

Influence of Inclusion Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) Seed Meal in Sheep Rations on Their Digestion Coefficients, Nutritive Values, Nitrogen Balance, Ruminal Fermentation and Some Blood Constituents

¹Hamed A.A. Omer, ²Bakry A. Bakry and ²Mohamed F. El-Karamany

¹Animal Production Department, National Research Centre,
33 El-Bohouth Street, P.O. Box: 12622, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

²Field Crops Research Department, National Research Centre,
33 El-Bohouth Street, P.O. Box: 12622, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract: This work aimed to study the influence of incorporation sunflower seed meal (SFSM) at (0, 5, 10, 15 and 18%) of total ration for R₁, R₂, R₃, R₄ and R₅, respectively on performance, digestion coefficients, nitrogen balance, ruminal fermentation and blood parameters. Twenty five of growing male Barki lambs aged 5-6 months with average weights (21.960 ± 0.175 kg) randomly allotted into five groups each contains 5 lambs that used in group feeding trial for 112 days. The results cleared that experimental rations were approximately iso-caloric and iso-nitrogenous. Digestibility coefficients significantly affected by incorporation SFSM except for organic matter and cellulose digestibility. Incorporation SFSM at different levels significantly (P<0.05) increased the digestibility coefficients of DM, CP, CF, NFE, NDF, ADF, hemicellulose digestibility and values of TDN % and DCP% except for R₄ with DCP comparing to the control (R₁). Dietary treatments caused a significantly (P<0.05) increasing in final weight, total body weight gain and average daily gain compared to control. Dry matter intake was gradually significantly (P<0.05) decreased with increasing the level of SFSM. Values of feed conversion (g. intake/g. gain) of DM were significantly (P<0.05) improved with incorporation SFSM comparing to control. All tested rations showed positive nitrogen retention (NR) and values of (NR, % of nitrogen intake) or (NR, % of digested nitrogen) were significantly (P<0.05) improved with increasing SFSM. Incorporation SFSM significantly (P<0.05) decreased ruminal pH. Ruminal ammonia nitrogen were in-significantly (P>0.05) decreased when SFSM used at 5, 10 and 15%, meanwhile, 18% SFSM (R₅) caused significantly (P<0.05) decreasing. Dietary treatments cleared significantly (P<0.05) decreasing in total volatile fatty acids concentrations. Incorporated SFSM at 10, 15 and 18% caused significantly (P<0.05) decreasing in acetic and propionic acids %, meanwhile it significantly (P<0.05) increasing butyric, valeric and iso-valeric acids. Inclusion SFSM significantly (P<0.05) increased blood glucose, hemoglobin, white blood cell count, total protein and albumin contents. Meanwhile, it significantly (P<0.05) decreased blood contents of total cholesterol, total lipids, triglycerides, GPT, urea and creatinine. It can be concluded that SFSM is a good source of protein and can be successfully used as conventional source of protein without occurring any adverse effect on performance, digestion, nutritive values, nitrogen balance, fermentation with improving liver and kidney functions throughout decreasing the values of total cholesterol, triglycerides, total lipids, GPT, GOT, urea, creatinine and alkaline phosphatase.

Key words: Sunflower seed meal • Sheep • Performance • Digestion • Nitrogen balance • Fermentation • Blood parameters

INTRODUCTION

The feedstuff market is suffering from price fluctuations and quite often availability problems [1-3]. These detrimental situations are usually observed for

high-protein feed such as soybean meal [4], but they can also be observed for cereals such as maize [5], barley [6] and other feed ingredients. Consequently, farmers have problems with supplying their livestock with good quality feed, while keeping the feed cost at manageable levels.

Accordingly, nowadays there is an observed increasing demand for novel feedstuffs characterized by low price and decent availability, which can be utilized in livestock feed, without any adverse effect on animal health and productivity. Therefore, many by-products of the food and feed industries are now being examined as alternative feedstuffs [7, 8].

Most concentrates for small ruminants (sheep and goats) are grain based to increase their energy concentration, which typically improves gain efficiency and cost of gain. The use of Soybean Meal (SBM) as a source of protein in animal feed has been well established for many years. However, high prices and fluctuation in production have raised the interest in alternative protein sources for feeding ruminants. The increased world production of sunflower has increased interest in Sunflower Seed Meal (SFM) as a high quality by-products for ruminants [9-11]. The SFM, like SBM, is a high-protein supplement, contains 30-46% crude protein, 13-15% crude fiber, 9-12 MJ ME, Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) 47% and Ether Extract (EE) 1.5% [9, 10, 12]. Recently, SFM is becoming available in Egypt for ruminant nutrition and as a by-product of extraction of sunflower oil locally. SFM could be used as a substitute for SBM. As a protein supplement, SFM could replace SBM in rations of small ruminants with similar gain and feed efficiency [12, 13].

Sunflower meal is the by-product of the extraction of oil from sunflower seeds. In terms of production, it is the 4th most important oil meal after soybean meal, rapeseed meal and cottonseed meal [14]. A wide variety of products are available on the market, from low-quality straw-like meals to high-quality flours. Sunflower meals can be made from whole or decorticated seeds and can be mechanically and/or solvent-extracted. The quality of sunflower meal depends on the plant characteristics (seed composition, hulls/kernel ratio, dehulling potential, growth and storage conditions) and on the processing (dehulling, mechanical and/or solvent extraction) as noted by [15]. While solvent-extracted sunflower meal remains the main type of sunflower meal commercially available, oil-rich sunflower meals obtained by mechanical pressure only have become more popular since the 2000s, with the development of organic farming and on-farm oil production.

Sunflower meal is available worldwide. World production was estimated to 21.8.5 million tons in 2019 [16, 17]. Ukraine and Russian federation are the main producers with respectively 6.3 and 5.1 million tons produced in 2019. The European Union (EU-27) is the 3rd producer with 4.8 million ton in 2019 [16, 17]. EU-27 also imported 3.4 million ton sunflower meal in 2019 [16, 17].

On the other hand [18-20] indicated that sunflower meal was equally good in performance, yet the cost of sunflower meal based rations was the lowest. The nutritional quality of sunflower meal is dependent on the processing method of oil extraction [21]. Furthermore, Abbas and Yagoub [22] concluded that sunflower cake can replace up to 100% of groundnut cake in broiler chicks. In Its annual report, CBS [23] mentioned that sunflower grain output reached 12 thousand tons in, 2004/05 seasons compared with 7 thousand tons in the previous season.

Un-decorticated sunflower meal has high fiber content because varieties of sunflower seeds have 25 to 30% hulls [24] (Van Waalwijk and Van Doorn, 1982). Sunflower meal is used extensively in Europe which is a net importer of sunflower meal utilizing 59% of the total world imports as noted by FAO [25].

So, the main objective of this work was to investigate the impact of inclusion sunflower seed meal (SFSM) at different levels (0, 5, 10, 15 and 18%) of complete feed mixture (CFM) fed to growing Barki lambs on their performance, digestion coefficients, nutritive values, nitrogen balance, ruminal fermentation and some of blood parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was investigated in a co-operation work between Animal Production Department, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth Street, P.O: 12622, Dokki, Cairo and Field Crops Research Department, National Research Centre, 33 El-Bohouth Street, P.O: 12622, Dokki, Cairo.

The present work aimed to study the influence of introduce sunflower seed meal (SFSM) as a traditional source rich in their contents of crude protein and energy, plus it chip price in comparison with the soybean meal (SBM).

Animals and Feeds: A total numbers of Twenty five of growing male Barki lambs aged 5-6 months with an average weights (21.960 ± 0.175 kg) were randomly allotted to five equal experimental group lambs each contains 5 animals to established the impact of introduce SFSM at different levels 0, 5, 10, 15 and 18% of total ration formulation, for R₁, R₂, R₃, R₄ and R₅, respectively on lamb performance, digestion coefficients, nutritive values, nitrogen balance, ruminal fermentation and some of blood parameters.

Feeding trials lasted for 112 days, animals were housed in semi-open pens and fed as group feeding and

the experimental rations were formulated to cover the requirements of total digestible nutrients and protein for growing sheep according to the NRC [26].

Each group of experimental lambs was received one of the experimental rations that prepared in (Complete Feed Mixture) and considered as follows:

- R₁: 1st experimental ration (Complete Feed Mixture) assigned as control and it contained 0% SFSM.
- R₂: 2nd experimental ration (Complete Feed Mixture) contained 5% SFSM.
- R₃: 3rd experimental ration replace ration (Complete Feed Mixture) contained 10% SFSM.
- R₄: 4th experimental ration (Complete Feed Mixture) contained 15% SFSM...
- R₅: 5th experimental ration (Complete Feed Mixture) contained 18% SFSM.

Daily amounts of different tested rations were adjusted every 2 weeks according to body weight changes and it were offered twice daily in two equal portions at 800 and 1400 hours, while feed residues were daily collected, sun dried and weekly weighed. Fresh water was always freely available in plastic containers. Individual body weight change was recorded weekly before receiving the morning ration. chemical analysis Composition and chemical analysis (%) of experimental rations are presented in (Table 1).

Digestibility Trials: At the end of feeding trial, twenty digestibility trials were carried out using four animals from each group and housed in individual metabolic cages. Cages allowed catching feces separately from the urine which was collected in attached glass containers containing 50 ml sulphoric acid 10%. A different tested rations (complete fee mixture) were offered at 8.00 a.m. and water was available all times. The digestibility trial consisted of 7 days as a preliminary period followed by 5 days for feces and urine collection. During the collection period, feces and urine were quantitatively collected from each animal once a day at 7.00 a.m. before feeding. Actual quantity of feed intake and water consumption were recorded. A sample of 10% of the collected feces from each animal was sprayed with 10% sulphoric acid and 10% formaldehyde solutions and dried at 60°C for 48 hrs. Samples were mixed and stored for chemical analysis. Composite samples of feeds and feces were finely ground prior to analysis. Also 10% of the daily collected urine from each animal was preserved for nitrogen determination. The nutritive values expressed as the total

digestible nutrient (TDN) and digestible crude protein (DCP) of the experimental rations was calculated by classical method that described by Abou-Raya [27].

