.2	2.6	6.0	7.7	2.63
January	19.6	8.9	52.1	2.4	9.1	7.1	2.76	19.3	7.1	52.1	2.5	8.0	7.1	2.77
February	22.9	10.6	55.5	1.4	6.0	7.7	2.71	21.2	8.0	55.5	2.0	2.0	7.7	2.93
March	29.0	12.5	55.3	1.7	0.4	8.4	4.11	23.8	9.8	55.3	2.1	0.0	8.4	3.82
April	30.7	14.5	48.0	1.8	0.0	9.4	5.33	27.8	12.8	48.0	1.9	0.1	9.4	4.98
Mean	28.2	14.8	53.8	1.9	19.8	78	3.55	27.3	14.2	53.8	2.1	16.2	78	3.57

J. Hort. Sci. & Ornamen. Plants, 13 (1): 60-75, 2021

Table 1: Some meteorological data and reference evapotranspiration ( $Et_o$ ) at the experimental site, at 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons

Where: T. max., T. min.=maximum and minimum temperatures °C; W.S= wind speed (m/ Sec); R.H.= relative humidity (%); R.F = rain full (mm/ month), SS = sunshine Hr, Et<sub>o</sub>= reference evapotranspiration (mm/day)

Table 2: Soil characteristics of the experiment site

Particles size distribution (%)			
Coarse sand	36.31	Clay	6.81
Fine sand	51.87	Texture class	Sand
Silt	4.91		
Chemical properties			
O. M (%)	0.41	Available N (KCl-extract)	8.10
EC dSm <sup>-1</sup>	0.31	Available P (Na - bicarbonate extract)	23.00
pH 1:2.5 soil: water suspension	8.10	Available K (NH4 - acetate extract)	108.00
Soil moisture constants (% by weight) as	nd bulk density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )		
Depth, cm	0-75	Bulk density(g cm-3)	1.41
Field capacity %	11.4	Available water (mm)	80.4
Wilting point %	3.8		

Physical and Chemical Properties of the Experimental

**Soil:** The experimental soil samples were collected from consecutive depths of (0-15 till 60 cm depths) for physical and chemical analysis. The chemical properties of the soil samples; were determined according to the methods outlined by Page *et al.* [25]. Soil moisture content was gravimetrically determined, which field capacity was determined in the field, permanent wilting point by using a pressure membrane apparatus and the bulk density using the core method to a depth of 60 cm according to Klute [26]. Physical and chemical analyses and soil water contents of the soil are shown in Table 2.

**Treatments and Experimental Design:** A split-plot design with three replicates under a drip irrigation system was used for the current study. The main plots were dedicated to three diverse irrigation levels (100, 80 and 60% of daily reference evapotranspiration 'Et<sub>o</sub>'). Meanwhile, the sub-plot were randomly allocated to 6 treatments (no soil amendment or foliar application; foliar application of potassium silicate 'K-Si' at the rate of 0.5%; soil amendment with biochar 'Bo 4' at 4 ton/fed; Bo 4 plus K-Si; soil amendment with biochar 'Bo 6' at 6 ton/fed; Bo 6 plus K-Si). The 18 treatments were replicated three times, creation a total of 54 plots (each plot was 16 m<sup>2</sup> contained 5 ridges 70 cm apart, with 3 m wide bordered regions.

Crop Husbandry: Before sowing the experimental field was plowed and soil leveled. The fertilizers were applied according to the recommendation of the Ministry of agriculture and land reclamation, Egypt. Farmyard manure had been added at the rate of 5m3/fed then was thoroughly mixed with the surface layer before sowing. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizer (200, 200, 100 kg/fed) were added as ammonium sulfate (33% N); calcium superphosphate (15.5 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), potassium sulfate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) respectively. The phosphorous was added to the soil during field preparation, while both nitrogen and potassium were added individually in two equal doses after one month from sowing and at full bloom. The experimental location was divided into three main plots and biochar was added at 0, 4 and 6 ton/fed once adding phosphorous fertilizer.

Borage seeds were obtained from Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Res. Dept., HRI, ARC, Egypt. Seeds were sown on 25<sup>th</sup> September in both seasons in hills at 35 cm apart and then thinned for one plant/hill after one month from sowing. Before sowing, seeds of borage were inoculated with bioinoculants (*Azotobacter chroccoccum*, *Microhiza* and *Bacillus circulans*) that were provided by the General Organization for Agriculture Equalization Fund (G.O.A.E.F.), Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture, at the rate of 2 kg/ fed. Irrigation was done immediately after sowing for uniform emergence and establishment of plants for four weeks before starting three irrigation regimes treatments. Irrigation level treatments were applied after thinning using a surface drip system. The K-Si were sprayed thrice at 45, 60, 75 days from sowing to run-off at the rate of 20 liters per plot in at early morning using a back sprayed after adding 0.01% tween 20 as a surfactant to ensure optimal penetration into the leaves.

**Estimation of Irrigation Water Applied (IWA):** The total irrigation water applied of the borage plants in the experimental region was calculated by computing the estimated reference evapotranspiration (Et<sub>o</sub>) using the Penman-Monteith equation included in the "CROPWAT 8" model described in FAO 56 [27]. Then, Irrigation water applied was calculated according to Vermeiren and Jopling [28].

$$IWA = \frac{ETox}{Ea}$$

where:

IWA = Irrigation water applied (mm and  $m^3/fed$ ).

 $Et_o$  = Reference evapotranspiration (mm day<sup>-1</sup>).

I = Irrigation intervals (days)

Ea = Irrigation application efficiency of the drip irrigation system (90%).

**Water Productivity (WP):** Water productivity refers to (seed yield kg / m<sup>3</sup> of water apply) was calculated according to Vites, [29] as follows:

$$WP = \frac{\text{Seed yield (kg/fed)}}{\text{Seasonal WA (m3/fed)}}$$

**Data Recorded:** After 85 days from sowing in both growing seasons, 9 plants/replicate, were randomly selected to determine plant height (cm), branches number/plant and fresh and dry weights (g/plant). Besides, total chlorophyll and total carotenoid were extracted from a fresh blade in the third upper leaf (terminal leaflet) and determined according to Saric *et al.* [30]. The shoots of 9 plants in each replicate (85 days after sowing) were dried at 7 °C for 72 hours and finally ground and then nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were determined according to Cottenie *et al.* [31]. Proline content in dry leaves was determined according to Bates *et al.* [32].

At the harvesting time (End of March), the number of inflorescence/plant and seed yield per plant (g) were recorded. The fixed oil percentage was determined in the air-dried seeds of borage as the following; approximately 10 g of dry borage seeds was sampled for each replicate and prepared for the soxhlet extractor. The extraction time

has been 6h for each sample and oils were recovered by distilling the solvent in a rotary evaporator at 40°C.

Fatty acids methyl esters Propagated from total lipid by using a rapid method according to the method of ISO 12966-2, [33]. Whereas, fatty acid methyl esters were formed by trans-esterification with methanolic potassium hydroxide as an intermediate stage before saponification takes place. Approximately 0.1g of the oil was placed in a 5ml screw-top test tube and isooctane (2ml) was added to the tube then the tube was shaken. Methanolic potassium hydroxide solution (0.1ml, 2N) was put on the cap fitted with a PTEE-joint, tighten the cap and shaken vigorously for 30 seconds tube was left to stratify until the upper solution became clear and the upper layer containing the ethyl was decanted. The isooctane solution is suitable for injection into the gas chromatograph.

## $Gas\text{-}Liquid\,Chromatographic\,(GLC)\,of\,Methyl\,Esters\,of$

**Fatty Acids:** Fatty acids methyl esters were injected into (HP6890 series GC) apparatus provided with a DB-23 column ( $60m \ge 0.32mm \ge 0.25$  im). The carrier gas was N2 with a flow rate of 1.5ml/min, splitting ratio of 1:50. The injector temperature was 250°C and that of the flame ionization detector (FID) was 280°C. The temperature setting was as follows: 150°C to 210°C at 5°C /min and then held at 210°C for 25min. peaks were identified by comparing the retention times obtained with stander methyl esters.

**Statistical Analysis:** The collected data statistically analyzed by Statistical Analysis of variance using COSTAT (version 6.3.0.3.) Statistical software and the significant differences among treatment means were compared using the LSD test according to Gomez and Gomez [34].

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Seasonal Water Apply:** Values of seasonal water apply of borage plants were recorded in Table 3. The overall averages of seasonal water apply in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 2<sup>nd</sup> season were 2044 m<sup>3</sup>/fed and 1998 m<sup>3</sup>/ fed, respectively. It is evident that increasing Et<sub>o</sub> % values caused a positive increase in seasonal water apply at both seasons since the borage plants received 2554, 2045 and 1533 m<sup>3</sup> water/ fed in the first season and 2495, 1999 and 1499 m<sup>3</sup>water/ fed in the second season when irrigated at 100%, 80% and 60% of Et<sub>o</sub> treatments, respectively. Regarding the monthly water applies, the data presented in Table 3 clearly showed that there was a positive correlation between the temperature and monthly water applies.

