

Metazoan Parasites of Some Arabian Gulf Fish, Off Dammam, Saudi Arabia: 1- External and Internal Parasite-Host Associations

^{1,2}Elsayed M. Bayoumy, ²Gasem M. Abu-Taweel and ²Mohamed A. Alzahaby

¹Department of Hydrobiology Researches, National Research Center, Giza, Egypt

²Department of Biology, College of Education, Dammam Univ.,
P.O. Box: 2375, Dammam - 31451, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: The present fieldwork was conducted during the spring months of 2011 in Arabian Gulf, Dammam city, Saudi Arabia. A total of 80 fish representing eight species belonging to seven families were examined for the presence of metazoan parasites and parasite-host associations. The total percent of metazoan parasites infestation was 61.25% (49 out of 80 examined fish). The highest incidence of infestation was by Monogenea (38.8%) and the lowest ones by nematodes (8.8%). The prevalence of gills infestation has a high significant effect on Fulton's condition factor (K) in all fish under investigation while, in *Gerres ablongus*, the prevalence of intestine infestation has a high significant effect on K ($r = -0.767$, $P < 0.01$). Different parasite-host parameters were discussed and showed that infestation in gills causes more illness to fish, or the infestation increases with decreasing the well-being of fish.

Key words: Fish • Metazoa • Parasites • Relationship • Arabian Gulf

INTRODUCTION

Like in any aquatic system, parasites play an important role in the ecology of marine ecosystems. They live at equilibrium in their aquatic hosts and introduce the most common lifestyle on the planet [1-3]. Parasites are ubiquitous, primarily surviving in a dynamic equilibrium with their host(s) and they are often overlooked in fish health assessments. Parasites presence becomes evident after a massive development, causing diseases and sometimes even leading to the mass mortality of infested hosts. Such events are often combined with biotic or abiotic changes in the environment. There is increasing evidence that parasites are an important element of marine biodiversity [4-6].

No doubt, parasites infesting fish have a direct or indirect effect on the human welfare. Besides infestation with living parasites, pathogens that are already dead or their remains within the fish tissue might harm the consumer by causing allergic reactions [7, 8]. Co-infestations, i.e. infestations of hosts by multiple parasite species are the rule in host-parasite interactions

in nature. This infestation may lead to different types of intraspecific and interspecific associations, which may shape the structure of a parasite community [9-12].

Parasites constitute an important group of the marine biodiversity. There are relatively few marine studies concerning parasites in Arabian Gulf fish, especially parasite-host relationship. Previous studies focused primarily on parasites classification of fish from the eastern Gulf [13-19]. Therefore, the current research aimed to investigate the correlation between the metazoan parasites incidence and different fish host parameters, taking in consideration their effect on fish health.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish Samples: Samples were obtained from 80 fish, 10 of each of 8 different fish species which belong to 7 families, [20] collected from Arabian Gulf, Dammam city, Saudi Arabia during the spring months of 2011. Actual length (LA) and total length (LT) were recorded to the nearest mm using a measuring board. Whole wet weight (W) was measured using an electronic balance and recorded

to the nearest gram. Fulton's condition factor is calculated according to Nash *et al.* [21] from the relationship between the weight of a fish and its length. The formula is of the form: $k = \frac{100 \times w}{L^3}$,

where,

K = Fulton's condition factor,

W = The weight of the fish and

L = Is the length (usually total length).

Detection of Parasites: Fish were anaesthetized and in preparation for light microscopy, gills of the examined fish were removed and put in natural seawater to remove any fish-gill mucus. Collection and permanent slide preparations for monogenean and crustacean parasites were carried out according to Lim [22]. The intestinal parasites were collected and identified using binocular microscopy. Samples were fixed in 10% formalin and washed repeatedly with distilled water to dilute and remove excess fixative. An acetic acid alum carmine preparation was used (10-30 min) for staining [23].