Rumen Fluid Parameters: Rumen fluid samples were collected from four animals at the end of the digestibility trials at 4 hrs post feeding via stomach tube and strained through four layers of cheesecloth. Samples were separated into two portions, the first portion was used for immediate determination of ruminal pH and ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) concentration, while the second portion was stored at -20°C after adding a few drops of toluene and a thin layer of paraffin oil till analyzed for volatile fatty acid's (TVFA's).

Blood Parameters: Blood samples were collected at the end of digestibility trials from 20 animals (four animals from each group) at 4 hours post feeding from the left jugular vein in heparinized test tubes and centrifuged at 5.000 rpm for 15 minutes. Plasma was kept frozen at -20°C for subsequent analysis.

Analytical Procedures: Chemical analysis of the experimental ration samples were analyzed according to AOAC [28] methods. Ruminal pH was immediately determined using a digital pH meter.

Ruminal ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) concentrations were determined applying NH₃ diffusion technique using Kjeldahle distillation method according to [28]. Meanwhile, ruminal total volatile fatty acids (TVFA) concentrations were determined by steam distillation according to Warner [29]. Molar proportion of volatile fatty acids were determined according to Erwin *et al.* [30].

Blood samples were analyzed using commercial diagnostic kits from Biomerieux, France and Quimica Clinica Aplicada (QCA), Amposta, Spain, were used for assay of serum biochemical parameters. Glucose red blood cell count (RBCs) and white blood cell count. of collected blood samples were described by Weiss and Wardrop [31]; hemoglobin as described by [32, 33]; plasma total protein was determined according to [34, 35]; albumin was determined according to [36, 37]; triglycerides were determined according to Fossati and Principe [38]; total lipids were determined according to Postma and Stroes [39]; total cholesterol was determined according to [40, 41]; alkaline phosphates activity was measured according to the method described by Beliefield and Goldberg [42]; urea according to Patton and Crouch [43]; creatinine according to Husdan [44]; plasma glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT) and glutamic pyruvic

transaminase (GPT) activities were determined as described by [45, 46]; while globulin was calculated by difference between total protein and albumin. Albumin: globulin ratio (A: G ratio) was also calculated.

Cell wall constituents includes neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) were determined according to Goering and Van Soest [47] and Van Soest *et al.* [48]. Meanwhile, hemicellulose and cellulose content were calculated by difference using the following equations:

Hemicellulose = NDF - ADF. Meanwhile, Cellulose = ADF - ADL

Statistical Analysis: Data collected of live weight, average daily gain, daily dry intake (g/h/day), feed conversion, digestion coefficients, nutritive values, nitrogen balance, ruminal fermentation and blood parameters. were subjected to statistical analysis as one-way analysis of variance according to SPSS [49]. Duncan's Multiple Range Test [50] was used to separate means when the dietary treatment effect was significant according to the following model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$$

where:

Y_{ij} = observation. μ = overall mean.

T_i = effect of experimental rations for $i = 1-5$, 1 = { R_1 contained 0% sunflower seed meal (SFSM) and considered as control}, 2 = { R_2 experimental ration contained 5% SFSM}, 3 = { R_3 experimental ration contained 10% SFSM}, 4 = { R_4 experimental ration contained 15% SFSM} and 5 = { R_5 experimental ration contained 18% SFSM}.

e_{ij} = the experimental error.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Composition and Chemical Analysis of the Different Experimental Rations: Data illustrated in (Table 1) cleared that all experimental rations were approximately iso-caloric and iso-nitrogenous. The corresponding values of ether extract content (EE) ranged from 2.59 to 2.82%, meanwhile, values of CP contents ranged from 16.09 to 16.34 % among the five tested rations. Inclusion SFSM at different levels occurred a slightly increasing in values of CF, ash, cell wall constituents includes (NDF, ADF and ADL) and cellulose. Meanwhile, it

realized a slightly decreasing in values of NFE, hemicellulose and cell soluble-NDF. These results near from those results that found by Paengkoum and Wanapat [11] who showed that when sunflower seed meal (SSM) replaced 0, 25, 50 and 75% of soybean meal (SBM) in basal ration that reach to 0, 7.3, 14.5 and 22% of total ration formulation of growing goats. They recorded that the values of chemical analysis were ranged from (15.2 to 15.3% for CP); (4.8 to 5.8% for ash); (9.7 to 12.8 for CF); (16.2 to 25.1% for NDF). In addition to, Irshaid *et al.* [12] replaced soybean meal (SBM) with sunflower seed meal (SFSM) at 50% or 100% in Awassi lamb rations, they showed that CP content ranged from 17.6 to 17.9%; CF from 10.1 to 20.8%; NDF from 31.0 to 63.4%; ADF from 7.3 to 17.0%; ash from 6.4 to 7.1% among three experimental group lambs.

Nutrients & Cell Wall Digestibility Coefficients and Nutritive Values of the Experimental Rations:

Data of (Table 2) showed that except for organic matter and cellulose digestibility the all other nutrient digestibility were significantly affected by incorporation SFSM in the lamb rations. Second 2nd ration that contained 5% SFSM (R_2) had no significant ($P > 0.05$) effect on DM & CF digestibility and digestible crude protein DCP in comparison with the control one (R_1). However, the incorporation levels of SFSM (R_3 , R_4 and R_5) significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased the other nutrient digestibility includes (DM, CP, CF, NFE, NDF, ADF, hemicellulose digestibility and values of TDN % and DCP%, except for R_4 with DCP comparing to the control (R_1). These results disagreement with those found by Omer *et al.* [8] who noted that replaced 50 or 100 % of soybean meal (SBM) by sesame meal (SM) had no significant effect on all digestibility and TDN value but, DCP was significantly ($P < 0.05$) reduced with complete replacement of SBM by SM while the DCP in the control and that replaced 50% of SBM with SM was similar ($P > 0.05$). On the other hand, Eweedah *et al.* [51] found no differences in digestibility of CP, CF and ADF. However, digestibility of DM, OM, NDF and NFE was lowest with the sheep fed SFM-based diet. Different protein sources have varying effects on nutrient digestibility in animals. Khan *et al.* [52] reported significant effects of canola meal (CM), cotton seed meal (CSM) and soybean meal (SBM) on DM, CP and fiber digestibility in growing Afghani lambs. However, in other study carried by Paterson *et al.* [53] noted that different protein sources had no effect on NDF digestibility. Sunflower meal contains more NDF, which would contribute to lower digestibility [54].

Table 1: Composition and chemical analysis of the different experimental rations

Item	Experimental rations				
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅
Level of sunflower seem meal	0% SFSM	5% SFSM	10% SFSM	15% SFSM	18% SFSM
Composition (kg/ ton)					
Yellow corn	380	360	340	330	320
Soybean meal	140	110	80	40	20
Sunflower seed meal	-	50	100	150	180
Wheat bran	150	150	150	150	150
Berseem hay	300	300	300	300	300
Lime stone	18	18	18	18	18
Sodium chloride	7	7	7	7	7
Anti toxic	4	4	4	4	4
Vitamin and mineral mixture ¹	1	1	1	1	1
Moisture	8.42	8.39	8.34	8.32	8.30
Chemical analysis on DM basis (%)					
Organic matter (OM)	91.66	91.56	91.45	91.38	91.33
Crude protein (CP)	16.15	16.24	16.34	16.09	16.09
Crude fiber (CF)	11.05	12.07	13.06	14.07	14.67
Ether extract (EE)	2.59	2.65	2.7	2.78	2.82
Nitrogen free extract (NFE)	61.87	60.60	59.35	58.44	57.75
Ash	8.34	8.44	8.55	8.62	8.67
Cell wall constituents (%)					
Neutral detergent fiber (NDF)	36.18	36.85	37.50	38.17	38.56
Acid detergent fiber (ADF)	19.51	20.44	21.34	22.26	22.81
Acid detergent lignin (ADL)	3.39	3.57	3.73	3.90	4.01
Hemicellulose ²	16.67	16.41	16.16	15.91	15.75
Cellulose ³	16.12	16.87	17.61	18.36	18.80
Cell soluble-NDF ⁴	63.82	63.15	62.50	61.83	61.44

¹Vitamin & Mineral mixture: Each kilogram of Vit. & Min. mixture contains: 2000.000 IU Vit. A, 150.000 IU Vita. D, 8.33 g Vit. E, 0.33 g Vit. K, 0.33 g Vit. B₁, 1.0 g Vit. B₂, 0.33g Vit. B₆, 8.33 g Vit.B₅, 1.7 mg Vit. B₁₂, 3.33 g Pantothenic acid, 33 mg Biotin, 0.83g Folic acid, 200 g Choline chloride, 11.7 g Zn, 12.5 g Fe, 16.6 mg Se, 16.6 mg Co, 66.7 g Mg and 5 g Mn.

²Hemicellulose = NDF – ADF. ³Cellulose = ADF – ADL.

⁴Cell soluble – NDF = 100 – NDF.