Season	20	17/2018 season		2018/2019 season				
Months	 100% Et <sub>o</sub>	80% Et <sub>o</sub>	60% Et <sub>o</sub>	 100% Et <sub>o</sub>	80% Et <sub>o</sub>	60% Et <sub>o</sub>		
October	613	491	370	601	479	361		
November	395	315	235	378	302	227		
December	344	277	206	302	244	181		
January	361	290	218	361	290	218		
February	344	277	206	319	256	193		
March	496	395	298	533	428	319		
Seasonal	2554	2045	1533	2495	1999	1499		
Over all averages	2044			1998				

J. Hort. Sci. & Ornamen. Plants, 13 (1): 60-75, 2021

Table 3: Monthly water applies (m³/fed) for Borage grown at (El Qassasein region, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt during 2017/2018 and 2018/2019 seasons

Et<sub>o</sub>= reference evapotranspiration (mm/day)

Table 4: Water productivity (kg seeds /m<sup>3</sup>/fed) of Borage as affected by irrigation regime, biochar and K- Silicate treatments during 2017/2018 and 2018/2019

beabonb								
Season		2017/20	18	2018/2019				
Soil amendment	Irrigation trea	atment						
	100% Et <sub>o</sub>	80% Et <sub>o</sub>	60% Et <sub>o</sub>	Average	100% Et <sub>o</sub>	80% Et <sub>o</sub>	60% Et <sub>o</sub>	Average
Water (C)	0.11	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.17	0.12	0.14
Potassium silicate (KS)	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.19	0.13	0.15
Biochar 4t/acre (B4)	0.17	0.23	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.25	0.22	0.22
B4+KS	0.19	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.27	0.24	0.24
Biochar 6t/acre (B6)	0.14	0.20	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.17	0.18
B6 + KS	0.16	0.21	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.23	0.19	0.20
Average	0.15	0.20	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.22	0.18	0.19

Et<sub>o</sub>= reference evapotranspiration (mm/day)

**Water Productivity (WP):** Data in Table (4) indicated that water deficit considerably increased WP relative to well-watered plants (100%  $Et_o$ ), in both seasons. The greatest WP being 0.20 and 0.22 kg seed/m<sup>3</sup> water were obtained under 80%  $Et_o$  in the first and second seasons, respectively compared with 100%  $Et_o$ .

Application of Bo or K-Si as well as their combinations markedly increased WP in either the first or second season relative to non-treated plants, where the maximum WP was recorded at the treatment of Bo amendment at the rate of 4 t/fed plus foliar application of K-Si (0.21 and 0.24 kg seed /  $m^3$  water) with an increase percentage were 41 and 38% in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons respectively.

Regarding the interaction effects between irrigation regimes and either Bo or K-Si, the data in the same Table proved that application of Bo or K-Si under all watering regimes enhanced WP as compared with untreated plants under such watering regimes. The highest WP (0.24 and 0.27 kg seed/m<sup>3</sup> water) were recorded in the 1 <sup>st</sup>and 2 <sup>nd</sup> seasons when soil amended with 4 t/fed Bo plus shoot spraying with K-Si in the first and second seasons respectively.

Results, confirmed that acceptable water stress enhanced WP in both experimental seasons matching to well-watered plants, similar results have been proofed by Farouk and El-Metwally [2] and Sheshbahreh et al. [6]. Conversely, Bo and/or K-Si under well-watered or water deficit treatment improved WP. This raises possibly owing to its influences on photosynthetic pigment biosynthesis, photosynthesis processes, osmotic adjustment and antioxidant capacity, which causes an increase in leaf area, root growth root and distribution and so increasing the water and ion absorption, as proof in the current study (leading to increase seed yield with a reasonable amount of water under irrigating at 80% Et<sub>a</sub>) and extra untimely researches [7, 35].

**Vegetative Growth Characters:** Data presented in Table (5) showed that the irrigation regimes and either Bo and/or K-Si and their interactions induces a significant effect on borage plant growth attributes, in terms, plant height, branches number/plant, as well as fresh and dry weight g/plant during both growing seasons. Severe drought (60% Et<sub>o</sub>) significantly decline plant height, the number of branches/plant, shoot fresh weight and shoot dry weight by 32, 37, 38, 21% in the first season as well as by 31, 30, 41 and 22% in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season respectively as compared with 100% Et<sub>o</sub>.

	Plant Height (cm)		No. of Branches/plant		Shoot FW (g)		Shoot DW (g)		
Treatment	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	
Irrigation regimes					-	· · · ·	· · · ·		
100%Et <sub>o</sub> (W1)	72.5 ±1.85	74.92±1.97	17.39±1.06	19.61±1.23	1037.01±29.7	1085.37±33.01	156.66±6.97	164.07±7.57	
80% Et <sub>o</sub> (W2)	82.6±1.56	85.22±1.73	19.73±1.15	22.39±1.33	1128.5±39.79	1194.35±46.5	192.16±9.43	200.24±10.11	
60% Et <sub>o</sub> (W3)	48.9±1.58	51.27±1.74	10.94±0.78	13.56±0.93	641.92±31.94	639.53±30.84	122.17±7.23	127±7.26	
LSD 0.05	1.10	1.17	0.86	0.98	6.73	8.35	1.82	1.98	
Mitigating substances									
Water (C)	57.0±5.06	58.7±5.1	10.55±1.21	12.22±1.17	717.26±75.00	746.06±75.31	107.01±8.11	110.98±8.19	
Potassium silicate (KS)	62.8±5.01	64.83±5.07	12.44±1.20	14.00±1.23	785.63±81.89	822.34±80.87	124.56±10.15	130.10±9.75	
Biochar 4t/Fed (B4)	73.4±4.88	76.56±4.93	19.88±1.58	23.11±1.60	1028.66±76.71	1106.13±95.25	182.3±11.02	190.56±11.30	
B4+ KS	77.1±5.36	80.64±5.38	21.77±1.88	25.11±1.85	1119.64±91.07	1177.85±98.04	199.98±13.03	210.18±14.15	
Biochar 6t/Fed (B6)	66.9±5.14	69.04±5.15	14.66±1.02	17.00±1.01	924.72±73.29	960.95±77.76	157.19±8.24	163.97±9.16	
B6 + KS	70.7±4.63	73.03±4.65	17.00±1.35	19.66±1.37	984.93±78.22	1025.19±83.99	170.93±10.57	177.28±11.07	
LSD 0.05	1.55	1.66	1.22	1.39	9.51	11.80	2.56	2.80	
Irrigation regimes and mit	igating substan	ces							
W1 + C	59.0±0.66	60.96±0.96	11.66±0.33	13.00±0.57	848.83±7.16	878.13±7.07	110.98±0.84	115.04±1.06	
W1 + KS	67.5±0.98	69.50±1.05	13.33±0.33	14.66±0.88	924.20±4.16	958.46±5.61	130.01±1.28	134.80±1.49	
W1 + B4	78.5±0.42	81.80±0.58	21.33±0.88	25.00±0.57	1142.26±6.52	1201.03±7.95	180.23±1.99	189.51±1.67	
W1 + B4 + KS	82.3±0.76	85.60±0.92	23.66±0.88	26.33±0.88	1205.60±6.67	1274.56±7.69	195.40±2.22	206.94±2.67	
W1 + B6	72.6±0.78	74.56±0.75	15.66±0.88	17.66±0.88	1021.16±3.42	1068.40±2.60	158.73±2.58	165.57±1.50	
W1 + B6 + KS	75.1±0.69	77.10±0.92	18.66±0.88	21.00±0.57	$1080.00 \pm 8.07$	1131.66±8.17	164.62±0.86	172.55±1.12	
W2 + C	73.3±1.21	75.20±0.98	14.00±0.57	15.66±0.88	844.80±4.59	914.30±4.50	132.83±0.96	137.05±1.27	
W2 + KS	77.3±0.98	79.50±1.21	16.00±0.57	17.66±0.88	973.26±4.42	1008.23±5.64	156.65±0.71	161.22±0.88	
W2 + B4	87.2±1.01	90.36±1.21	24.33±0.88	27.33±0.88	1218.56±5.57	1377.86±5.22	221.34±2.38	230.10±2.62	
W2 + B4 + KS	92.5±1.40	96.16±1.75	$27.00\pm0.57$	30.66±0.88	1383.06±6.96	$1458.50 \pm 5.33$	247.14±1.80	260.64±1.69	
W2 + B6	81.0±0.92	83.40±1.21	17.33±0.88	19.66±1.20	1116.03±7.53	1159.56±8.92	184.76±1.86	194.75±1.68	
W2 + B6 + KS	84.1±0.66	86.66±0.73	20.33±1.20	23.33±1.20	1195.30±7.74	1247.66±8.19	210.24±2.03	217.65±3.08	
W3 + C	38.6±1.24	39.93±1.16	$6.00 \pm 0.57$	8.00±0.57	418.16±4.28	455.76±5.22	77.22±1.02	80.84±1.27	
W3 + KS	43.7±1.33	45.50±0.87	8.00±0.57	9.66±0.66	459.43±5.29	500.33±5.47	87.02±1.00	94.27±1.63	
W3 + B4	54.6±0.48	57.53±0.49	14.00±0.57	17.00±0.57	725.16±3.10	739.50±12.61	145.31±0.90	152.07±0.76	
W3 + B4 + KS	56.6±0.95	60.16±1.04	14.66±0.88	18.33±0.88	770.26±3.32	800.50±3.28	157.42±1.17	162.97±0.97	
W3 + B6	46.9±0.76	49.16±0.72	11.00±0.57	13.66±0.88	636.96±6.55	654.9±12.25	128.09±1.28	131.58±1.94	
W3 + B6 + KS	53.0±0.92	55.33±0.63	12.00±0.57	14.66±0.88	679.50±3.82	696.23±2.34	137.93±0.86	141.63±0.83	
LSD 0.05	2.70	2.87	2.11	2.41	16.48	20.45	4.44	4.86	

J. Hort. Sci. & Ornamen. Plants, 13 (1): 60-75, 2021

Table 5: Effect of irrigation regimes, mitigating substances and their interactions on vegetative growth trails of 85 days borage plants during 2017/2018 & 2018/2019 seasons, Means of three replications are presented with ±SE

 $Et_o = reference evapotranspiration (mm/day)$ 

Soil amended with Bo and K-Si significantly increased all vegetative growth parameters of borage. The greatest plant height (77.1 and 80.64 cm), number of branches/plant (21.77 and 25.11), shoot fresh weight (1119.64 and 1177.8 g) and shoot dry weight (199.98 and 210.18 g) respectively in the first and second seasons were obtained under the interaction effect of 4 t/fed Bo plus K-Si foliar application and followed by soil amended with 4 t/fed Bo only, that was recorded a value near to those of 4 t/fed Bo plus K-Si.