Statistical Analyses: Basic descriptive statistics were performed to calculate means. The comparison between means was tested for significance using the one-way ANOVA analysis. After completion of the above, means were analyzed for the development of Pearson's correlation matrix (2-tailed) of different variables. A Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to determine significant relationships among the mean prevalence of infestation by different metazoan parasites from gills and intestine of fish under investigation and biological parameters of different fish species. All statistical analyses were performed using a computer program of SPSS Inc. (version 17.0 for Windows) at the 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS

The present work reports the results of a preliminary survey on the helminth parasites of some fish, caught mainly from Saudi waters; off Dammam in the Arabian Gulf. The mean of weight (W), total length (LT) and actual

Table 1: Fish species, maximum (Max), minimum (Min), mean± standard deviation (SD.) and K of the studied fish species. LT=total length, LA=actual length W=total mass

Fish	W			LT			LA			K		
	Max.	Min.	Mean± SD.	Max.	Min.	Mean± SD.	Max.	Min.	Mean± SD.	Max.	Min.	Mean± SD.
<i>Gerres ablongus</i>	260	158	208.4±30.27	30.5	23.5	27.86±2.25	23.3	18.0	20.80±1.97	1.348	0.80	0.981±0.194
<i>Acanthopagrus bifasciatus</i>	255	95.0	162.3±55.69	28.5	22.5	25.00±1.69	25.5	19.5	22.05±1.85	1.305	0.830	1.007±0.188
<i>Liza alata</i>	380	110	276.5±73.44	35.0	22.5	29.04±3.97	31.5	18.5	24.04±3.48	1.755	0.772	1.160±0.377
<i>Lethrius nebulosus</i>	315	135	209±60.09	30.5	22.0	25.95±2.82	26.5	19.5	21.90±2.92	1.718	0.814	1.201±0.287
<i>Nemipterus japonicas</i>	245	98.0	167.4±47.46	26.5	19.5	23.47±2.21	23.0	17.0	19.70±1.92	1.887	0.725	1.328±0.440
<i>Nemipterus tolu</i>	354	52.0	177.1±97.85	32.0	18.0	24.80±4.69	29.5	15.0	21.90±4.88	1.339	0.813	1.058±0.207
<i>Siganus rivulatus</i>	230	58.0	157.5±61.19	29.5	16.5	24.43±4.57	32.4	13.8	21.57±5.49	1.392	0.874	1.055±0.199
<i>Carangoide gymnostethus</i>	445	125	299.6±112.06	38.0	21.5	29.90±6.05	34.5	17.5	26.50±6.36	1.618	0.665	1.136±0.310
Total	445	52.0	207.23±85.20	38.0	16.5	26.31±4.25	34.5	13.8	22.31±4.27	1.887	0.665	1.116±0.296

Table 2: The percent of incidence in different investigated fish species

Fish family	Fish species	n	Gills			Intestine			Total % of infestation
			Monog.	Crust.	Monog. & Crust	Dig.	Nem.	Dig. & Nem.	
Gerreidae	<i>G. ablongus</i>	10	50.0	00.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.00	60.0
Sparidae	<i>A. bifasciatus</i>	10	50.0	50.0	50.0	40.0	0.0	40.00	70.0
Mugilidae	<i>Liza alata</i>	10	50.0	30.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	60.0
Lithrinidae	<i>L. nebulosus</i>	10	30.0	20.0	40.0	10.0	0.0	10.00	50.0
Nemipteridae	<i>N. japonicas</i>	10	40.0	30.0	50.0	20.0	30.0	40.00	70.0
	<i>N. tolu</i>	10	30.0	20.0	50.0	10.0	0.0	10.00	60.0
Siganidae	<i>S. rivulatus</i>	10	40.0	50.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	60.0
Carangidae	<i>C. gymnostethus</i>	10	20.0	30.0	30.0	40.0	40.0	50.00	60.0
Total	80	38.8	22.5	48.8	21.3	8.8	25.0	61.25	

Table 3: Pearson correlation coefficients between mean of total lengths (LT), actual lengths (LA), weight (W) and Fulton's condition factors (K) of different investigated fish species