Table 2: Nutrients & cell wall digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of the experimental rations

Item	Experimental rations					SEM
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	
Level of sunflower seem meal	0 % SFSM	5% SFSM	10% SFSM	15% SFSM	18% SFSM	
Nutrient digestibility (%) of						
Dry matter (DM)	71.12 ^b	71.25 ^b	71.81 ^a	71.98 ^a	72.09 ^a	0.117
Organic matter (OM)	71.35	71.52	71.93	72.11	72.37	0.164
Crude protein (CP)	76.11 ^d	76.88 ^c	77.02 ^c	77.56 ^b	77.95 ^a	0.174
Crude fiber (CF)	61.43 ^e	61.92 ^{bc}	62.36 ^{ab}	62.94 ^a	63.12 ^a	0.199
Ether extract (EE)	79.13 ^d	79.89 ^c	80.16 ^c	80.85 ^b	81.64 ^a	0.242
Nitrogen-free extract (NFE)	75.16 ^e	75.93 ^b	76.17 ^b	76.81 ^a	77.32 ^a	0.208
Cell wall constituents digestibility of						
Neutral detergent fiber (NDF)	67.11 ^d	68.25 ^c	69.08 ^{bc}	69.93 ^{ab}	70.26 ^a	0.331
Acid detergent fiber (ADF)	69.16 ^d	70.31 ^c	71.87 ^b	72.36 ^b	72.88 ^a	0.373
Hemicellulose	62.85 ^e	63.26 ^d	63.98 ^c	64.37 ^b	64.81 ^a	0.196
Cellulose	73.71	74.37	75.12	75.88	76.30	0.588
Nutritive values (%)						
Total digestible nutrient (TDN)	70.19 ^d	70.74 ^c	70.81 ^{bc}	71.28 ^{ab}	71.63 ^a	0.144
Digestible crude protein (DCP)	12.29 ^b	12.49 ^{ab}	12.59 ^a	12.48 ^{ab}	12.54 ^a	0.041

a, b, c, d and e: Means in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

SEM: Standard error of mean.

R₁: 1st experimental ration assigned as control and it contained 0% SFSM.

R₂: 2nd experimental ration contained 5% SFSM.

R₃: 3rd experimental ration replace ration contained 10% SFSM.

R₄: 4th experimental ration contained 15% SFSM.

R₅: 5th experimental ration contained 18% SFSM.

Likewise, decreased DM and OM digestibility were noted in sheep when fed cotton seed cake, which might be the result of gossypol contents [55] that caused depression in nutrient digestibility due to its adverse effect on the digestive enzymes as mentioned by Chase *et al.* [56]. In addition to, Milis *et al.* [57] reported that SBM or corn gluten meal (CGM) had no effect on nutrient digestibility. Irshaid *et al.* [12] has also reported no effect of SFM or SBM on digestibility of DM, OM, CP, crude fiber (CF), NDF or ADF in Awassi lambs. Also, Phillips and Rao [58] observed no difference in DM digestibility in lambs fed diets containing pigeon pea, CSM or alfalfa as protein sources. Although lambs fed pigeon pea showed a lower protein digestibility (65.7%) compared to those fed alfalfa diet (72.7%). The CP intakes were 869, 894, 812 and 944 g/d by lambs fed diets containing SBM, heated SBM, menhaden FM and combination of protein supplements, respectively. Bacterial CP flow remained unchanged in cows fed diets supplemented with CGM and extruded soybeans at 2.7% of body weight [59, 60]. On the other hand, Bowman and Paterson [61] reported similar digestibility in lambs fed corn plus urea, corn plus SBM or 50% dry, wet or ensiled corn gluten feed in high concentrate diets. Also, Khan *et al.* [52] observed no difference in DM and CP digestibility in Afghani lambs fed diets containing SBM and CM, however, differed significantly when fed CSM as the major protein source. Apparent digestion of OM in the reticulo-rumen was lower in steers fed diets supplemented with heated SBM, menhaden FM and combination of protein supplement [62]. Abou-Ward *et al.* [63] reported that un-decorticated CSM supplemented with ferrous sulphate significantly improved the nutrient digestibility in growing Barki male lambs compared to those lambs fed un-decorticated CSM or SBM without the addition of ferrous sulphate. Hussein and Jordan [64] reported 78, 52 and 57% degradability of CP from SBM, fish meal (FM) and corn, respectively. Protein efficiency ratios were unaffected across all the diets. Karsli *et al.* [65] reported that no difference in the NDF and ADF digestibility due to changing protein source. Also, Merchen *et al.* [66] found higher DM and OM digestibility and lower N digestibility in lambs fed urea, SBM and CGM as protein supplements in corn silage diet. Similarly, Swanson *et al.* [67] observed better digestibility of DM, OM and CP with protein supplementation in sheep fed grass hay: straw mixture. Jaster *et al.* [68] reported that DM digestibility was higher (76.6%) in heifers fed wet

corn gluten feed compared with alfalfa haylage (60.67%). In the same study, the digestibility of CP, NDF and ADF was increased in wet corn gluten feed compared with other feeds. Also, Bernard *et al.* [69] reported higher apparent DM digestibility when wet or dry corn gluten feed was fed. However, Drackley *et al.* [70] reported decreased fiber digestion in steers fed diets containing sunflower seeds whereas, Nelson and Watkins [71] found non-significant differences in DM (55.5 versus 55.6), protein (39.1 and 40.5) and fiber (54.1 and 54.3) digestibility in lambs offered diets containing CSM given after every 6 days as compared to daily supplementation. Similar results were obtained by Zinn [72]. In addition to, Leupp *et al.* [73] reported higher apparent CP digestibility in steers given feed supplemented with CM compared to the control. Whereas, Richardson *et al.* [13] substituted CSM with SFM in a growing finishing feedlot diet at levels of 0, 5.5, 11 and 22% and found no difference in digestibility which indicated that solvent processed CSM was similar to solvent extracted SFM when fed on an equal CP and fiber basis. Ahmed and Abdalla [74] noticed that replacing 50% of cotton seed cake by sesame seed cake in yearling sheep had no effect on digestibility and TDN value. Also, Mahmoud and Ghoneem [75] noted that there were no significant ($P>0.05$) difference in the digestibility of DM, CF, NFE, NDF, cellulose and hemicellulose among rations that contained 50% *Nigella sataiva* meal, 50% sesame seed meal, or 25% *Nigella sataiva* meal + 25% sesame seed meal, in comparison with the control. While there were insignificant decreases in digestibility of OM, CP and ADF for lactating buffaloes that received 50% *Nigella sataiva meal* or 50% sesame seed meal. However, the previous values decreased ($P<0.05$) for lactating buffaloes fed 25% *Nigella sataiva meal* + 25% sesame seed meal containing rations. Also, [75] noted that buffaloes which received concentrate feed mixture contained 50% sesame meal insignificantly decreased DCP content comparing with those fed control ration. On the other hand, Fitwi and Tadesse [76] noticed that feeding growing sheep diets containing 0, 150, 200, 250 and 300 g day⁻¹ feed ingredient did not affect DM, OM, CP and CF digestibility of rations and in their TDN. Also, digestibility of DM was not affected by the inclusion of sesame oil cake in Awassi lamb diets as reported by [77]. In addition, similar results were observed in bull calves when fed rations containing sesame oil cake as noted by [78] and in goats as reported by [79]. Meanwhile, CP and crude fiber digestibility was

Table 3: Performance of the experimental groups

Item	Experimental rations					SEM
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	
	0 % SFSM	5% SFSM	10% SFSM	15% SFSM	18% SFSM	
Initial weight (kg)	21.900	22.200	21.500	22.500	21.700	0.175
Final weight (FW, kg)	41.500 ^c	42.920 ^b	43.340 ^{ab}	43.780 ^{ab}	44.100 ^a	0.269
Total body weight gain (TBWG, kg)	19.600 ^d	20.720 ^c	21.840 ^{ab}	21.280 ^{bc}	22.400 ^a	0.287
Experimental duration period	112 days					
Average daily gain (ADG, g)	175 ^d	185 ^c	195 ^{ab}	190 ^{bc}	200 ^a	2.565
Daily dry matter intake (DMI), g	1250 ^a	1200 ^b	1150 ^c	1125 ^{cd}	1100 ^d	14.78
Feed conversion expressed as g. intake / g. gain of dry matter	7.14 ^d	6.49 ^c	5.90 ^b	5.92 ^b	5.50 ^a	0.155

a, b, c and d : Means in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

SEM: Standard error of mean.

R₁: 1st experimental ration assigned as control and it contained 0% SFSM.

R₂: 2nd experimental ration contained 5% SFSM.

R₃: 3rd experimental ration replace ration contained 10% SFSM.

R₄: 4th experimental ration contained 15% SFSM.

R₅: 5th experimental ration contained 18% SFSM.

highest (P<0.05) for the diet containing 20% sesame oil cake [77]. On the other hand, El-Nomeary *et al.* [80] reported that apparent digestibility of all nutrients OM, CP, CF, EE and NFE all were increased (P<0.05) with sesame seed meals in rabbits compared with the control diet

Lambs Performance of the Experimental Groups: Data of lamb performance presented in (Table 3) showed that introduced SFSM in lamb rations at different levels caused a significantly (P<0.05) increasing in final weight (FW), total body weight gain (TBWG) and average daily gain (ADG) comparing to control group lambs that received ration that not containing SFSM. Furthermore, these parameters that mentioned above were gradually increase with increasing the level of incorporation of SFSM in lamb rations.

Dry matter intake (DMI) was gradually significantly (P<0.05) decreased with increasing the level of SFSM in lamb rations. The corresponding value of DMI were 1250, 1200, 1150, 1125 and 1100 g/h/day for R₁, R₂, R₃, R₄ and R₅, respectively. Meanwhile values of feed conversion that expressed as (g. intake / g. gain) of DM was significantly (P<0.05) improved with incorporation SFSM in lamb rations in comparison with that not contained SFSM (control one).