As for the interaction effects, the data in the same Table proved that application of Bo rates with or without K-Si under 80%  $Et_o$  irrigation regime significantly increased borage plant growth in relation to untreated-well watered plants (100%  $Et_o$ ), the most effective in this regard was 4 t/fed Bo plus K-Si foliar application. On the

contrary, under severe drought (60% Et<sub>o</sub>) Bo soil amended and/or K-Si calmed the negative effect of water deficit relative to untreated-well watered plants. Compared with the untreated severe drought-affected plant, application of Bo (4 t/fed) plus K-Si give the maximum plant height (56.6 and 60.16 cm), the number of branches/plant (14.66 and 18.33), shoot fresh weight (770.26 and 800.50 g) and shoot dry weight (157.42 and 162.97 g) in the experimental seasons.

Drought stress seriously restricts crop establishment and represses its productivity as the statement previously [6, 36]. It is well known that water stress accelerated a huge number of biochemical and molecular processes that influenced plant growth [37, 38]. The decreasing effect of water deficit on plant growth may result from a decline in cell turgor and enlargement and/or the blocking up of conductive tissue (xylem and phloem) that will hamper water and nutrient translocation [39.40]. Furthermore, water deficit may be reduced the uptake of the essential nutrients [41] as well as evoked oxidative burst [1, 38]. Likewise, water deficit generally disrupts the biosynthesis of the phytohormone leading to increasing the ABA concentration associated with reducing the IAA, GA<sub>3</sub> and zeatin [42]. Finally, drought stress may be repression photosynthetic efficiency by inhibiting ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase oxidase and mutilation of ATP assimilation that was needed for plant growth [43].

Some previous reports recorded the beneficial effect of Bo on plant establishment [44, 45]. The encouragement of biochar on plant growth may be resulted from its role in improving soil water holding capacity and soil physio-chemical and biological attributes [11, 12]. Moreover, Bo contains multiple volatile compounds that have easy and simple biodegradable which can support the plant establishment [46, 47].

Application of K-Si compensated to various degrees for the disappointing effect of water deficit on plant growth [7, 48]. Several promising mechanisms tied with stress alleviation by Si in different crops have been recognized. Si acts as a mechanical or physiological obstacle in plants and not only acts as cell wall strengthening but is also actively involved in some biochemical pathways [49, 50]. Si may be decreased the transpiration, preserving a superior net photosynthetic rate, intensifying the antioxidant defense system in the plant cell [7, 51]. Besides, Si supplementation improved the plant water status, which imitates improved water use efficiency and ion percentage as indicated in the present study and earlier research on different plant species [2, 6, 35]. Moreover, Si application may be increased leaf primordial differentiation and reduced the plastochron period that directs to improved plant biomass accumulation [48].

**Photosynthetic Pigment and Proline Concentration:** Significant differences were established amongst watering regimes treatments as well as either Bo rates or K-Si for total chlorophyll, total carotenoids and proline concentrations (Table 6). Total chlorophyll and carotenoid concentrations were significantly increased at 80% Eto above 100% Eto. On the other hand, 60% Eto significantly decreased total chlorophyll by 25 and 24% as well as total carotenoid by 21 and 26% respectively relative to 100% Eto in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. Alternatively, water deficit significantly increased the concentration of proline and the highest concentration was recorded at 60% Eto in both seasons.

Soil amendment with two rates of Bo and/or foliar application of K-Si significantly increased either total chlorophyll or carotenoid concentration as compared with untreated plants. The most effective in this concern was the treatment of 4 t/fed Bo plus the foliar application of K-Si that is increased total chlorophyll and carotenoids by 108 and 113% in the first season and by 136 and 108% in the second season respectively. Concerning proline concentration, the data in the same Table showed that application of K-Si lonely or combined with Bo rates significantly increased proline concentration relative to untreated plants, meanwhile application of Bo only at both levels significantly decreased proline concentration.

egarding the interaction effect, soil amended by 4 or 6 t/fed Bo with or without K-Si under 80%  $Et_o$  significantly increased total chlorophyll, carotenoids and proline concentrations as compared with untreated well-watered plants (100%  $Et_o$ ). Meanwhile, foliar spraying with K-Si with or without Bo significantly increased total chlorophyll and proline concentrations; yet, all interactions under 60%  $Et_o$  significantly increased total carotenoid except foliar spraying with K-Si that decreased it.

The decreasing effect in chlorophyll concentration with water stress is a regularly well-known occurrence in numerous plants [1, 37, 52]. This decline may result from a decrease in biosynthesis of the chief chlorophyll pigment complexes encoded by the cab gene family or to the destruction of chiral macro-aggregates of the light-harvesting chlorophyll 'a' or 'b' pigment-protein complexes that protect the chloroplasts and/or to the creation of proteolytic enzymes, in special, chlorophyllase [53, 54]. The reduction in chlorophyll as observed in the existing research has been ascribed to the loss of chloroplast membranes, extreme swelling, the warp of the lamellae vesiculation and the hyperaccumulation of plastoglobules [55]. Caser et al. [56] established that carotenoid plays a vital purpose in protecting varied processes from ROS injuries. At this time, the existence of a steady amount of carotenoids throughout the experiment proposes a hopeful function to cope with oxidative injuries.

There are some reports that proved the positive effect of biochar on increasing the concentration of photosynthetic pigments [57, 9]. The considerable boost in photosynthetic pigment concentration by Si application

#### J. Hort. Sci. & Ornamen. Plants, 13 (1): 60-75, 2021

Table 6: Effect of irrigation regimes, mitigating substances and their interactions on Total Chlorophyll concentration (mg/g FW), Total Carotenoids (mg/g FW) and Proline content (mg/100 g) of 85 days borage plants during 2017/2018 & 2018/2019 seasons, Means of three replications are presented with ±SE

	Total Chlorophyl	1	Total Carotenoids			Proline		
Treatment	l <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year		
Irrigation regimes								
100% Et <sub>o</sub> (W1)	$0.986 \pm 0.062$	1.043±0.058	$0.76 \pm 0.03$	0.75±0.03	54.11±3.250	56.74±3.348		
80% Et <sub>o</sub> (W2)	1.321±0.085	1.461±0.100	$0.91 \pm 0.04$	0.91±0.05	$69.18 \pm 2.938$	$72.26 \pm 3.026$		
60% Et <sub>o</sub> (W3)	0.737±0.038	0.784±0.039	$0.60 \pm 0.05$	0.55±0.05	78.10±3.122	$80.43 \pm 3.053$		
LSD 0.05	0.037	0.052	0.03	0.05	0.468	0.730		
Mitigating substances								
Water (C)	0.659±0.062	0.709±0.061	$0.44{\pm}0.04$	0.45±0.04	64.78±3.306	67.29±3.262		
Potassium silicate (KS)	0.759±0.045	$0.880 \pm 0.077$	$0.64{\pm}0.08$	0.59±0.08	73.09±3.383	75.59±3.236		
Biochar 4t/Fed (B4)	1.205±0.113	1.294±0.119	0.86±0.04	0.87±0.06	56.07±3.220	58.76±3.316		
B4+KS	1.377±0.113	1.513±0.179	0.95±0.04	0.94±0.04	85.18±4.098	87.97±3.922		
Biochar 6t/Fed (B6)	0.985±0.076	1.047±0.078	0.80±0.05	0.76±0.06	47.49±4.373	49.92±4.503		
B6 + KS	1.099±0.090	1.131±0.093	$0.84{\pm}0.04$	0.83±0.05	76.17±2.887	79.34±2.931		
LSD 0.05	0.053	0.074	0.04	0.07	0.662	1.033		
Irrigation regimes and mitigat	ing substances							
W1 + C	0.628±0.045	0.661±0.14	0.51±0.02	0.52±0.01	52.32±0.56	54.90±0.57		
W1 + KS	$0.701 \pm 0.029$	0.792±0.005	0.71±0.01	0.70±0.01	60.33±0.89	63.14±0.60		
W1 + B4	1.185±0.029	1.197±0.006	0.85±0.02	0.83±0.02	45.84±0.34	48.22±0.35		
W1 + B4 + KS	1.333±0.021	1.358±0.028	0.88±0.03	0.89±0.03	70.41±0.73	73.53±0.75		
W1 + B6	0.974±0.036	1.100±0.023	$0.80{\pm}0.04$	0.77±0.01	30.27±0.37	32.18±0.38		
W1 + B6 + KS	$1.095 \pm 0.012$	1.150±0.018	0.83±0.03	$0.82 \pm 0.02$	65.49±0.66	68.46±0.67		
W2 + C	$0.885 \pm 0.020$	0.941±0.010	0.53±0.01	0.55±0.03	67.23±0.34	70.26±0.35		
W2 + KS	$0.921 \pm 0.005$	1.177±0.034	0.87±0.02	0.76±0.08	75.61±0.16	78.87±0.16		
W2 + B4	$1.604 \pm 0.040$	1.749±0.005	$1.00{\pm}0.02$	$1.06 \pm 0.08$	54.42±0.02	57.06±0.02		
W2 + B4 + KS	$1.851 \pm 0.066$	2.176±0.161	1.09±0.03	1.11±0.04	86.47±0.36	90.06±0.37		
W2 + B6	$1.250 \pm 0.007$	1.288±0.015	$0.96 \pm 0.01$	0.97±0.06	53.57±0.21	56.18±0.22		
W2 + B6 + KS	$1.412 \pm 0.017$	1.435±0.066	0.99±0.03	1.20±0.05	77.80±0.25	81.14±0.26		
W3 + C	$0.465 \pm 0.011$	0.527±0.006	0.29±0.03	0.29±0.01	74.80±0.16	76.71±1.30		
W3 + KS	$0.656 \pm 0.057$	0.670±0.040	0.35±0.03	0.30±0.03	83.33±0.25	84.74±0.75		
W3 + B4	$0.827 \pm 0.010$	0.937±0.014	0.74±0.01	0.71±0.06	67.96±0.13	71.00±0.13		
W3 + B4 + KS	0.947±0.014	$1.006 \pm 0.014$	$0.87 \pm 0.02$	0.84±0.03	98.67±0.08	100.30±1.23		
W3 + B6	$0.731 \pm 0.035$	0.753±0.009	$0.64{\pm}0.01$	0.53±0.02	58.65±0.51	61.42±0.53		
W3 + B6 + KS	$0.792 \pm 0.031$	$0.807 \pm 0.008$	$0.70{\pm}0.02$	0.66±0.01	85.22±0.50	88.43±0.76		
LSD 0.05	0.091	0.091	0.07	0.11	1.147	1.789		