		LT	LA	W	K
<i>G. ablongus</i>	Monog.	0.291	-0.054	-0.251	-0.589
	Cr.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Dig.	0.628	0.289	0.063	-0.767**
	Nem.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>A. bifasciatus</i>	Monog.	-0.434	-0.485	-0.736*	-0.870**
	Cr.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Dig.	-0.443	-0.431	-0.615	-0.637*
<i>Liza alata</i>	Monog.	0.223	0.254	-0.291	-0.643*
	Cr.	0.769**	0.778**	0.343	-0.606
	Dig.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>L. nebulosus</i>	Monog.	0.216	0.063	-0.325	-0.727*
	Cr.	0.196	0.153	-0.298	-0.606
	Dig.	0.193	-0.048	0.269	0.030
<i>N. japonicas</i>	Monog.	0.402	0.022	-0.692*	-0.885**
	Cr.	0.322	0.288	-0.360	-0.561
	Dig.	0.246	0.082	-0.182	-0.273
<i>N. tolu</i>	Monog.	-0.682*	-0.670*	-0.734*	-0.527
	Cr.	0.360	0.335	0.094	-0.491
	Dig.	0.540	0.548	0.635*	-0.081
<i>S. rivulatus</i>	Monog.	0.389	0.185	0.165	-0.660*
	Crus.	0.606	0.694*	0.360	-0.837**
	Dig.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
<i>C. gymnostethus</i>	Monog.	0.596	0.497	0.214	-0.750*
	Crus.	0.771**	0.687*	0.423	-0.865**
	Dig.	-0.092	-0.203	-0.490	-0.480
	Nem.	0.192	0.101	-0.189	-0.604

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

length (LA) and condition factor (K) of the examined fish were 207.23±85.20 gm, 26.31±4.25 cm, 22.31±4.27 cm and 1.116±0.296 respectively (Table 1).

The total incidence of metazoan parasites infestation among the investigated fish was 61.25% (49 out of 80 examined fish). The highest incidences were by Monogenea (Monog.) and Crustacea (Cr.); 38.8 and 22.5% respectively. The lowest ones were 8.8% by nematodes (Nem.) and 21.3% by Digenea (Dig.) (Table 2).

The prevalence of infestation of digeneans showed a highly significant negative correlations with K ($r = -0.767$, $P < 0.01$) from *G. ablongus*. The prevalence of infestation of Monog. and Dig. showed a highly significant and significant negative correlations with K ($r = -0.870$, $P < 0.01$;

$r = -0.637$, $P < 0.05$, respectively). Also, the prevalence of infestation of monogeneans showed a significant negative correlations with W ($r = -0.736$, $P < 0.05$) from *A. bifasciatus* (Table 3).

The prevalence of infestation of Monog. showed a high significant and significant negative correlations with K in *N. japonicas*, *Liza alata* and *L. nebulosus* ($r = -0.885$, $P < 0.01$; $r = -0.643$, $P < 0.05$ and $r = -0.727$, $P < 0.05$ respectively). On the same manner, the prevalence of infestation of Monog. showed a significant negative correlation with weight ($r = -0.692$, $P < 0.05$) in *N. japonicas*, while Crustacea (Cr.) showed a highly significant positive correlations with LT and LA ($r = 0.769$, $P < 0.01$; $r = -0.778$, $P < 0.01$ respectively), i.e. Cr. infestation increases with increasing fish length from *Liza alata* (Table 3).

The prevalence of infestation of Monog. showed a significant negative correlations with LT, LA and W ($r = -0.682$, -0.670 and -0.734 , $P < 0.01$, respectively) and insignificant negative correlation with K ($r = -0.527$, $P > 0.05$). Dig. showed a significant positive correlation with weight ($r = 0.635$, $P < 0.05$) from *N. tolu* (Table 3).

The prevalence of infestation of Monog. and Cr. from *S. rivulatus* showed a significant and highly significant negative correlations with K ($r = -0.660$, $P < 0.01$; $r = -0.837$, $P < 0.01$, respectively) while, Cr. showed a significant positive correlations with AL ($r = 0.694$, $P < 0.05$) (Table 3).

In *C. gymnostethus*, monogeneans showed a significant negative correlation with K ($r = -0.750$, $P < 0.05$). Crustaceans showed highly significant and a significant positive correlations with LT and LA ($r = 0.771$, $P < 0.01$ and $r = 0.687$, $P < 0.05$, respectively) and highly significant negative correlation with K ($r = -0.865$, $P < 0.01$). Nematodes showed insignificant negative and positive correlations with K, ($r = -0.604$, $P > 0.05$) (Table 3).

There are highly significant ($P < 0.01$) differences in weight, total length and the prevalence of infestation of nematodes infestation between different fish species. There are a significant ($P < 0.05$) differences in actual length and the prevalence of digeneans infestation between different fish species (Table 4).

A Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to show the effect of infestation in gills and intestine on well-being (K) of the investigated fish species. It was found that, infestation in gills has a highly negative significant effect on K in all fish species ($r = -0.870$, $P < 0.01$), with the exception of *G. ablongus* where infestation in intestine has a highly negative significant effect on K ($r = -0.767$, $P < 0.01$). Also, infestation in intestine shared in the effect on K in *A. bifasciatus*.

Table 4: Pearson correlation coefficients between mean of total lengths (LT), actual lengths (LA), weight (W) and condition factors (K) vs. mean incidence of monogenea (Mong.), crustacea (Cr.), Digenea (Dig.) and Nematoda (Nem.) infesting different investigated fish species

Fish species	W	LT	LA	K	Infestation			
					Gills		Int.	
					Monog.	Cr.	Dig.	Nem.
<i>G. ablongus</i>	208	27.9	20.8	0.981	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0
<i>A. bifasciatus</i>	162	25.0	22.1	1.007	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.0
<i>Liza alata</i>	277	29.0	24.0	1.160	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0
<i>L. nebulosus</i>	209	26.0	21.9	1.201	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0
<i>N. japonicas</i>	167	23.5	19.7	1.328	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3
<i>N. tolu</i>	177	24.8	21.9	1.058	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0
<i>S. rivulatus</i>	158	24.4	21.6	1.055	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0
<i>C. gymnostethus</i>	300	29.9	26.5	1.136	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4
<i>F-value</i>	5.643	3.787	2.775	1.564	0.504	1.671	2.583	4.314
<i>Sig.</i>	(0.000)**	(0.001)**	(0.013)*	(0.160)	(0.828)	(0.130)	(0.020)*	(0.000)**

F-value = ANOVA's F-test. (Sig.) = significance level. *Significant ($P < 0.05$). **Highly significant ($P < 0.01$).

Table 5: Pearson correlation coefficients between total incidence of metazoan parasites at sites of infestations (gills and intestine) and K of different fish species under investigation

Fish name	K	
	Gill infestation	Intestine infestation
<i>G. ablongus</i>	-0.589	-0.767**
<i>A. bifasciatus</i>	-0.870**	-0.637*
<i>Liza alata</i>	-0.800**	0.000
<i>L. nebulosus</i>	-0.858**	0.030
<i>N. japonicas</i>	-0.884**	-0.524
<i>N. tolu</i>	-0.876**	-0.081
<i>S. rivulatus</i>	-0.893**	0.000
<i>C. gymnostethus</i>	-0.863**	-0.609

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Where, infestation in gills has a highly significant greater effect on cf. ($r = -0.870$, $P < 0.01$), besides another effect comes from infestation in intestine ($r = -0.637$, $P < 0.05$). Infestation in intestine has also an effect on K but insignificant in the rest of the investigated fish (Table 4).

The prevalence of infestation in gills has a highly significant effect on K in *Liza alata*, *L. nebulosus*, *N. japonicas*, *N. tolu*, *S. rivulatus* and *C. gymnostethus*, ($r = -0.800$, $P < 0.01$; $r = -0.858$, $P < 0.01$; $r = -0.884$, $P < 0.01$; $r = -0.876$, $P < 0.01$; $r = -0.893$, $P < 0.01$ and $r = -0.863$, $P < 0.01$), respectively. While only in *G. ablongus*, the prevalence of intestine infestation has a highly significant effect on K ($r = -0.767$, $P < 0.01$) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In many fish health assessments, the role of parasites on fish health cannot be ignored. They are generally a source of concern when it affects the fish species of

popularity, or causing harmful effects on the economy or recreational activities, or commercial fisheries. The present study revealed that the total incidence of metazoan parasites infestation among the investigated fish was 61.25% and the highest was by Monog. (38.8 %). The lowest incidence was 8.8% by nematodes. An intensity range is most useful to compare different species from the same site or the same species from multiple sites [24]. The prevalence of infestation of Dig. was positively correlated with host length ($P \leq 0.01$); such increase in the infestation level with the increase in fish size is due to the accumulation of parasites [25, 26].