These results were in agreement with those obtained by Omer *et al.* [8] who replaced 50% or complete replacement (100%) of SBM by sesame meal (SM) in sheep rations and they noted that replacing 50% or complete replacement of SBM by (SM) significantly (P<0.05) increased FW, TBWG and ADG comparing to

control group lambs that received ration that not containing SM. They also, observed that values of feed conversion were significantly (P<0.05) improved, meanwhile DMI were not affected (P>0.05) by replacing SBM by SM. Dry matter intake (DMI) may be affected by the dietary protein source as they affect the ability of the rumen to hold ruminal contents [81]. Higher intakes were observed in Dorper lambs fed maize stovers as basal diet supplemented with maize meal and cotton seed meal (CSM) as noted by Chakeredza [82]. Whereas, wether lambs fed wheat forage as a control diet supplemented with CSM, corn gluten meal (CGM) and blood meal (BM) had no effect on forage intake but it resulted in increasing in digestible DMI [83]. On the other hand, Ponnampalam, *et al.* [84] observed that DMI was the highest in lambs fed fish meal (FM), moderate fed soybean meal (SBM) and canola meal (CM) and was the lowest in lambs fed basal feed (lucerne and oat hay with a ratio of (20: 80), respectively. Higher intakes were observed in crossbred wether lambs fed diets supplemented with sunflower meal (SFM) than those fed rape seed meal (RSM) as noted by Coombe [85]. Protein intake was higher in finishing lambs fed diets containing varying protein sources than those fed a basal diet having protein in excess of NRC [26] recommendations for fattening lambs. Also Khalid *et al.* [86] reported significantly higher dry matter intake for growing Kajli male lambs fed diets containing CM comparing with the lambs fed CSM, FSM or CGM based diets. Total DMI was higher in lambs fed a CM diet because of its rapid ingestion and reduced rumen filling effects [87]. Another reason for increased DMI might be because of enhanced microbial biomass resulting in

higher digestion rate and more post ruminal flow of amino acids [88]. However, Suliman and Babiker [89] observed no difference in feed intake ranging from (1011 to 1018 g/h/day) in fattening lambs fed different protein sources like ground nut cake, sesame cake, cotton seed cake and sunflower seed cake. Also, Irshaid *et al.* [12] recorded slightly higher daily feed intake in Awassi lambs fed SFM (1094 kg) in comparison with those fed SBM (1088 g/h/day) but overall difference was not significant. In addition to, Kandylis *et al.* [90] noticed no difference in feed intake by growing lambs fed CSM substituted for SFM at 0, 10 and 100% of supplemental protein. Contrary to this, Walz *et al.* [91] who observed an increasing in DMI in Suffolk lambs fed FM diets when compared to those fed SBM diets. In addition to, Krysl *et al.* [92] showed that the intake of prairie hay was increased from 23.7 to 28.3 g/kg BW, when supplemented with CSM. However, CSM supplementation did not influence ruminal and caecal fermentation and its higher intake may be attributed to a positive relationship between DM and CP intake [93]. Furthermore, Rule *et al.* [94] found not significant differences in DMI when CM and SBM diets were fed to steers. Abou-Ward *et al.* [63] reported unchanged DMI by Barki lambs fed diets containing SBM, CSM and CSM supplemented with ferrous sulphate although slightly lower DMI was observed in lambs fed SBM diets. Whereas, Yagoub and Talha [95] found significant effect on feed intake by lambs fed diets replaced groundnut meal by decorticated SFM. Similarly, Karsli *et al.* [65] reported slightly higher DMI in sheep raised on hazelnut meal diets compared with those fed SBM diet. Wiese *et al.* [96] observed an improvement in DMI of lambs fed CM diets compared with lupine and urea diets (1660, 1570 and 1380 g/d/ day, respectively). They further stated that higher DMI in lambs fed CM diet might be attributed to better availability of nutrients in diverse forms and their readily digestion by rumen microbes than those fed lupine and urea diets. Also, Baile and Forbes [97] concluded higher DMI due to better digestibility. Another plausible explanation for higher DMI in lambs fed CM diet might be the less gut fill effect on reticulo-rumen [87].

Nitrogen Utilization by the Experimental Groups: Data of (Table 4) showed that inclusion SFM at 10, 15 and 18% in lamb rations formulation significantly decreased nitrogen intake (NI), meanwhile, lambs that received 5% SFM in significantly ($P>0.05$) decreased their NI in comparison with the control (not received SFM). Dietary treatments significantly ($P<0.05$) decreased fecal nitrogen

(FN), urinary nitrogen (UN) and total nitrogen extraction (TNE). Furthermore, it treatments significantly ($P<0.05$) increased digested nitrogen (DN), nitrogen retention (NR), NR, % of NI and NR, % of DN. All tested rations were positive in their NR and values that calculated as (NR, % of NI) or (NR, % of DN) were significantly ($P<0.05$) improved with increasing the level SFM incorporation in the lamb rations. The corresponding values of the improvement of (NR, % of NI) reach to 23.98, 27.67, 31.63 and 42.93%. Meanwhile, values of improving when calculated as (NR, % of DN) reach to 8.64, 9.64, 9.69 and 13.76% for R_2 , R_3 , R_4 and R_5 , respectively in comparison with the control one. Nitrogen intake, fecal and urinary N are determinants of nitrogen balance (N-balance), whereas N intake depends upon DM and CP intake. Feeding high CP diets may also result in greater fecal and urinary N excretion [98, 58]. Increased urinary N may be the result of increased post-ruminal amino acids absorption that is surplus to the tissue needs or ruminal or post-ruminal absorption of ammonia [99]. A positive N-balance ranging between 4.1 to 6.4 g/h/d was recorded in lambs fed diets supplemented with protein source. Lambs fed Soybean meal (SBM) and cotton seed meal (CSM) (supplemented with ferrous sulphate) diets retained the higher N compared to those lambs fed CSM (un-supplemented with ferrous sulphate) based diet [63]. Paengkoum and Wanapat [11] studied the impact of replacing soybean meal (SBM) by sunflower seed meal (SSM) in growing goats at different levels (0, 25, 50 and 75%) that equals (0, 7.3, 14.5 and 22% of total concentrate feed mixture formulation on their nitrogen balance. They noted that there were no effects of dietary treatment on Nitrogen intake (NI) and faces N (FN). However, N absorption (g/day) and N retention (g/day, %) increased ($p<0.05$) with the addition of SSM in concentrate up to 14.5% in concentrate, thereafter decreased ($p<0.05$) in goats fed 22.0% SSM. However, urine N (UN) of goats fed 14.5% SSM was lower ($p<0.05$) than goats fed 22.0% SSM. On the other hand, [100-103, 12, 10] noted that SSM could replacing SBM as a protein source for ruminant feeding. Furthermore, [104-106] reported that nitrogen excretions, particularly urine N increased with incorporated SSM in the rations. they also, suggested that high urine N excretion has a higher adverse impact on environmental pollution. Merchen *et al.* [66] fed corn based diets supplemented with urea, SBM and corn gluten meal (CGM) as protein source in lamb diet and found that protein source had no effect on N-retention indicating that supplementing diets with un-degradable protein had no added advantage. The N-retention or balance may be

Table 4: Nitrogen utilization by the experimental groups

Item	Experimental rations					SEM
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	
Level of sunflower seem meal	0 % SFSM	5% SFSM	10% SFSM	15% SFSM	18% SFSM	
Nitrogen intake (NI)	32.32 ^a	31.20 ^{ab}	30.08 ^{bc}	28.98 ^{cd}	28.32 ^d	0.42
Fecal nitrogen (FN)	10.60 ^a	7.27 ^b	6.54 ^c	5.61 ^d	4.41 ^e	0.56
Digested nitrogen (DN)	21.72 ^b	23.93 ^a	23.54 ^a	23.37 ^a	23.91 ^a	0.25
Urinary nitrogen (UN)	9.08 ^a	8.80 ^{ab}	8.52 ^b	8.45 ^{bc}	8.08 ^c	0.10
Total nitrogen extraction (TNE)	19.68 ^a	16.07 ^b	15.06 ^c	14.06 ^d	12.49 ^e	0.65
Nitrogen retention (NR)	12.64 ^b	15.13 ^a	15.02 ^a	14.92 ^a	15.83 ^a	0.31
NR, % of NI	39.11 ^d	48.49 ^c	49.93 ^{bc}	51.48 ^b	55.90 ^a	1.50
NR, % of DN	58.20 ^c	63.23 ^b	63.81 ^b	63.84 ^b	66.21 ^a	0.74

a, b, c, d and e: Means in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

SEM: Standard error of mean.

R₁: 1st experimental ration assigned as control and it contained 0% SFSM.

R₂: 2nd experimental ration contained 5% SFSM.

R₃: 3rd experimental ration replace ration contained 10% SFSM.

R₄: 4th experimental ration contained 15% SFSM.

R₅: 5th experimental ration contained 18% SFSM.

Table 5: Ruminal fluid parameters of the experimental groups

Item	Experimental rations					SEM
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	
Level of sunflower seem meal	0 % SFSM	5% SFSM	10% SFSM	15% SFSM	18% SFSM	
pH	6.45 ^a	6.40 ^{ab}	6.35 ^{bc}	6.30 ^{cd}	6.25 ^d	0.02
NH ₃ -N (mg/dl)	19.52 ^a	19.36 ^{ab}	19.18 ^{ab}	18.95 ^{ab}	18.83 ^b	0.10
TVFA's (meq/dl)	8.52 ^a	8.33 ^b	8.19 ^c	8.00 ^d	7.93 ^d	0.06
Molar proportion of volatile fatty acids						
Acetic acid %	61.10 ^a	60.83 ^{ab}	60.56 ^{bc}	60.35 ^{cd}	60.10 ^d	0.10
Propionic acid %	23.21 ^a	23.03 ^{ab}	22.92 ^{bc}	22.81 ^{cd}	22.65 ^d	0.06
Butyric acid %	10.43 ^b	10.69 ^{ab}	10.86 ^a	10.93 ^a	11.04 ^a	0.07
Iso-Butyric acid %	1.38 ^d	1.46 ^c	1.52 ^c	1.66 ^b	1.82 ^a	0.04
Valeric acid %	2.26 ^c	2.33 ^c	2.41 ^b	2.46 ^b	2.54 ^a	0.03
Iso-Valeric acid %	1.62 ^c	1.66 ^c	1.73 ^b	1.79 ^{ab}	1.85 ^a	0.02
Acetic acid: Propionic acid ratio	2.63	2.64	2.64	2.65	2.65	0.05

a, b, c and d: Means in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

SEM: Standard error of mean.

NH₃-N: Ammonia nitrogen concentration.

TVFA's: Total volatile fatty acids concentration

R₁: 1st experimental ration assigned as control and it contained 0% SFSM.

R₂: 2nd experimental ration contained 5% SFSM.

R₃: 3rd experimental ration replace ration contained 10% SFSM.

R₄: 4th experimental ration contained 15% SFSM.