Et<sub>o</sub>= reference evapotranspiration (mm/day)

is in harmony with those of Ghasemi Pirbalouti *et al.* [58]; Safoora *et al.* [35] and Farouk and Al-Sanoussi [59]. These increases may be accredited to well-organized ROS scavenging systems that will have otherwise injured the chlorophyll by antioxidant enzymes and solutes [7]. The carotenoids present roles as a light receptor and photo-system shielded against ROS, which it can build up carotenoid. Consequently, Si application hastens the buildup of carotenoids in photosynthetic tissues [60].

Along with environmental stresses, most plants accumulate a variety of osmolytes like proline (Pro) and total sugars to stabilizing membranes and/or macromolecular structures [37, 52] and work as a signal to adjust mitochondrial functions, manipulate cell proliferation and elicit specific gene expression required for plant recovery from stress [61]. Proline may also function as a potent scavenger of ROS and defend the cellular components against oxidative injury [37]. A familiar characteristic of organic osmolytes is that they can build up to high levels without interfering with regular physio-biochemical processes; definitely, they are hydrophilic and can substitute water at the surface of proteins or membranes without distressing protein structure. The accumulation of proline due to silicon forms application observed in the current study indicates the defensive function of silicon against drought. Although results about the effect of silicon forms on osmolytes accumulation under drought are scant, additional researchers had documented a similar result [9, 48, 50]. Under stress conditions, the accumulation of

	Nitrogen %		Phosphorus %		Potassium %		
Treatment	 1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	
Irrigation regimes							
100% Et <sub>o</sub> (W1)	2.821±0.087	2.906±0.111	0.543±0.023	0.652±0.028	2.275±0.053	2.375±0.064	
80% Et <sub>o</sub> (W2)	3.136±0.131	3.255±0.126	0.691±0.044	0.829±0.052	2.574±0.093	2.670±0.078	
60% Et <sub>o</sub> (W3)	2.435±0.083	2.534±0.068	0.417±0.020	0.501±0.024	2.076±0.054	2.112±0.053	
LSD 0.05	0.125	0.144	0.033	0.039	0.128	0.055	
Mitigating substances							
Water ©	2.284±0.092	2.393±0.101	0.375±0.024	0.450±0.029	1.974±0.087	2.012±0.092	
Potassium silicate (KS)	$2.501 \pm 0.093$	$2.562 \pm 0.082$	$0.471 \pm 0.034$	0.565±0.041	2.127±0.060	2.220±0.061	
Biochar 4t/Fed (B4)	$3.093 \pm 0.119$	$3.178 \pm 0.162$	$0.649 \pm 0.056$	0.779±0.068	$2.466 \pm 0.084$	2.566±0.092	
B4+ KS	$3.431 \pm 0.195$	$3.533 \pm 0.171$	$0.720 \pm 0.066$	0.864±0.079	2.696±0.165	2.813±0.132	
Biochar 6t/Fed (B6)	$2.615 \pm 0.095$	$2.767 \pm 0.094$	$0.517 \pm 0.032$	0.621±0.038	2.261±0.054	2.305±0.087	
B6 + KS	$2.858 \pm 0.089$	$2.956 \pm 0.122$	$0.570 \pm 0.041$	0.684±0.049	2.326±0.063	2.398±0.068	
LSD 0.05	0.177	0.203	0.046	0.055	0.181	0.079	
Irrigation regimes and mitigati	ing substances						
W1 + C	2.370±0.055	2.380±0.098	0.388±0.031	0.465±0.124	1.984±0.170	2.01±0.052	
W1 + KS	$2.520 \pm 0.047$	2.527±0.129	0.507±0.0401	0.608±0.165	2.118±0.170	2.213±0.049	
W1 + B4	3.120±0.132	3.200±0.282	0.622±0.008	$0.746 \pm 0.040$	2.364±0.083	2.569±0.050	
W1 + B4 + KS	3.343±0.031	3.543±0.240	0.666±0.020	$0.800 \pm 0.081$	2.657±0.093	2.823±0.017	
W1 + B6	$2.700 \pm 0.150$	2.870±0.202	0.517±0.020	0.621±0.085	2.240±0.260	2.287±0.012	
W1 + B6 + KS	$2.873 \pm 0.080$	2.920±0.178	0.559±0.032	0.671±0.139	2.288±0.052	2.368±0.054	
W2 + C	$2.537 \pm 0.031$	2.700±0.150	0.446±0.006	0.535±0.028	2.256±0.250	2.318±0.033	
W2 + KS	$2.787 \pm 0.124$	2.820±0.075	0.549±0.046	0.659±0.187	2.320±0.071	2.423±0.029	
W2 + B4	$3.450 \pm 0.005$	4.120±0.045	0.853±0.031	1.024±0.126	2.787±0.248	2.877±0.032	
W2 + B4 + KS	$4.083 \pm 0.234$	6.627±0.141	0.965±0.008	1.158±0.033	3.113±1.407	3.260±0.070	
W2 + B6	2.833±0.115	2.923±0.124	0.620±0.040	0.744±0.162	2.440±0.072	2.510±0.011	
W2 + B6 + KS	3.127±.0112	3.340±0.034	0.710±0.035	0.852±0.145	2.530±0.330	2.637±0.029	
W3 + C	1.947±0.083	2.100±0.026	0.292±0.020	0.350±0.082	1.683±0.110	1.716±0.092	
W3 + KS	$2.197 \pm 0.026$	2.340±0.037	0.356±0.018	0.427±0.071	1.943±0.265	2.031±0.057	
W3 + B4	$2.710 \pm 0.131$	2.710±0.086	0.472±0.027	0.567±0.011	2.246±0.04	2.261±0.049	
W3 + B4 + KS	$2.867 \pm 0.166$	2.936±0.018	0.529±0.043	0.635±0.184	2.318±0.253	2.356±0.046	
W3 + B6	$2.313 \pm 0.040$	2.510±0.032	0.415±0.012	0.498±0.051	2.104±0.108	2.122±0.023	
W3 + B6 + KS	$2.577 \pm 0.038$	2.610±0.115	$0.441 \pm 0.008$	$0.529 \pm 0.037$	2.159±0.239	2.195±0.049	
LSD 0.05	0.307	0.353	0.081	0.095	0.313	0.135	

#### J. Hort. Sci. & Ornamen. Plants, 13 (1): 60-75, 2021

Table 7: Effect of irrigation regimes, mitigating substances and their interactions on NPK percentage of 85 days borage shoot during 2017/2018 & 2018/2019 seasons, Means of three replications are presented with ±SE

 $Et_o =$  reference evapotranspiration (mm/day)

Pro has been attributed to an improved activity of biosynthesizing enzymes, accompanied by a decline in Pro catabolism. The exogenous supplementation of Si has been recognized to persuade the P5CS1gene encoding  $\delta$ 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate syntheses, a key enzyme implicated in the Pro biosynthesis [62].