The high levels of infection may be consider as indicators of ecosystem stress where, incidence with heavy parasitic infection in fish has been reported globally because fish serves as reservoir and intermediate host to most stages of metazoan parasites [27]. Furthermore, both abiotic factors and host factors can affect even the earliest stage of monogenean parasites [28, 29]. In heavily polluted water bodies, there is a strong relationship between a high prevalence of parasites and the condition of fish. A poor state of fish health is the result of enhanced effects of the parasites on fish harmed by the direct effects of pollution, rather than of the primary effect of the parasites themselves.

Despite the fact that many host-monogenean systems appear well adapted, several reports have described high pathogenicity of certain host species due to monogeneans not only in aquaculture systems but also in natural lakes, rivers and seas. Thus, dramatic decreases of wild fish stocks due to heavy and uncontrolled infestation by monogeneans are known from the Aral Sea [8].

Host may act as stimuli to induce feeding, maturation, mating and finally production of offspring are important elements of the parasite's life. Therefore host factors may induce proper feeding, absorption of nutrients, maturation and reproduction [30, 31].

The present data showed that monogeneans showed a significant negative correlation with K in most fish under investigation and the prevalence of infestation in gills has a highly significant negative effect on K, except in *G. ablongus*, the prevalence of infestation of intestine has a highly significant effect on K. (i.e. the infestation in gills causes more illness to the fish, or the infestation increases with decreasing the well-being of the fish). Where, various gill parasites injuries lead to respiratory and excretory disorders in fish, leading to slow movement and increase in susceptibility to other parasites such as intestinal parasites. This may reflect the importance of gill parasites especially monogeneans.

CONCLUSION

Parasites that infest gills are serious because it opens the gate to infestation with other parasites. Monogenean parasites are of particular importance in the branchial morbidity, due to their rapid reproduction and ability to infest first ages of fish. Therefore, more comprehensive searches in larger scale about the reasons, especially environmental ones, which led to the spread of these parasites in this region of the Arabian Gulf are needed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author expresses his appreciation to Mr. Ahmad Awad for his skillful technical assistance, helping in collecting fish samples and measurements.

REFERENCES

1. Marcogliese, D.J., 2004. Parasites: small players with crucial roles in the ecological theatre. *Eco. Health*, 1: 151-164.
2. Marcogliese, D.J., 2005. Parasites of the super organism: Are they indicators of ecosystem health?. *International Journal of Parasitology*, 35: 705-716.
3. Iwanowicz, L., A. Griffin, D. Cartwright and V. Blazer, 2006. Mortality and pathology associated in brown bullheads (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) naturally infected with *Edwardsiella ictaluri* under tank culture conditions. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 70: 219-225.
4. Rohde, K., 2004. Ecology and biogeography of marine parasites. *Advances in Marine Biology*, 43: 1-86.
5. Luque, J.L. and R. Poulin, 2008. Linking ecology with parasite diversity in Neotropical fishes. *Journal of Fish*, 72: 189-204.
6. Bozorgnia, A., M.R. Youssefi, M. Barzegar, S.M. Hosseini and S. Brahimpour, 2012. Biodiversity of Parasites of Fishes in Gheshlagh (Vahdat) Reservoir, Kurdistan Province, Iran. *World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences*, 4: 249-253.
7. Buchmann, K. and T. Lindenström, 2002. Interactions between monogenean parasites and their fish hosts. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 32: 309-319.
8. Woo, P.T.K., 2006. *Fish Diseases and Disorders, Volume 1: Protozoan and Metazoan Infestation* 2nd Ed. Oxford shire OX10 8DE. UK.
9. Poulin, R., 2001. Interactions between species and the structure of helminth communities. *Parasitology*, 122(1): 3-11.
10. Woolhouse, M.E.J., J.P. Webster, E. Domingo, B. Charlesworth and B.R. Levin, 2002. Biological and biomedical implications of the co-evolution of pathogens and their hosts. *Natural Genetics*, 32: 569-577.
11. Karvonen, A., O. Seppala and E.T. Valtonen, 2009. Host immunisation shapes interspecific associations in trematode parasites. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 78: 945-952.
12. Faltýnková, A., A. Karvonen and E.T. Valtonen, 2011. Establishment and interspecific associations in two species of Ichthyocotylurus (Trematoda) parasites in perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). *Parasites and Vectors*, 85: 1-8.
13. Saoud, M.F.A., 1986. Helminth parasites of fishes from the Arabian gulf 1. Preliminary general survey of fishes mainly from qatari waters", *Qatar University Science Journal*, 6: 199-229.
14. Saoud, M.F.A., 1988. Helminth parasites of fishes from the Arabian Gulf: 4. On *Allacanthochasmus lutjani* n.sp. and *Metadena leilae* nagaty, 1957 (digenea: cryptogonimidae)", *Qatar University Science Journal*, 8: 161-172.
15. Saud, M.F.A., M.M. Rammadan and K.S.R. Al Kawari, 1988. Helminth parasites of fishes from the Arabian Gulf. 5. *Helicometrina quatarensis* n. sp. (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae); parasites of *Epinephelus* ssp. From Qatar Waters. *Ibidem.*, 8: 173-185.