R₅: 5th experimental ration contained 18% SFSM.

related to normal CP synthesis [98]. Protein supplements tended to increase N-retention (g/d) in lambs [83]. Knowlton *et al.* [107] reported no difference in fecal, urinary and total N excretion in cows fed diets supplemented with SBM or a blend of SBM and blood meal (BM) as protein source to meet the 16.2% CP requirements. Khalid *et al.* [108] concluded that organic N sources with high un degradable protein (RUP) are more efficient in improving the N-balance when compared to lower RUP or inorganic N sources.

Ruminal Fluid Parameters of the Experimental Groups:

Data of ruminal fluid parameters presented in (Table 5) showed that incorporated SFSM at levels of 10, 15 and 18% in lamb rations (R₃, R₄ and R₅) occurred significantly (P<0.05) decreasing in their values of ruminal pH, meanwhile that received ration for that containing 5% SFSM (R₂) caused in-significant (P>0.05) decreasing in comparison with the control (R₁). Values of ruminal ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) concentration were in-significantly (P>0.05) decreased with inclusion SFSM in

the ration at 5, 10 and 15% (R₂, R₃ and R₄), meanwhile, when SFSM incorporated at 18%, value NH₃-N was significantly (P<0.05) decreased comparing to control that not contained SFSM (R₁). Also, dietary treatments realized a significantly (P<0.05) decreasing in values of total volatile fatty acids (TVFA's) concentrations. Values of molar proportion of volatile fatty acids were also presented in (Table 5) cleared that incorporated SFSM at 10, 15 and 18% (R₃, R₄ and R₅) caused a significantly (P<0.05) decreasing in acetic and propionic acids %, meanwhile inclusion 5% SFSM (R₂) occurred in-significant (P>0.05) decreasing in acetic and propionic acids % compared to the control (R₁). On the other hand, R₃, R₄ and R₅ significantly (P<0.05) increasing butyric acid %, however, R₂ caused in-significantly (P>0.05) decreasing compared to the control (R₁). Dietary treatments recorded a significantly (P<0.05) increasing in iso-butyric acid %. Furthermore, inclusion 10, 15 and 18% SFSM (R₃, R₄ and R₅) realized a significantly (P<0.05) increasing in values of valeric and iso-valeric acids %, meanwhile incorporated 5% SFSM (R₂) showed in-significantly (P>0.05) increasing in values of valeric and iso-valeric acids %. Values of acetic acid: propionic acid ratio were in the same trend that ranged from 2.63 to 2.65 among the five groups. These results disagreement with those obtained by Paengkoum and Wanapat [11] studied the impact of replacing soybean meal (SBM) by sunflower seed meal (SSM) in growing goats at different levels (0, 25, 50 and 75%) that equals (0, 7.3, 14.5 and 22% of total concentrate feed mixture formulation. They noted that ruminal NH₃-N, TVFA and acetic acid concentrations significantly (p<0.05) increased with the introduce the SSM in concentrate up to 14.5% in concentrate, thereafter decreased (p<0.05) in goats fed SSM 22%. They also, noted that ruminal pH, propionic acid and butyric acid were not significantly (p>0.05) differed among the different treatments. Mould and Ørskov [109]; Wanapat, *et al.* [110] demonstrated that cellulose digestion is limited when ruminal pH reaches values below 6.0. Ruminal Total VFA's concentrations and fermentation rates are correlated as mentioned by [111]. In contrast, Koster *et al.* [112] observed that that TVFA's increased dramatically in response to supplemental rumen degradable protein fed to beef cows. Ruminal pH is one of the most important factors affecting the fermentation and influences its functions. It varies in a regular manner depending on the nature of the diet and on the time, it is measured after feeding and reflects changes of organic acids quantities in the ingesta. The level of NH₃-N and TVFA's as end products of fermentation and breakdown of dietary

protein has been used as parameters of ruminal activity by Abou-Akkada and Osman [113]. The results of ruminal fermentations showed that decreasing in values of TVFA's might be related to the less utilization of dietary energy and negative fermentation in the rumen. The reduction of ammonia nitrogen in the rumen liquor appears to be the result of increased incorporation of ammonia nitrogen into microbial protein and it was considered as a direct result to stimulated microbial activity. When occurring an increasing in TVFA's this might be related to the more utilization of dietary energy and positive fermentation in the rumen. The addition of more fermentable carbohydrate to ruminant rations causes a decrease in rumen ammonia [114] probably due to a greater uptake of ammonia by rumen microorganisms in support of enhanced microbial growth. The rate of TVFA's production may in this situation exceed the rate of TVFA's absorption through the rumen epithelium and TVFA's concentration in the rumen juice is increased [115]. It should be mentioned that TVFA's concentration in the rumen is governed by several factors such as dry matter digestibility, rate of absorption, rumen pH, transportation of the digesta from the rumen to the other parts of the digestive tract and the microbial population in the rumen and their activities Allam *et al.* [116]. Increasing of ruminal TVFA's concentration is an indicator for better utilization of dietary carbohydrate as described by Fadel *et al.* [117].

Blood Parameters of the Experimental Groups: Results of (Table 6) mentioned that dietary treatments (R₂ to R₅) significantly (P<0.05) increased blood glucose, hemoglobin, white blood cell (WBC) count, total protein and albumin contents compared to control (R₁). Mean while, incorporated 15 or 18% SFSM (R₄ and R₅) significantly (P<0.05) increased values of red blood cell (RBC) count in comparison with the control (R₁). Only inclusion 18% SFSM in lamb rations cause a significantly (P<0.05) increasing in blood globulin content comparing to the others groups (R₁ to R₄).

Incorporation SFSM in lamb rations (R₂ to R₅) significantly (P<0.05) decreased blood contents of total cholesterol, total lipids, triglycerides, Glutamic pyruvic transaminase (GPT), urea and creatinine comared to control (R₁). On the other hand, incorporated 15 and 18% SFSM in lamb ration (R₄ and R₅) significantly (P<0.05) decreased blood contents of glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT). Meanwhile, Only inclusion 18% SFSM (R₅) significantly (P<0.05) decreased blood contents of Alkaline phosphatase.

Table 6: Blood parameters of the experimental groups

Item	Experimental rations					SEM
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	
Level of sunflower seem meal	0 % SFSM	5% SFSM	10% SFSM	15% SFSM	18% SFSM	
Glucose (mg/dl)	70.16 ^b	71.40 ^a	71.93 ^a	72.11 ^a	71.95 ^a	0.21
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	12.12 ^c	12.36 ^b	12.52 ^{ab}	12.46 ^{ab}	12.61 ^a	0.05
Red blood cell (RBC) count (n x10 ⁶ /μl)	3.92 ^b	3.98 ^{ab}	4.03 ^{ab}	4.12 ^a	4.09 ^a	0.03
White blood cell (WBC) count (n x10 ³ /μl)	4.05 ^d	4.16 ^c	4.25 ^b	4.31 ^a	4.29 ^{ab}	0.03
Total protein (g/ dl)	7.12 ^c	7.26 ^b	7.32 ^b	7.45 ^a	7.51 ^a	0.04
Albumin (g/ dl)	4.33 ^c	4.42 ^b	4.55 ^a	4.61 ^a	4.58 ^a	0.03
Globulin (g/ dl)	2.79 ^b	2.84 ^b	2.77 ^b	2.84 ^b	2.93 ^a	0.02
Albumin: globulin ratio	1.55 ^c	1.56 ^{bc}	1.64 ^a	1.62 ^{ab}	1.56 ^{bc}	0.01
Lipids parameters						
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	95.13 ^a	92.14 ^b	89.22 ^c	84.09 ^d	81.88 ^d	1.35
Total lipids (mg/dl)	375 ^a	360 ^b	365 ^b	343 ^c	356 ^b	3.04
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	12.22 ^a	12.01 ^b	11.85 ^{bc}	11.73 ^{cd}	11.56 ^d	0.07
Liver functions						
GPT (U/l)	40.51 ^a	39.16 ^b	38.20 ^c	38.35 ^c	39.52 ^b	0.24
GOT (U/l)	22.19 ^a	22.03 ^{ab}	21.85 ^{abc}	21.62 ^{bc}	21.50 ^c	0.09
Kidney functions						
Urea (mg/dl)	19.96 ^a	19.19 ^b	18.93 ^c	18.51 ^d	18.63 ^d	0.14
Createnin (mg/dl)	1.42 ^a	1.13 ^b	0.94 ^c	0.88 ^d	0.74 ^e	0.06
Alkaline phosphatase (U/l)	64.36 ^a	64.03 ^{ab}	63.83 ^{ab}	63.70 ^{ab}	63.55 ^b	0.11

a, b, c, d and e: Means in the same row having different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

SEM: Standard error of mean.

GOT: Glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase.

GPT: Glutamic pyruvic transaminase..

R₁: 1st experimental ration assigned as control and it contained 0% SFSM.

R₂: 2nd experimental ration contained 5% SFSM.

R₃: 3rd experimental ration replace ration contained 10% SFSM.

R₄: 4th experimental ration contained 15% SFSM.

R₅: 5th experimental ration contained 18% SFSM.

Morsy *et al.* [118] noted that addition 50 g/head/d whole sunflower seeds (SS) or 20 ml /head/day sunflower seeds oil (SO) in lactating goats, they observed that blood contents of total protein, albumin, globulin and albumin: globulin ratio were in-significantly (P>0.05) increased compared to control. Also, they noted that values of blood triglyceride and total cholesterol were in-significantly (P>0.05) decreased compared to control, however, values of blood glucose were significantly (P<0.05) increased in comparison with the control. Sharma *et al.* [119] fed crossbred cows and buffaloes in the Northern plains of India on rations replaced 25 or 50% of the net crude protein (CP) of the control ration by sunflower meal (SFM) to study the impact of inclusion SFM on their blood parameters. They reported that incorporation SFM in cow ration in-significantly (P>0.05) increased blood contents of glucose, total protein, albumin, globulin and albumin: globulin ratio, alkaline phosphatase values were slightly different. Meanwhile blood urea contents were significantly (P<0.05) decreased.