**Ion:** It is evident from data in Table (7) that the percentages of N, P and K significantly affected by irrigation levels, Bo and K-Si treatments. Normally, N, P and K percentages increased with increasing water supply to reach the maximum (N% 3.136 and 3.255, P% 0.691 and 0.829 and K% 2.574 and 2.670 in both seasons, respectively) in shoots of plants irrigated with the second regime level (80% Et<sub>a</sub>). While, the least values of N, P and

K percentages were of plants irrigated with the third regime level (60% Et<sub>o</sub>).

As well as, the similar trend gained by the applying of Bo and K-Si foliar application treatments, which significantly raised values of the of N, P and K percentages to reach their maximum values with the treatment of Bo 4 t/fed plus K-Si spraying.

In the same Table, we could notice that there was a significant effect by combination with the different irrigation regimes levels, Bo and K-Si treatments on the different chemical compositions in both seasons. The highest percentages of N% (4.083 and 6.627), P% (0.965 and 1.158) and K% (3.113 and 3.260) were of combination with 80% Et<sub>o</sub> + Bo (4ton/fed) + K-Si in the two seasons, respectively.

The reduction in ions % under water stress has been formally recognized in a lot of crops [1, 38]. The reduction in N% can be attributed to a reduction in nitrate reductase activity which is linked to the photosynthesis activity and the convenience of carbon skeletons [63]. The decrease in K% under drought may be clarified by the information that the water scarcity manipulates stomatal regulation, leading to reduced photosynthetic capability and also the uptake of K ions to preserve and regulate turgidity and stomatal control as recorded by Sarani *et al.* [64].

Biochar also could increase the availability of nutrients and plant nutrient uptake. There for, application of alternate root-zone drying irrigation. The mechanism caused by alternate root-zone drying irrigation and biochar not only increased ABA production and also maintained water supply and ionic balance, thus securing higher intrinsic water use e?ciency. Biochar can affect hydraulic properties and nutrient retention in arable soils [9, 57]. Rashtia et al. [45] suggested a positive effect of root exudates and biochar interactions on facilitating N turnover and uptake by plant roots in biochar amended treatments, due to higher total N concentrations in their rhizosphere layer than root-free zones. Increasing the application rate of biochar, which increases the water holding capacity inside the root zone leading to enhanced and mitigate water stress on plants.

The function of silicon in increasing N, P and K% are not completely unstated and several corresponding researchers recognized the present investigation. For example, Alzahrani *et al.* [48]; Zargar *et al.* [65]; Farouk and Omar [7] postulated that silicon supplementation increased the content of ions in the plant tissue. The constructive effect might be attributed to the enhancement of ion uptake throughout, maintaining membrane permeability and/or almost certainly giving a better-developed root system. Consequently, Pei *et al.* [66] confirmed that Si application, to the plants, enhanced cellular membrane fluidity, ion selectivity and hasten ion uptake.

**Yield Characters:** Date presented in Table (8) showed that the highest number of inflorescence/plant, seed yield/plant (g), fixed oil % and oil yield/plant (ml) were obtained with 80% Et<sub>o</sub>, on the other hand, irrigation at 60% Et<sub>o</sub> gave the lowest values of studied characteristics in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> season relative to 100% Et<sub>o</sub>.

Foliar application of K-Si alone or in combinations with both Bo rates significantly increased yield trials than the untreated plants. The most effective treatment in this regard was 4 t/fed Bo plus K-Si that increased the number of inflorescence, seed yield/plant, oil% and oil yield by 77, 66, 28 and 111% in the first season and by 86, 71, 30 and 115% in the second seasons, respectively relative to untreated control plants (Table 8).

Under 80% Et, application of Bo and/or K-Si significantly increased yield attributes relative to untreated control plants. On the other hand, under 60% Et<sub>a</sub>, the application of Bo or K-Si alleviated the harmful effect of water deficit. While, application of 4 t/fed Bo plus K-Si increased the number of inflorescence by 90 and 105%, seed yield per plant by 72 and 92%, oil % by 38 and 40% and oil yield/plant by 139 and 171% in the 1st and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, respectively relative to untreated droughtaffected plants (60% Et, only). Water deficit is a widespread ecological stress attribute that constantly decreases the crop yield of several plants [2, 36]. This decline effect could be resulted from falling plant growth as indicated before, resulting in less biomass production and hamper translocation of photo assimilate towards the developing fruits and/or accelerating flower and fruit abortion [67, 68]. Moreover, water deficit affected plant production by inducing pollen grain swollen and filament development diminished that was resulted in decreasing in yield [69].

Biochar as a soil amendment could be a wise approach for enhancing water use efficiency and crop productivity in arid and semi-arid areas [41, 70, 71]. Moreover, the interactive effect of biochar and alternate root-zone drying irrigation defiantly adjusted the balance between chemical signal (leaf ABA) and hydraulic signal (leaf water potential). Thus, intrinsic water use efficiency and yield in alternate root-zone drying irrigation were significantly enhanced compared to deficit irrigation [9]. The biochar application increased yield under drought stress. Also increased photosynthesis, nutrient uptake and modified gas exchange characteristics in droughtstressed plants in sandy soil.

The yield improvement by K-Si matched the maintenance of superior net photosynthetic rate and recovers the source-sink relationships. Additionally, Si may be deposition under the leaf epidermis which may result in a physical barrier that may reflect most of the solar radiation fallen on the leaves and that cause better cooling for leaf tissues which consequently leads to enhancement of photosynthetic rate, water status, carbohydrate metabolism and element uptake under water deficit [72]. Such improvement was found to mitigate the detrimental effect of water deficit on the partitioning of assimilates during the period of flower bud initiation. Thus, the mitigation improved flower formation and development [73].

#### J. Hort. Sci. & Ornamen. Plants, 13 (1): 60-75, 2021

	No of infloresce	No of inflorescence		Seed yield /plant			Oil yield ml/plant	
Treatment	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year						
Irrigation regimes		· · · ·				-		
100% Et <sub>o</sub> (W1)	135.5±5.76	145.05±6.68	10.04±0.47	10.99±0.49	29.56±0.57	30.20±0.61	3.01±0.19	3.37±0.22
80% Et <sub>o</sub> (W2)	148.39±7.14	157.89±8.03	10.93±0.40	11.78±0.42	30.73±0.53	31.38±0.58	3.39±0.18	3.74±0.20
60% Et <sub>o</sub> (W3)	80.44±4.00	89.72±4.99	6.49±0.30	7.03±0.40	20.89±0.56	21.51±0.61	1.37±0.09	1.55±0.13
LSD 0.05	2.49	2.47	0.06	0.076	0.09	0.09	0.02	0.03
Mitigating substances								
Water (C)	86.22±7.97	90.55±8.05	6.85±0.55	7.39±0.64	23.68±1.57	24.056±1.58	1.68±0.22	1.86±0.26
Potassium silicate (KS)	100.56±8.75	106.00±8.87	7.51±0.62	8.10±0.73	25.11±1.56	25.55±1.57	1.96±0.26	2.16±0.29
Biochar 4t/Fed (B4)	141.89±12.53	155.11±12.60	10.65±0.74	11.54±0.75	29.26±1.54	30.10±1.55	3.19±0.37	3.56±0.38
B4+KS	153.33±12.86	168.44±12.80	11.40±0.81	12.52±0.77	30.32±1.55	31.27±1.55	3.55±0.40	4.01±0.41
Biochar 6t/Fed (B6)	117.78±10.00	125.78±10.15	8.83±0.67	9.59±0.75	26.37±1.56	26.92±1.56	2.41±0.29	2.67±0.33
B6 + KS	128.89±10.79	139.44±10.65	9.67±0.70	10.43±0.78	27.63±1.50	28.27±1.51	2.75±0.31	3.05±0.36
LSD 0.05	3.53	3.49	0.080	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.03	0.04
Irrigation regimes and miti	gating substances							
W1 + C	99.33±2.03	104.00±1.73	7.34±0.05	8.07±0.05	26.03±0.04	26.41±0.03	1.91±0.02	2.11±0.01
W1 + KS	114.67±2.03	120.00±2.08	8.03±0.04	8.82±0.06	27.69±0.13	28.13±0.01	2.22±0.02	2.47±0.03
W1 + B4	157.33±2.03	170.66±2.60	11.73±0.03	12.78±.04	31.85±0.03	32.69±0.03	3.73±0.01	4.17±0.01
W1 + B4 + KS	167.67±2.60	182.66±2.60	12.80±0.07	13.78±0.06	32.91±0.02	33.86±0.01	4.21±0.02	4.67±0.02
W1 + B6	131.00±2.31	139.33±2.02	9.62±0.03	10.82±0.04	28.84±0.04	29.40±0.04	2.77±0.01	3.19±0.05
W1 + B6 + KS	143.00±1.73	153.66±1.76	10.70±0.03	11.7±0.04	30.05±0.05	30.70±0.02	3.21±0.01	3.61±0.02
W2 + C	104.67±1.45	109.00±1.73	8.47±0.078	9.22±0.05	27.55±0.05	27.95±0.05	2.32±0.03	2.60±0.40
W2 + KS	121.00±2.64	127.00±2.64	9.35±0.05	10.18±0.09	28.77±0.02	29.22±0.03	2.68±0.01	2.97±0.01
W2 + B4	175.33±2.33	188.66±2.72	12.50±0.03	13.27±0.12	32.84±0.06	33.07±0.06	4.10±0.01	4.47±0.05
W2 + B4 + KS	188.67±4.10	203.66±3.75	13.23±0.05	14.34±0.08	33.93±0.03	34.88±0.04	4.48±0.02	$5.00 \pm 0.04$
W2 + B6	143.67±2.40	152.00±2.08	10.66±0.06	11.32±0.08	30.11±0.04	30.67±0.04	3.20±0.02	3.46±0.02
W2 + B6 + KS	157.00±2.64	167.00±2.08	11.37±0.05	12.31±0.07	31.18±0.040	31.83±0.02	3.54±0.01	3.91±0.02
W3 + C	54.67±1.45	58.66±1.45	4.73±0.05	4.90±0.03	17.45±0.24	17.80±0.24	0.82±0.02	0.87±0.01
W3 + KS	66.00±1.53	71.00±1.73	5.15±0.04	5.28±0.07	18.87±0.05	19.30±0.07	0.96±0.01	1.01±0.01
W3 + B4	93.00±1.15	106.00±1.73	7.70±0.06	8.57±0.05	23.09±0.06	23.92±0.05	1.74±0.03	2.04±0.02
W3 + B4 + KS	103.67±1.20	119.00±1.15	8.16±0.03	9.44±0.05	24.14±0.05	25.06±0.06	1.96±0.01	2.36±0.02
W3 + B6	78.67±1.45	86.00±1.15	6.24±0.03	6.61±0.03	20.15±0.05	20.69±0.05	1.25±0.01	1.36±0.01
W3 + B6 + KS	86.67±0.88	97.66±0.88	6.94±0.01	7.34±0.058	21.64±0.04	22.26±0.05	1.50±0.01	1.63±0.01
LSD 0.05	6.11	6.04	0.14	0.19	0.22	0.23	0.05	0.07