16. El-Naffar, M.K.I., A. Gobashy, S.G. El-Etreby and M.M. Kardousha, 1992. General survey of helminth parasite genera of Arabian Gulf fishes (Coasts of United Arab Emirates). Arab Gulf Journal of Scientific Research, 10(2): 99.
17. Wannas, M.Q., 1993. Monogenean parasites of fish from Arabian Gulf. On *Pseudothoracotyl scomberomari* Young, 1968. Journal of Tropical Medicine, 2: 77-83.
18. Al-Mathal, E.M., 2001. Six digenetic trematodes infecting the hamour fish (*Epinephelus chlorostigma*) in the Arabian Gulf, Saudi Arabia. Journal of Egyptian Society Parasitology, 31(3): 953-60.
19. Al-Zubaidy, A.B. and F.T. Mhaisen, 2011. First record of *Derogenes varicus* and *Dinurus scombri* (Digenea: Hemiurata) from Red Sea fishes, Yemen. Mesopotamian Journal of Marine Science, 26(2): 122-133.
20. Kurnuma, K. and Y. Abe, 1986. Fishes of the Arabian Gulf. Kuwait Institute of Scientific Research.
21. Nash, R.D.M., A.H. Valencia and A.J. Geffen, 2006. The Origin of Fulton's Condition Factor- Setting the Record Straight. Fisheries, 31(5): 236-238.
22. Lim, L.H.S., 1995. Two new species of Pseudodactylogyroides Ogawa, 1986 (Monogenea) from two species of eleotridid fishes of Peninsular Malaysia. Systematic Parasitology, 31: 25-32.
23. Carleton, H.M., 1980. Carleton's histological technique. 5th ed., Oxford University Press, New York, pp: 71-95.
24. Siquier, G.F. and M. Otrowski De Núñez, 2009. *Ligophorus uruguayense* sp. nov. (Monogenea, Ancyrocephalidae), a gill parasite from *Mugil platanus* (Mugiliformes, Mugilidae) in Uruguay. Acta Parasitology, 54: 95-102.
25. Rolbiecki, L., 2006. Correlation between the occurrence of parasites and body length of roach, carp, bream, European perch, zander and ruffe in the Vistula Lagoon estuary. International Journal of Oceanography and Hydrobiology, 35: 257-267.
26. Perdiguero-Alonso, D., F.E. Montero, J.A. Raga and A. Kostadinova, 2008. Composition and structure of the parasite faunas of the cod, *Gadus morhua* L. (Teleostei: Gadidae), in the North East Atlantic. Parasites and Vectors, 1: 23-40.
27. Oluseye, A.A. and F.A. Eyiwunmi, 2007. Parasitic incidence in cultured *Clarias gariepinus*. Animal Research International, 4: 702-704.
28. Marcogliese, D.J. and D.K. Cone, 1997. Parasite communities as indicators of ecosystem stress. Parasitologia, 39: 227-232.
29. Kearns, G.C., 2002. Entobdella soleae - pointers to the future. International Journal for Parasitology, 32: 367-372.
30. Harris, P.D., A. Soleng and T.A. Bakke, 2000. Increased susceptibility of salmonids to the monogenean *Gyrodactylus salaris* following administration of hydrocortisone acetate. Parasitology, 120: 57-64.
31. Toksen, E., 2007. *Lernanthropus kroyeri* van Benden, 1841 (Crustacea: Copepoda) infections of cultured sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). Bulletin of the European Union of Fish Pathologists, 27: 49-53.