But cholesterol values were in-significantly (P>0.05) decreased comparing to the control. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) is a measure to assess protein status of the animal. Blood urea nitrogen and protein intake should have a positive relationship indicating that BUN could be an indicator of protein intake [120]. Higher BUN in lambs fed concentrate diets might be the result of incapacity of ruminal microflora to detain maximum ammonia [121]. Ponnampalam *et al.* [84] reported non-significant difference in plasma urea and glucose in crossbred lambs at first 1st day of the trial due to Canola meal (CM), soybean meal (SBM) and fish meal (FM) supplemented to control diet (lucerne hay: oat hay; 30:70). However, sampling at d 30 and 53 revealed a significant increase in plasma glucose and urea N concentration except for a decrease in urea N concentration in basal treatment. Lupin supplementation as a protein source on a weekly basis increased levels of urea in plasma whereas variations were found in blood glucose levels [122]. Plasma glucose and urea N were not affected in lambs fed

concentrate diets [123]. Increase in glucose concentration may be due to more by-pass protein and increased availability of glucogenic amino acids for glucose synthesis [124]. In contrast, Rusche *et al.* [120] observed that feeding crude protein (CP) source with high escape protein decreased plasma glucose and urea N concentration. Whereas, supplementation of lucerne chaff with cotton seed meal (CSM) resulted in an increase in glucose and urea concentration in lambs indicating better energy and protein status [125]. Paterson *et al.* [53] observed lower BUN in lambs offered escape protein supplements compared with SBM supplement (11.07 versus 16.44 mg/100 ml). However, Davies *et al.* [126] noticed no difference in plasma glucose, urea N and plasma minerals in response to various protein sources.

CONCLUSION

The data obtained in the present study mentioned that under conditions that available during carrying out of this work, sunflower seed meal (SFSM) can be incorporated in growing sheep rations up to 18 % of ration formulation (high level of SFSM used in this study) with not occurring any adverse effects on their lamb performance, digestion coefficients, nutritive values, nitrogen balance, ruminal fermentation and improving the health of lambs throughout occurring decreasing in blood contents of total cholesterol, total lipids, triglycerides, GPT, GOT, urea and creatinin..

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by scientific project section, National Research Centre (Project ID: 12050111) under title "Application of the modern innovations for drought stress tolerance on some oil crops grown under sandy conditions".

REFERENCES

1. Stillman, R., M. Haley and K. Mathew, 2009. Grain prices impact entire livestock production cycle. Amber Waves, USDA Economic Research Service, pp: 24-27. <https://www.ers.usda.gov/amber-waves/2009/march/grain-prices-impactentire-livestock-production-cycle/>.
2. Lawrence, J.D., J. Mintert, J.D. Anderson and D.P. Anderson, 2010. Feed grains and livestock: impacts on meat supplies and prices. Choices Agricultural & Applied Economics Association.
3. Nikolakakis, I., E. Bonos, E. Kasapidou, A. Kargopoulos and P. Mitlianga, 2014. Effect of dietary sesame seed hulls on broiler performance, carcass traits and lipid oxidation of the meat. *Europ. Poult. Sci.*, 78(28): 1-9.
4. Indexmundi, 2016a. March Soybean meal monthly price <https://www.indexmundi.com/commodities/?commodity=soybean-meal>.
5. Indexmundi 2016b. March Maize (corn) monthly price <https://www.indexmundi.com/commodities/?commodity=corn>.
6. Indexmundi, 2016c. March Barley Monthly Price <https://www.indexmundi.com/commodities/?commodity=barley>.
7. Bonos, E., A. Kargopoulos, Z. Basdagianni, D. Mpantis, E. Taskopoulou, B. Tsilofiti and I. Nikolakakis, 2017. Dietary sesame seed hulls utilization on lamb performance, lipid oxidation and fatty acids composition of the meat. *Anim Hus Dairy Vet. Sci.*, 1(1): 1-5.
8. Omer, H.A.A., Sawsan M. Ahmed, Soha S. Abdel-Magid, A.B. Bakry, M.F. El-Karamany and Eman H. El-Sabaawy, 2019. Nutritional impact of partial or complete replacement of soybean meal by sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) meal in lambs rations. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 43: 98. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-019-0140-8>.
9. Nishino, S., S. Kondoand and K. Hayashi, 1980. Feeding value of SFM as a replacement for SBOM in lactating cows. *J. College Dairying*, 8: 275.
10. Titi, H.H., 2003. Replacing soybean meal with sunflower meal with or without fibrolytic enzymes in fattening diets of goat kids. *Small Ruminant Res.*, 48: 45-50.
11. Paengkoum, P. and M. Wanapat, 2009. Utilization of concentrate supplements containing varying levels of Sunflower seed meal by growing goats fed a basal diet of Corn Silages. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 8(8): 1229-1234. ISSN 1680-5194.
12. Irshaid, R.H., M.Y. Harb and H.H. Titi, 2003. Replacing soybean meal with sunflower seed meal in the ration of Awassi ewes and lambs. *Small Ruminant Research*, 50: 109-116.
13. Richardson, R.C., N.R. Beville, K.R. Ratcliff and C.R. Albin, 1981. Sunflower meal as a protein supplement for growing ruminants. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 53: 557.
14. Oil World, 2011. Major meals, World summary balances. *Oil World Weekly*, February 28, 2011, 54(8): 95-104.

15. Golob, P., G. Farrell and J.E. Orchard, 2002. Crop Post-harvest: Principles and practice, volume 1. In: Golob P, Farrell G, Orchard JE Crop Post-harvest: Science and Technology. John Wiley & Sons.
16. Index Mundi, 2019. Agricultural production, supply and distribution charts. Index Mundi, North Carolina, USA <https://www.indexmundi.com/>.
17. Heuzé, V., G. Tran, P. Hassoun, M. Lessire and F. Lebas, 2019. Sunflower meal. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. <https://www.feedipedia.org/node/732> Last updated on September 25, 2019, 14:17
18. Ahmed, I., K. Javed, R.H. Mirza, A. Sattar and F. Ahmad, 2004. Effect of feeding sunflower meal as a substitute of cottonseed cake on growth and age of maturity in Holstein Friesian heifers. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 24(2): 95-97.
19. Garcia, J.A., S.P. De F Vieira, P.R. Cecon, De Melo, A. De S Martins and M.C. Stti, 2004. Apparent digestibility of sunflower meal in growing dairy cattle feeding. Ciencia Anim. Brasileira, 5(3): 123-129.
20. Hassan, H.E., K.M. Elamin, A.A. Tameem Eldar and O.H. Arabi, 2011. Effect of feeding different levels of decorticated sun flower cake (*Abad Alshames*) (*Helianthus nnuus* L.) on performance of Sudan desert goats. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 1(5): 235-238.
21. Mandarino, J.M.G., 1997. Derivados proteicos do girasol. In: Reunião Nacional De Pesquisa Do Girassol. Compinas: Fundação Cagrill. Cited in: Carellos, D.C., J.A. Lima, E.T., Fialho, R.T. Freitas, H.O. Silva, (Cited in: D.C. Carellos, J.A. Lima, E.T. Fialho, R.T. Freitas, H.O. Silva, P.A. Branco, Z.A. Souza and J.V. Neto, 2005. Evaluation of sunflower meal on growth and carcass traits of finishing pigs. Ciênc. Agrotec. Lavras., 29: 208-215.
22. Abbas, T.E.E. and Y.M. Yagoub, 2008. Sunflower cake as a substitute for groundnut cake in commercial broiler chick's diet. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 7(6): 782-784.
23. CBS, 2005. 45th Annual Report. Central Bank of Sudan, Al-Salhani Corporation, Damascus, Syria.
24. Van Waalwijk and Van Doorn, 1982. Quality aspects of oil crop raw materials in relation to their use, extraction and processing. In: Proceedings Improvement of Oil-Seed and Industrial Crops by Induced Mutations, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria, pp: 225-232.
25. FAO, 1994. Food and Agriculture Organization. Trade Yearbook, Vol. 48. FAO, Rome.
26. NRC, 1985. Nutrient Requirements of Sheep, 6th ed. National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
27. Abou-Raya A.K., 1967. Animal and poultry nutrition, 1st Ed Pub. Dar El-Maarif, Cairo (Arabic text book).
28. AOAC, 2016. Official Methods of Analysis, 18th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC, USA (2005). All content following this page was uploaded by Hayder N. Al-Mentafji on 02 February 2016. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292783651_AOAC_2005/link/56b1246508ae5ec4ed4878ab/download.
29. Warner, A.C., 1964. Production of volatile fatty acids in the rumen, methods of measurements. Nutr. Abstr. Rev., 34: 339.
30. Erwin, E.S., Marco C.J. and E.M. Emery, 1961. Volatile fatty acid analysis of blood and rumen fluid by gas chromatography. J. Dairy Sci., 44: 1768.
31. Weiss, D.J. and K.J. Wardrop, 2010. Schalm's veterinary hematology, 6th ed. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Ames, Iowa, USA.
32. Bunn, H.F. 2011. Approach to the anemias. In: Goldman L, Schafer AI (Ed) Goldman's Cecil Medicine, 24th ed. Elsevier Saunders, Philadelphia, Pa, p 2011 Chap 161
33. El-Ghetany, M.T. and K. Banki, 2011. Erythrocytic disorders. In: McPherson RA, Pincus MR, eds. Henry's Clinical Diagnosis and Management by Laboratory Methods. 22nd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Elsevier Saunders 2011: Chap 32.
34. Armstrong, W.D. and C.W. Carr, 1964. Physiological Chemistry: Laboratory directions 3: 75. Bugar PUBLISHING Co, Minneapolis.
35. Witt, I. and C. Trendelenburg, 1982. A method for the rapid determination of total protein plasma. J. Clin Biochem., 20: 235.
36. Dumas, B.L., T. Watson and W.A. Biggs, 1971. Albumin standards and measurement of serum with bromocresol green. Clin. Chem. Acta., 31: 87-96.
37. Tietz, N.W., 1986. A method for the rapid determination of albumin of blood plasma. P.589 in textbook of clinical chemistry. W.B Saunders Company, Philadelphia.
38. Fossati, P. and L. Prencipe, 1982. Serum triglycerides determined colorimetrically Chemistry, 28(10): 2077-2080 with an enzyme that produces hydrogen peroxide. Clinical.
39. Postma, T. and J.A. Stroes, 1968. Lipids screening in clinical chemistry. Clin. Chim. Acta., 22: 569.