Table 8: Effect of irrigation regimes, mitigating substances and their interactions on flowering and yield trails at harvesting and after harvesting of borage during 2017/2018 & 2018/2019 seasons, Means of three replications are presented with ±SE

Et<sub>o</sub>= reference evapotranspiration (mm/day)

**Fixed Oil Constituents (Fatty Acids):** Data presented in Table 9 and Figure 1 showed that, fatty acids composition of borage seeds oil of second season samples for control and the most effective treatment (biochar at 4t./fed. and potassium silicate foliar application) under the different irrigation regimes levels (60%, 80% and100% Et<sub>o</sub>). Fifteen fatty acids were identified by Gas-Liquid chromatographic (GLC). Some of these fatty acids were saturated (ranged from16.66 to 18.14% of total fatty acids) as myristic, palmitic, margaric, stearic, arachidic and behenic and the most predominant saturated fatty acid was palmitic acid (C16:0), its highest percentage (13.55%) was of plants under the second irrigation regime W2 (80% of Et<sub>o</sub>) compared to the third irrigation regime level W3 (60% of Et<sub>o</sub>) which recorded (12.15%).

70

On the other hand, There were nine unsaturated fatty acids were identified including palmitoleic, margar-oleic, oleic, linoleic, gamma-linolenic (Omega-6),  $\alpha$ -linolenic (Omega-3), cis-11-eicosenoic, erucic and nervonic acid. Total unsaturated fatty acids ranged from 81.84 to 83.19% of total fatty acids composition. The unsaturated linoleic acid (all cis-9, 12- octadecadienoic) represented the majority of total fatty acids composition followed by gamma-linolenic (all cis-6, 9, 12-octadecatrienoic acid) or oleic (cis-9 octadecenoic), From the same table, it was observed that fatty acids composition was affected by the irrigation regime. Where, there was an increase of Linoleic acid in the samples of the third irrigation regime W3 (60 % Et<sub>o</sub>), while this acid recorded minimum values in the samples of the first irrigation regime W1 (100% Et<sub>o</sub>).

Table 9: GLC fractionation of borage fixed oil from 2018/2019 samples for control and the most effective treatment of mitigating substances under the different irrigation regimes

Fatty acids	W1 (100%Et <sub>o</sub> )	W1+B4t. + KS	W2 (80%Et <sub>o</sub> )	W2+B4t. + KS	W3 (60%Et <sub>o</sub> )	W3+B4t. + KS					
Myristic acid (C14:0)	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.08	ND					
Palmitic acid (C16:0)	13.35	13.21	13.55	13.49	12.15	12.61					
Palmitoleic acid*( C16:1)	0.22	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.21	0.23					
Margaric acid (C17:0)	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05					
Margaroleic acid * (C17:1)	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02					
Stearic acid (C18:0)	3.68	4.09	3.97	3.75	4.03	4.01					
Oleic acid * (C18:1)	19.66	18.79	18.30	15.93	18.33	18.48					
Linoleic acid* (C18:2)	35.89	36.18	35.93	37.26	36.05	36.06					
ã-linolenic acid*(Omega-6, C18:3n6)	18.64	18.98	19.55	21.13	20.34	19.72					
á-linolenic acid* (Omega-3 C18:3n3)	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.18					
Arachidic acid C20:0	0.23	0.26	0.27	0.23	0.26	0.28					
cis-11-Eicosenoic acid* (C20:1)	4.02	3.85	3.77	3.77	3.80	3.88					
Behenic acid (C22:0)	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.19					
Erucic acid* (C22:1)	2.46	2.55	2.42	2.47	2.71	2.72					
Nervonic acid* (C24:1)	1.34	1.39	1.44	1.30	1.59	1.53					
Total Saturated (%)	17.57	17.88	18.14	17.75	16.77	17.14					
Total Unsaturated (%)	82.4	82.11	81.84	82.2	83.19	82.82					

\*= Unsaturated fatty acids



Fig. 1: Influence of irrigation regimes and mitigating substances on Borage fixed oil for control and the most effective treatment samples of the second season of 2018/2019

The same Table 9 clarified that there was a reverse relation between Linoleic (C18:2) and oleic acid (C18:1), where the best increase of Linoleic content was of the treatment of 80%  $Et_o$  +biochar (4 t/ fed) + potassium silicate which recorded 37.26%, while oleic acid recorded an increase under W1 (100%  $Et_o$ ) irrigation. It was noticed that, with the increase of Linoleic acid, the oleic acid decreased.

Another inverse relation was noticed between  $\alpha$ -Linolenic acid (C18:3) and Linoleic acid (C18:2). Highly record of  $\alpha$ -Linolenic acid (the precursor of prostaglandin E1) which is useful to human health was of plants treated with the treatment of W3(60% Et<sub>o</sub>) + biochar (4 t/ fed) + potassium silicate. This may be related to the positive effect of potassium silicate and biochar to alleviate the adverse effect of drought.

Concerning Omega-6 values, it recorded the highest values (21.13%) in samples of W2 (80% Et<sub>o</sub>)+biochar (4 t/fed + potassium silicate in comparison to W1(100%)) $Et_{0}$ ) treatment which recorded a low value (18.64%). These results are consistent with the fact that many useful properties of borage seed oil are attributed to its high \delta-linolenic acid content (GLA, C18:3n6), which constitutes 15-22% of the fixed oil [74]. GLA is an essential Omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid and must be provided in the food because it cannot always be easily manufactured within the human body. In humans, gamma-linolenic (Omega-6) supplementation is effective in the prevention and/or treatment of various degenerative pathologies such as osteoporosis, diabetes, cancer and is very important in human nutrition [75-77].

Drought stress-related concentration increase is a common feature for all different classes of natural products [78]. On the other hand, Potassium and silicon play a vital role in maintaining plant water potential, photosynthetic activity, the synthesis, transformation and storage of carbohydrates in plants which are precursors of secondary plant products [14, 79].

Our results are in the same line with those obtained by Del Río *et. al.* [74] on Borage; Özcan [80] on Boraginaceae and Khattab *et. al.* [81] on Borage.

#### CONCLUSION

The current study suggests that biochar and/or potassium silicate advance drought tolerance and may be considered a potential regulator of agricultural production under a scarcity of water. This makes an enormous donation not single to saving water in arid and semiarid lands, in the situation of climate alteration adaptation strategies, but also to regional and national economic development.

## REFERENCES

- Farouk, S., S.A. Arafa and R.M.A. Nassar, 2018 Improving drought tolerance in corn (*Zea mays* L) by foliar application with salicylic acid. Int. J. Environ., 7(3): 104-123.
- Farouk, S. and I.M. EL-Metwally, 2019. Synergistic responses of drip-irrigated wheat crop to chitosan and/or silicon under different irrigation regimes. Agricultural Water Management 226, 105807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2019.105807.
- Pang, Z., M. Tayyab, W. Islam, M.W.K. Tarin, R. Sarfaraz, H. Naveed, S. Zaman, B. Zhang, Z. Yuan and H. Zhang, 2019. Silicon-mediated improvement in tolerance of economically important crops under drought stress. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 17(3): 6151-6170. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1703\_61516170.
- Jajarmi, V., 2009. Effect of water stress on germination indices in seven wheat cultivar. World Aca Sci Eng Tech., 49: 105-106.
- Burke, E.J., S.J. Brown and N. Christidis, 2006. Modeling the recent evolution of global drought and projections for the twenty-first century with the Hadley Centre climate model. J. Hydrometeorol., 7: 1113-1125.
- Sheshbahreh, M.J., M.M. Dehnavi, A. Salehi and B. Bahreininejad, 2019. Effect of irrigation regimes and nitrogen sources on biomass production, water and nitrogen use efficiency and nutrients uptake in coneflower (*Echinacea purpurea* L.). Agricultural Water Management, 213: 358-367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.10.011.
- Farouk, S. and M.M. Omar, 2020. Sweet basil growth, physiological and ultrastructural modification and oxidative defense system under water deficit and silicon forms treatment. Journal of Plant Growth R e g u l a t i o n, 39: 1307-1331. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-020-10071-x.
- Bhagyawant, R.G., S.D. Gorantiwar and S.D. Dahiwalkar, 2015. Effect of deficit irrigation on crop growth, yield and quality of onion under surface irrigation. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 15(8): 1672-1678. (Agronomical Research in Moldavia) 2 (178), 114-125.
- Yang, A., S.S. Akhtar, Li. Lin, Q. Fu, Q. Li, M.A. Naeem, X. He, Z. Ze and J. Sven-Erik, 2020. Biochar Mitigates Combined Effects of Drought and Salinity Stress in Quinoa. Agronomy, 10(912): 1-14. doi:10.3390/agronomy10060912.

- Novak, J.M., W.J. Busscher, D.W. Watts, J.E. Amonette, J.A. Ippolito, I.M. Lima, *et al.* 2012. Biochars impact on soil-moisture storage in an ultisol and two aridisols. Soil Sci., 177: 310-320. doi: 10.1097/SS.0b013e31824e 5593.
- Oleszczuk, P., M. Rycaj, J. Lehmann and G. Cornelissen, 2012. Influence of activated carbon and biochar on phytotoxicity of air-dried sewage sludges to Lepidiumsativum. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf., 80: 321-326. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv. 2012.03.015.
- Warnock, D.D., J. Lehmann, T.W. Kuyper and M.C. Rillig, 2007. Mycorrhizal responses to biochar in soil and concepts and mechanisms. Plant Soil, 300: 9-20. doi:10.1007/s11104-007-9391-5.
- Salim, B.B., 2014. Effect of boron and silicon on alleviating salt stress in maize. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research, 3(4): 1196-1204.
- Tarabih, M.E., E.E. El-Eryan and M.A. El-Metwally, 2014. Physiological and pathological impacts of potassium silicate on storability of Anna apple fruits. American Journal of Plant Physiology, 9(2): 52-67.
- 15. Damon, P.M. and Z. Rengel, 2007. Wheat genotypes differ in potassium efficiency under glass house and field conditions. Aust. J. Agric. Res., 58: 816-823.
- Zorb, C., M. Senbayramb and E. Peiter, 2014. Potassium in agriculture -Status and perspectives. J. Plant Physiol., 171: 656-669.
- Tayyab, M., Z. Caifang, W. Islam, F. Khalil, P. Ziqin, Z. Caifang, *et al.*, 2018a. Biochar: an efficient way to manage low water availability in plants. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 16: 2565-2583.
- Kim, Y.H., A.L. Khan, M. Waqas and I.J. Lee, 2017. Silicon regulates antioxidant activities of crop plants under abiotic-induced oxidative stress: a Review. Front. Plant Sci., 8: 510. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls. 2017.00510.
- Merwad, A.M.A., E.M. Desoky and M.M. Rady, 2018. Response of water deficit-stressed *Vigna unguiculata* performances to silicon, proline or methionine foliar application. Sci. Horti., 228: 132-144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.10.008.
- Gao, X.P., C.Q. Zou, L.J. Wang and F.S. Zhang, 2006. Silicon decreases transpiration rate and conductance from stomata of maize plants. J. Plant Nutr., 29: 1637-1647.
- Sapre, S.S. and D.N. Vakharia, 2016. Role of silicon under water deficit stress in wheat: (Biochemical perspective): A Review. Agricultural Reviews, 37(2): 109-116.

- Gong, H.J., X.Y. Zhu, K.M. Chen, S.M. Wang and C.L. Zhang, 2005. Silicon alleviates oxidative damage of wheat plants in pots under drought. Plant Sci., 169: 313-321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci. 2005.02.023.
- De Haro, A., V. Doñinguez and M. Del Rio, 2002. Variability in the content of gamma-linolenic acid and other fatty acids of the seed oil of germplasm of wild and cultivated borage (*Borago officinalis* L.). Journal of Herbs, Spices and Medicinal Plants, 9: 297-304.
- Horrobin, D.F., 1992. Nutritional and medical importance of gamma-linolenic acid. Prog. Lipid Res., 31: 163-194.
- Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney, 1982. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Aufl.1184S, American Soc. of Agronomy (Publ.), Madison, Wisconsin.
- Klute, A. and C. Dirksen 1986. Hydraulic Conductivity and Diffusivity: Laboratory Methods, In Klute, A. (ed.). Methods of Soil Analysis: Physical and Mineralogical Methods. (2<sup>Ed</sup>). Madison, WI, USA, pp: 687-733.
- Allen, R.G., L.S. Pereira, D. Raes and M. Smith, 1998. Crop Evapotranspiration - Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements - FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. FAO - Food and Agriculture Organization of the United.
- Vermeiren, L. and G.A. Jopling 1984. Localized Irrigation. FAO, Irrigation and Drainage Paper no. 36, Rome, Italy.
- Vites, F.G. Jr, 1965. Increasing water use efficiency by soil management. Amer. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisc., pp: 259-274.
- Saric, M., R. Curic, T. Cupina and I. Geric, 1976. Chlorophyll Determination. "Univerzite UNovon Sadu". Praktikumiz Fiziologize Biljaka-Beograd, Haucna Anjiga, pp: 215.
- Cottenie, A., M. Verloo, L. Kiekens, G. Velghe and R. Camerlynck, 1982. Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil Laboratory of Analytical and Agrochemistry, State Univ., Ghent, Belgium.
- Bates, I., R.P. Waldren and J.D. Teare, 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant Soil., 39: 205-207.
- 33. ISO 12966-2, First EDITION, 2017. Animal and vegetable fast oils-Gas chromatography of fatty acid methyl esters.

- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, 1984. Statistical Proceedings for Agricultural Research. Second Edition. John Wiley, New York.
- Safoora, D., G. Cyrus, B. Bahram, G. Mahdib and S. Siamak, 2018. Effect of silicon on growth and development of strawberry under water deficit conditions. Hortic. Plant J., 4(6): 226-232.
- Alghory, A. and A. Yazar, 2019. Evaluation of crop water stress index and leaf water potential for deficit irrigation management of sprinkler-irrigated wheat. Irrig. Sci., 37: 61-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00271-018-0603-y.
- Campos, C.N., R.G. Avila, K.R.D. De Souza, L.M. Azevedo and J.D. Alves, 2019. Melatonin reduces oxidative stress and promot.es drought tolerance in young *Cofea Arabica* L. plants. Agric Water Manage 211: 37-47. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.09.025.
- García-Caparrós, P., M.J. Romero, A. Llanderal, P. Cermeño, M.T. Lao and M.L. Segura, 2019. Effects of drought stress on biomass, essential oil content, nutritional parameters and costs of production in six lamiaceae species. Water 11:573. https://doi.org/10.3390/w11030573.
- Banon, S.J., J. Ochoa, J.A. Franco, J.J. Alarcon, M.J. Sanchez-Blanco, 2006. Hardening of coleander seedlings by deficit irrigation and low air humidity. Env. Exp. Bot., 56: 36-43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2004.12.004.
- 40. Blum, A., 2017. Osmotic adjustment is a prime drought stress adaptive engine in support of plant production. Plant Cell Environ., 40: 4-10.
- Bahreininejad, B., J. Razmjoo and M. Mirza, 2013. Infuence of water stress on morphophysiological and phytochemical traits in *Thymus daenensis*. Int. J. Plant Prod., 7: 151-166.
- González-Villagra, J., A. Rodrigues-Salvadorb, A. Nunes-Nesib, J.D. Cohenc and M.M. Reyes-Díaz, 2018. Age-related mechanism and its relationship with secondary metabolism and abscisic acid in Aristotelia chilensisplants subjected to drought stress. Plant Physiol Biochem, 124: 136-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaph y.2018.01.010.
- Lawlor, D.W. and G. Cornic, 2002. Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated metabolism in relation to water deficits in higher plants. Plant Cell Environment, 25: 275-294.
- 44. Asadi-Samani, M., M. Bahmani and M. Rafieian-Kopaei, 2014. The chemical composition, botanical characteristic and biological activities of

*Borago officinalis*: a review. Asian. Pac. J. Trop. Med., 7(1): S22-S28. doi: 10.1016/S1995-7645(14)60199-1.