40. Allain, C.C., L.S. Poon, C.S. Chan, W. Richmond and P.C. Fu, 1974. Enzymatic determination of total serum cholesterol. *Clin. Chem.*, 20: 470-475.
41. Pisani, T., G.P. Gebiski and E.T. Leary, 1995. Accurate direct determination of low-density lipoprotein, cholesterol assay. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.*, 119: 1127.
42. Beliefield, A. and D.M. Goldberg, 1971. Estimation of serum alkaline phosphates. *Enzyme*, 12: 561.
43. Patton, C.J. and S.R. Crouch, 1977. Spectrophotometric and kinetics investigation of the Berthelot reaction for the determination of ammonia. *Anal. Chem.*, 49: 464.
44. Husdan, H., 1968. Chemical determination of creatinine with deproteinization. *Clin. Chem.*, 14: 222.
45. Reitman, S.M.D. and S. Frankel, 1957. A colorimeter method for determination of serum glutamic oxaloacetic acid and glutamic pyruvic acid transferases. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.*, 28: 56-63.
46. Harold, V., 1975. Colorimetric determination of glutamate pyruvate and oxaloacetic transaminase, practical *Clin Biochem*, 4th Ed, pp: 294
47. Goering, H.K. and P.H. Van Soest, 1970. Forge fiber analysis (apparatus, reagents, procedure and some applications). *Agriculture. Hand book 379*, USDA, Washington and DC., USA.
48. Van Soest, P.J., J.B. Robertson and B.A. Lewis, 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non starch polysaccharides in relation to animal performance. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 74: 3583-3597.
49. SPSS, 2020. Statistical Package for Social Science (Software version: 22.0). <https://www.ibm.com/support/pages/spss-statistics-220-available-download>.
50. Duncan, D.B., 1955. Multiple Rang and Multiple F-Test *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.
51. Eweedah, N., J. Varhegyi, J. Gundel and L. Rozsa, 1996. A note on the use of some oilseeds in compound feeds for lamb: digestibility, rumen fermentation, nutritive value and degradability. *Nutrition Abstract and Review Series. B*, 68: 216.
52. Khan, A.G., A. Azim, M.A. Nadeem and M.A. Khan, 1997. Effect of growing fattening diets on the growth performance of intensified Afghani lambs. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 25(1): 39-42.
53. Paterson, J.A., B.M. Anderson, D.K. Bowman, R.L. Morrison and J.E. Williams, 1983. Effect of protein sources and lasalocid on nitrogen digestibility and growth by ruminants. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 57: 1537-1544.
54. Staples, C.R., C.L. Davis, G.C. McCoy and J.H. Clark, 1983. Feeding value of wet corn gluten feed for lactating cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 66(1): 1.
55. Abou-Donia, M.B., 1989. Gossypol. In: *Toxicants of Plant Origin*. P.R. Cheeke Ed., Vol. IV: Phenolics: 122. CRC Press, Boca.
56. Chase, J.R., C.C.J. Bastidas, L. Ruttle, C.R. Long and R.D. Randel, 1994. Growth and reproductive development in Brahman bulls fed diet containing gossypol. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 72: 445-452.
57. Milis, C.H., D. Limadis, A. Karalazos and D. Dots, 2005. Effects of main protein, non-forage fiber and forage source on digestibility, N balance and energy value of sheep rations. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 59: 65-73.
58. Phillips, W.A. and S.C. Rao, 2001. Digestibility and nitrogen balance of diets containing cottonseed meal, alfalfa, or pigeon pea as the protein source. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 13(6):
59. Stern, M.D., M.L. Rode, R.W. Prange, R.H. Stauffer and L. Satter, 1983. Ruminal protein degradation of corn gluten meal in lactating dairy cattle fitted with duodenal t-type cannulae. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 56: 194-205.
60. Santos-Silva, J., R.J.B. Bessa and I.A. Mendes, 2003. The effect of supplementation with expanded sunflower seed on carcass and meat quality of lambs raised on pasture. *J. Meat Sci.*, 65(4): 1301-1308.
61. Bowman, J.G.P. and J.A. Paterson, 1988. Evaluation of corn gluten feed in high energy diets for sheep and cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 66: 2057-2070.
62. Keery, C.M., H.E. Amos and M.A. Froetschel, 1993. Effects of supplemental protein source on intra ruminal fermentation, protein degradation and amino acid absorption. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 76: 514-524.
63. Abou-Ward, G.A., M.A. Tawila, Sawsan M. Gad and M.M. El-Muniary, 2008. Improving the nutritive value of cottonseed meal by adding iron on growing lambs diets. *World J. Agric. Sci.*, 4(5): 533-537.
64. Hussein, H.S. and M.R. Jordan, 1991. Fish meal as a protein supplement in ruminant diets: A review. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 69: 2115-2122.
65. Karsli, M.A., T. Tasal and H. Nursoy, 2006. Effect of dietary inclusion of hazelnut and soybean meals on microbial protein synthesis. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 64: 180-185.
66. Merchen, N.R., D.E. Darden, L.L. Berger, G.C. Fehay, E.C. Titgemeyer and R.L. Fernando, 1987. Effects of dietary energy levels and supplemental protein source on performance of growing steers and nutrient digestibility and nitrogen balance in lambs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 65: 658-668.

67. Swanson, K.C., J.S. Caton, D.A. Redmer, V.I. Burke and L.P. Reynolds, 2000. Influence of undegraded intake protein on intake, digestion, serum hormones and metabolites and nitrogen balance in sheep. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 35(3): 225-233.
68. Jaster, E.H., C.R. Staples, G.C. McCoy and L. Davis, 1984. Evaluation of wet corn gluten feed, oatlage, sorghum-soybean silage and alfalfa haylage for dairy heifers. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 67: 1976-1982.
69. Bernard, J.K., R.C. Delost, F.J. Mueller, J.K. Miller and W.M. Miller, 1991. Effect of wet or dry corn gluten feed on nutrient digestibility and milk yield and composition. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 74: 3913-3919.
70. Drackley, J.K., A.K. Clark, T. Sahl, D.J. Schingoethe, 1985. Evaluation of sunflower crop residue in rations for growing Holstein heifers. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 68: 2390-2395.
71. Nelson, A.B. and W.E. Watkins, 1967. Influence of interval of feeding cotton seed meal to sheep on ration digestibility, nitrogen balance and blood constituents. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 26: 1175-1178.
72. Zinn, R.A., 1993. Characteristics of ruminal and total tract digestion of canola meal and soybean meal in a high energy diet for feedlot cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 71: 796-801.
73. Leupp, J.L., G.P. Lardy, S.A. Soto-Navarro, M. Bauer and J.S. Caton, 2006. Effects of canola seed supplementation on intake, digestion, duodenal protein supply and microbial efficiency in steers fed forage-based diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 84: 499-507.
74. Ahmed, M.M.M. and H.A. Abdalla, 2005. Use of different nitrogen sources in the fattening of yearling sheep. *Small Rumin Res.*, 56: 39-45.
75. Mahmoud, A.E.M. and W.M.A. Ghoneem, 2014. Effect of partial substitution of dietary protein by *Nigella sataiva* meal and sesame seed meal on performance of Egyptian lactating buffaloes. *Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv.*, 9(8): 489-498.
76. Fitwi, M. and G. Tadesse, 2013. Effect of sesame cake supplementation on feed intake, body weight gain, feed conversion efficiency and carcass parameters in the ration of sheep fed on wheat bran and teff (*Eragrostis tef*) straw. *Momona Ethiopian J. Sci.*, 5: 89-106.
77. Abo Omar, J., 2002. Effects of feeding different levels of sesame oil cake on performance and digestibility of Awassi lambs. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 46: 187-190.
78. Khan, M.J., M. Shahjalal and M.M. Rashid, 1998. Effect of replacing oil cake by poultry excreta on growth and nutrient utilization in growing bull calves. *Asian Australas J. Anim. Sci.*, 11: 385-390.
79. Hossain, M.M., M.A. Huq, M. Saadulla and S. Akhtar, 1989. Effect of supplementation of rice straw diets with sesame oil cake, fish meal and mineral mixture on dry matter digestibility in goats. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 6(1): 44-47.
80. El-Nameary, Y.A.A., R.I. El-Kady and A.A. El-Shahat, 2015. Effect of some medicinal plant seed meals supplementation and their effects on the productive performance of male rabbits. *Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res.*, 8(6): 401-411.
81. Bandyk, C.A., R.C. Cochran, T.A. Wickersham, E.C. Titgemeyer, C.G. Farmer and J.J. Higgins, 2001. Effect of ruminal vs. post-ruminal administration of degradable protein on utilization of low-quality forage by beef steers. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 79: 225-231.
82. Chakeredza, S., 2003. Growth performance of weaner lambs offered maize stover supplemented with varying levels of maize and cotton seed meals. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 73: 35-44.
83. Phillips, W.A., G.W. Horn and M.F. Smith, 1995. Effect of protein supplementation on forage intake and nitrogen balance of lambs fed freshly harvested wheat forage. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 73: 2687-2693.
84. Ponnampalam, E.N., A.R. Egan, A.J. Sinclair and B.J. Leury, 2005. Feed intake, growth, plasma glucose and urea nitrogen concentration and carcass traits of lambs fed iso-energetic amounts of canola meal, soybean meal and fish meal with forage based diet. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 58: 245-252.
85. Coombe, B., 1985. Rape and Sunflower seed meals as supplement for sheep fed on oat straw. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 36: 717-728.
86. Khalid, M.F., M. Sarwar, U.N. Mahr and U.R. Zia, 2011. Response of growing lambs fed on different vegetable protein sources with or without probiotics. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 13: 332-338.
87. Plaisance, R., H.V. Petit, J.R. Seoance and R. Rioux, 1997. The nutritive value of canola, heat treated canola and fish meals as protein supplements for lambs fed grass silage. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 68: 139-152.
88. Weisbjerg, M.R., C.F. Borsting and T. Hvelplund, 1992. The influence of tallow on rumen metabolism, microbial biomass synthesis and fatty acid composition of bacteria and protozoa. *Acta Agric. Scan. (Section A) Animal Science*, 42: 138-147.
89. Suliman, G.M. and S.A. Babiker, 2007. Effect of diet protein source on lamb fattening. *Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, 3: 403-408.