- 45. Rashtia, M.R., M. Esfandboda, I.R. Phillipsb and C.C. Chengrong, 2019. Biochar amendment and water stress alter rhizosphere carbon and nitrogen budgets in bauxite-processing residue sand under rehabilitation. Journal of Environmental Management, 230: 446-455, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.09.093.
- Robertson, S.J., M.P. Rutherford, J.C. López-Gutiérrez and H.B. Massicotte, 2012.Biochar enhances seedling growth and alters rootvsymbioses and roperties of sub-boreal forest soils, Can. J. Soil Sci., 92: 329-340.
- 47. Mukherjee, A. and A. Zimmerman, 2013. Organic carbon and nutrient release from a range of laboratory-produced biochars and biocharsoil mixtures, Geoderma, 193: 122-130.
- Alzahrani, Y., A. Kuşvuran, H.F. Alharby, S. Kuşvuran and M.M. Rady, 2018. The defensive role of silicon in wheat against stress conditions induced by drought, salinity or cadmium. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf., 154: 187-196. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoen v.2018.02.057.
- Karmollachaab, A., M.H. Gharineg, M. Bakhshandeh, M. Moradi and G. Fathi, 2014.Effect of Silicon application on physiological characteristic and growth of wheat (*Triticumae stivum* L.) under drought stress conditions. Agroecology, 5(4): 430- 442.
- 50. Araújo, A.L.G., A.M. Almeida, J.J. Guimarães, F.S. Cantuário, L.C. Salomão, C.R.S. Curvêlo, A.R. Aurelio Rúbio Neto, J.M.Q. Luz and A.L.A. Pereira, 2019. Potassium Silicate, Against Water Stress, in Sweet Corn Plant Growth Traits. Journal of Agricultural Science, 11(5): 1916-9752, E-ISSN 1916-9760 Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education.
- Markovich, O., E. Steiner, S. Kouøil, P. Tarkowski, A. Aharoni, R. Elbaum, 2017. Silicon promotes cytokinin biosynthesis and delays senescence in Arabidopsis and Sorghum. Plant Cell Environ. https ://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12913.
- Mohasseli V. and S. Sadeghi, 2019. Exogenously applied sodium nitroprusside improves physiological attributes and essential oil yield of two drought susceptible and resistant specie of Thymus under reduced irrigation. Industrial Crops and Products, 130: 130-136, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop. 2018.12.058.

- Allakhverdiev, I., H. Hayashi, Y. Nishiyama, A.G. Ivanov, J.A. Aliev and V.V. Klimov, 2003. Glycinebetaine protects the D1/D2/ Cytb559 complex of photosystem II against photo-induced and heatinduced inactivation. J. Plant Physiol., 160: 41-49.
- Karimi, S., A. Yadollahi and K. Arzani, 2015. Gas-exchange response of almond genotypes to water stress. Photosynthetica, 53: 29-34.
- 55. Kaiser, W.M., G. Kaiser, S. Schöner and S. Neimanis, 1981.Photosynthesis under osmotic stress. Diferential recovery of photosynthetic activities of stromal enzymes, intact chloroplasts and leaf slices after exposure to high solute concentrations. Planta, 153: 430-435.
- Caser, M., F. D'Angiolillo, W. Chitarra, C. Lovisolo, B. Rufoni, L. Pistelli, L. Pistelli and V. Scariot, 2018. Ecophysiological and phytochemical responses of *Salvia sinaloensis* Fern. to drought stress. Plant Growth Regul., 84: 383-394. https ://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-017-0349-1.
- Abdelraouf, R.E., E.F. Essay and M. M. S. Saleh, 2017. Sustainable management of deficit irrigation in sandy soils by producing biochar and adding it as a soil amendment. Middle East J. Agric. Res., 6(4): 1359-1375, ISSN: 2077-460.
- Ghasemi Pirbalouti, A., F. Malekpoora, A. Salimic and A. Golparvar, 2017. Exogenous application of chitosan on biochemical and physiological characteristics, phenolic content and antioxidant activity of two species of basil (*Ocimum ciliatum* and *Ocimum basilicum*) under reduced irrigation. Sci. Hort., 217: 114-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.scienta.2017.01.031.
- Farouk, S. and A.J. Al-Sanoussi, 2019. The role of biostimulants in increasing barley plant growth and yield under newly cultivated sany soil. Moldova Cercetãri Agronomice în Moldova (Agronomical Research in Moldavia) 2(178): 114-125.
- Lobato, A.K.S., G.K. Coimbra, M.A.M. Neto, R.C.L. Costa, F.B.G. Santos, C.F. Oliveira, L.M. Luz, A.G.T. Barreto, B.W.F. Pereira, G.A.R. Alves, B.S. Monterio and C.A. Marochio, 2009. Protective action of silicon on water relation and photosynthetic pigments in pepper plants induced to water deficit. Res. J. Biol. Sci., 4: 617-623 http://medwelljournals.com/abstract/?doi=rjbsci.20 09.617.623.
- Siddique, A., G. Kandpal and P. Kumar, 2018. Proline accumulation and its defensive role under diverse stress condition in plants: an overview. J. Pure. Appl. Microbiol., 12(3): 1655-1659. https ://doi.org/10.22207 /JPAM.12.3.73.

- Rejeb, K.B., C. Abdelly and A. Savouré, 2014. How reactive oxygen species and proline face stress together. Plant. Physiol. Biochem., 80: 278-284. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaph y.2014.04.007.
- Farahani, H.A., S.A. Valadabadi, J. Daneshian, A.H. Shiranirad and M.A. Khalvati, 2009. Medicinal and aromatic plants farming under drought conditions. Journal of Horticulture and Forestry, 1: 86-92.
- Sarani, M., M. Namrudi, S.M. Hashemi and M.M. Raoof, 2014. The effect of drought stress on chlorophyll content, root growth, glucosinolate and proline in crop plants. International Journal of Farming and Allied Sciences, 3(9): 1997-2000.
- Zargar, S.M., R. Mahajan, A.J. Bhat, M. Nazir and R. Deshmukh, 2019. Review Article: Role of silicon in plant stress tolerance: opportunities to achieve a sustainable cropping system. Biotech., 9(73): 1-16, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-019-1613-z.
- 66. Pei, Z.F., D.F. Ming, D. Liu, G.L. Wan, X.X. Geng, H.J. Gong and W.J. Zhou, 2010. Silicon improves the tolerance of water-deficit stress induced by polyethylene glycol in wheat (*Triticumae stivum* L.) seedlings. J. Plant Growth Regul, 29: 106-115.
- 67. Liu, P., L.N. Yin, X.P. Deng, S.W. Wang, K. Tanaka and S.Q. Zhang, 2014. Aquaporin mediated increase in root hydraulic conductance is involved in silicon-induced improved root water uptake under osmotic stress in *Sorghum bicolor* L. J. Exp. Bot. 65: 4747-4756.https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru220.
- Ghassemi-Golezani, K., R. Maghferati, S. Zehtab-Salmasi and S. Dastborhan, 2016. Influence of water deficit and nitrogen supply on grain yield and yield components of safflower. Advances in Bioresearch Adv. Biores., 7(2): 132-136.
- Song, F.B., D.J. Ying, Z. Lie, H.G. Kun and G. Yi Qing, 1998. Effect of water stress on maize pollen vigour and filament fertility. Acta Agronomica Sinica, 24: 368-373.
- El-Shawadfy, M.A. and R. E. Abdelraouf, 2019. Deficit Irrigation Management in Arid Regions by Adding Biochar to Sandy Soils: Sweet Pepper Cultivation. Current Science International, 8(4): 667-677. DOI: 10.36632/csi/2019.8.4.7.
- Zhang, Y., J. Ding, H. Wang, L. Su and C. Zhao, 2020. Research Article: Biochar addition alleviates the negative effects of drought and salinity stress on soybean productivity and water use efficiency. BMC Plant Biology, 20(288): 1-11, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-02493-2.

- Korndörfer, G.H., H.S. Pereira and A. Nolla, 2004. Silicon Analysis in Soil, Plant and Fertilizers. Brazil, GPSi/ ICIAG/ UFU.
- Jaimer, R.E., O. Vielma, F. Rada and C. Garcia-Numez, 2000.Effects of water deficit on the dynamics of flowering and fruit production of *Capsicum shinensis* Jasq. in a tropical semiarid region of Venezuela. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 185: 113-119.
- Del Río, M., C. Fernàndez-Martínez and A. De Haro, 1993. Wild and cultivated *Borago officinalis* L.: Sources of gamma linolenic acid. Grasasy Aceites, 44: 125-126.
- Kruger, M.C., H. Coetzer, R. Winter, G. Gericke and D.H.Van Papendrop, 1998.Calcium, gamma linolenic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid supplementation in senile osteoporosis. Aging., 10: 385-394.
- 76. Das, U.N., 2010. A defect in Delta6 and Delta5 desaturases may be a factor in the initiation and progression of insulin resistance, the metabolic syndrome and ischemic heart disease in South Asians. Lipids Health Dis., 9: 130.
- Scheim, D.E., 2009. Cytotoxicity of unsaturated fatty acids in fresh human tumor explants: concentration thresholds and implications for clinical efficacy. Lipids Health Dis, 8: 54.

- 78. Kleinwächter, M. and D. Selmar, 2014. Influencing the product quality by applying drought stress during the cultivation of medicinal plants. In: Ahmad P, Wani MR (1<sup>st</sup> eds) Physiological mechanisms and adaptation strategies in plants under changing environment. Spring. New York, 1: 57-73.
- Das, K.K., G.S. Swamy, D. Biswas and K.K. Chnaniya, 2017. Response of soil application of diatomaceous earth as a source of silicon on leaf nutrient status of guava. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 6(4): 1394-1399.
- Özcan, T., 2008. Analysis of the total oil and fatty acid composition of seeds of some Boraginaceae taxa from Turkey. Plant Syst. & Evol., 274: 143-153.
- Khattab, H. A.H., I.Z.A. Abdallah, F. M. Yousef and E.A. Huwait, 2017.Efficiency of borage seeds oil against gamma irradiation-induced hepatotoxicity in male rats: possible antioxidant activity. Afr. J. Tradit Complement Altern Med., 14(4): 169-179. https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.20.