90. Kandylis, K., P.N. Nikokyris and K. Deligiannis, 1999. Performance of growing fattening lambs fed diets containing different proportions of cottonseed meal. *J. Sci. Food. Agric.*, 79(12): 1-4.
91. Walz, L.S., T.W. White, J.M. Fernandez, L.R. Gentry, D.C. Blouin, M.A. Freotschel, T.F. Brown, C.J. Lupton and A.M. Chapa, 1998. Effect of fish meal and sodium bentonite on daily gain, wool growth, carcass characteristics and ruminal and blood characteristics of lamb fed concentrate diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 76: 2025-2031.
92. Krysl, L.J., M.E. Branine, M.L. Galyean, R.E. Estell and W.C. Hoefler, 1987. Influence of cotton seed meal supplementation on voluntary intake, ruminal and cecal fermentation, digesta kinetics and serum insulin and growth hormone in mature ewes fed Prairie hay. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 64: 1178-1188.
93. Negesse T., M. Rodehutsord and E. Pfeffer, 2001. The effect of dietary crude protein level on intake, growth, protein retention and utilization of growing male Saanen kids. *Small Rumin. Res.*, 39: 243-2251.
94. Rule, D.C., J.R. Busboom and C.G. Kercher, 1994. Effect of dietary canola on fatty acid composition of bovine adipose tissue, muscle, kidney and liver. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 72: 2735-2744.
95. Yagoub, M. and E.E.A. Talha, 2009. Effect of replacement of groundnut cake with decorticated sunflower cake on the performance of Sudanese desert lambs. *Pak. J. Nutr.*, 8(1): 46-48.
96. Wiese, S.C., C.L. White, D.G. Masters, J.T.B. Milton and R.H. David-Son, 2003. Growth and carcass characteristics of prime lambs fed diets containing urea, lupins or canola meal as a crude protein source. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.*, 43(10): 1193-1197.
97. Baile, C.A. and J.M. Forbes, 1974. Control of feed intake and regulation of energy balance in ruminants. *Physiol. Rev.*, 54: 160.
98. Fahmy, M.H., J.M. Boucher, L.M. Poste, R. Gregoire, G. Butler and J.E. Cpmeau, 1992. Feed efficiency, carcass characteristics and sensory quality of lambs with or without prolific ancestry, fed diets with different protein supplements. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 70: 1365-1374.
99. Williams, P.E.V., C.A.G. Triat, G.M. Innes and C.J. Newbold, 1991. Effects of the inclusion of yeast culture (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* plus growth medium) in the diets of cows on milk yield and forage degradation and fermentation patterns in the rumen of sheep and steers. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 69: 3016-3026.
100. Schingeothe, J.D., A.J. Rook and F. Ludens, 1977. Evaluation of SFM as a protein supplement for lactating cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 60: 591-595.
101. Isobel, C.V., R. Hill and R.C. Campling, 1990. A note on the use of rapeseed, sunflower and soybean meals as a protein sources in compound foods for milking cattle. *Anim. Prod.*, 50: 541-543.
102. Schingeothe, J.D., J.M. Brouk, D.K. Lightfield and J.R. Baer, 1996. Lactational responses of dairy cows fed unsaturated fat from extruded soybeans and sunflower seeds. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 79: 1244-1249.
103. Economides, S., 1998. The nutritive value of sunflower meal and its effect on replacing cereal straw in the diets of lactating ewes and goats. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 55: 89-97.
104. Tamminga, S., 1996. A review on environmental impacts of nutritional strategies in ruminants. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 74: 3112-3124.
105. Castillo, A.R., E. Kebreab, D.E. Beever, J.H. Barbi, J.D. Sutton, H.C. Kirby and J. France, 2001. The effect of protein supplementation on nitrogen utilization in lactating dairy cows fed grass silage diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 79: 247-253.
106. Kebreab, E., J. France, J.A.N. Mills, R. Allison and J. Dijkstra, 2002. A dynamic model of N metabolism in the lactating dairy cow and an assessment of impact of N excretion on the environment. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 80: 248-259.
107. Knowlton, K.F., J.H. Herbein, M.A. Meister-Weisbarth and W.A. Wark, 2001. Nitrogen and phosphorus partitioning in lactating Holstein cows fed different sources of dietary protein and phosphorus. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 84: 1210-1217.
108. Khalid, M.F., M. Sarwar, A.U. Rehman, M.A. Shahzad and N. Mukhtar, 2012. Effect of Dietary protein sources on lamb's Performance: A Review. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science*, 2(2): 111-120.
109. Mould, F.L. and E.R. Ørskov, 1993. Manipulation of rumen fluid pH its influence on cellulysis *in sacco*, dry matter degradation and the rumen microflora of sheep offered either hay or concentrate. *Anim. Feed Sci. and Technol.*, 10: 1-14.
110. Wanapat, M., O. Pimpa, A. Petlum and C. Wachirapakorn, 2000. Participation scheme of smallholder dairy farmers in the NE, Thailand on improving feeding systems. *Asian-Aus. J. Anim. Sci.*, 13: 830-836.

111. McDonald, P., R.A. Edwards and J.F.D. Greenhalgh, 1995. Animal Nutrition. 5th Ed. Singapore, Longman Singapore Publishers.
112. Koster, H.H., R.C. Cochran, E.C. Titgemeyer, E.S. Vanzant, D. Aldelgadir and G.S. Jean, 1996. Effect of increasing degradable protein intake on intake and digestion of low quality, tall grass-prairie forage by beef cows. J. Anim. Sci., 74: 2473-2482.
113. Abou-Akkada, A.R. and H.E. Osman, 1967. The use of ruminal ammonia and blood urea as an index of the nutritive value of proteins in some feedstuffs. J. Agric. Sci., 69: 25-31.
114. Tagari, H., Y. Driori, L. Ascarelli and A. Bondi, 1964. The influence of level of protein and starch in rations for sheep on utilization of protein. Br. J. Nutr., 18: 333.
115. Van'tKlooster, A.T., 1986. Pathological aspects of rumen fermentation. In: Neimann-Sorensen A (ED) New developments and future perspectives in research on rumen function. Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg, pp: 259-276.
116. Allam, S.M., A.K. Abou-Raya, E.A. Gihad and T.M. El-Bedawy, 1984. Nutritional studies by sheep and goats fed NoaH treated straw. 1st Egyptian British conference on Animal and Poultry Production, Zagazig 11-13 Sep. pp: 53.
117. Fadel, J.G., D.U. Den and D.H. Robinson, 1987. Effect of nitrogen, energy supplementation on intake and digestion of oat straw by non lactating ruminant dairy cows. J. Agric. Sci., 106: 503.
118. Morsy, T.A., S.M. Kholif, A.E. Kholif, O.H. Matloup, A.Z.M. Salem and A. Abu Elella, 2015. Influence of sunflower whole seeds or oil on ruminal fermentation, milk production, composition and fatty acid profile in lactating goats. Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci., 28(8): 1116-1122 www.ajas.info p ISSN 1011-2367 e ISSN 1976-5517.
119. Sharma, K., N. Dutta, A.K. Pattanaik and Q.Z. Hasan, 2003. Replacement value of un-decorticated sunflower meal as a supplement for milk production by crossbred cows and buffaloes in the Northern Plains of India. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 35: 131-145.
120. Rusche, W.C., R.C. Cochran, L.R. Corah, J.S. Stevenson, D.L. Harmon, R.T. Brandt and J.E. Minton, 1993. Influence of source and amount of dietary protein on performance, blood metabolites and reproductive function of primiparous beef cows. J. Anim. Sci., 71: 557-563.
121. Butler, W.R., 1998. Review: Effect of protein nutrition on ovarian and uterine physiology in dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci., 81: 2533-2539.
122. Master, D.G., G. Mata, S.M. Liu and A.C. Schlink, 2002. Frequency of feeding lupin and canola meal supplements to young sheep influences wool growth and mitotic rate but not staple strength. Aust. J. Exp. Agric., 42: 103-109.
123. Carro, M.D., M.J. Ranilla, F.J. Giraldez and A.R. Mantecon, 2006. Effects of malate on diet digestibility, microbial protein synthesis, plasma metabolites and performance of growing lambs fed a high concentrate diet. J. Anim. Sci., 84: 405-410.
124. Sano, H., H. Sawada, A. Takenami, S. Oda, M. Al-Mamun, 2007. Effect of dietary energy intake and cold exposure on kinetics of plasma glucose metabolism in sheep J. Anim. Physiol. Nutr., 91: 1-5.
125. Sainz, R.D., B.J. Hosking, F.J. Hart and G.S.G. Spencer, 1994. Effect of growth hormone releasing factors and cottonseed meal on hormone and metabolites in plasma from lambs fed Lucerne chaff *ad libitum*. Aust. J. Agric. Res., 45(6): 1125-1135.
126. Davies, H.L., T.F. Robinson, B.L. Roeder, M.E. Sharp, N.P. Johnston, A.C. Christensen and G.B. Schaalje, 2007. Digestibility, nitrogen balance and blood metabolites in L. lama (*Lama glama*) and alpaca (*Lama pacos*) fed barley or barley alfalfa diets. Small Rumin. Res., 73: 1-7.