

Applied on Various Inorganic Fertilizers in Soil and to Evaluate the Effect of Nutrient Content and Uptake of T. Aman Rice (BRRI dhan49)

¹Md. Romel Biswash, ²Mashuka Sharmin, ³Md. Maksudul Haque,
⁴Golam Sarwar Jahan and ⁵M.M. Emam Ahmed

^{1,4}Adaptive Research Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Bangladesh

²Horticulture Research Center, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Bangladesh

^{3,5}Plant Breeding Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Bangladesh

Abstract: The experiment was conducted at the research field of Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI), Gazipur during Aman season of 2012 in applied on various inorganic fertilizers in soil and to evaluate the effect of nutrient content and uptake of T. Aman rice. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. There were seven treatments such as control, Recommended Fertilizer Dose (RFD), 50% reduced rate of N, 50% reduced rate of P, 50% reduced rate of K, 50% reduced rate of S and 50% reduced rate of Zn. The highest grain yield of 6.16 t ha⁻¹ was observed in the treatment 50% reduced rate of N which was statistically identical to those recorded in the treatments 100% recommended fertilizer dose and 50% reduced rate of P. effective tillers hill⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹, 1000-grains weight had also higher in the treatment T₃ and unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ lower in the treatment T₃. However, plant height and panicle length had higher in 50% reduced rate of P. It was observed that application of 50% reduction of N fertilizers from RFD affected non-significantly both in yield contributing traits, nutrient content and nutrient uptake by grain and straw of BRRI dhan49 compared to RFD. Grain yield was increased with increasing nutrient uptake by BRRI dhan49. Therefore, the treatment 50% reduced rate of N fertilizer performed better than RFD and other treatments.

Key words: Inorganic fertilizer • Yield • Nutrient content • Nutrient uptake

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the leading cereal crop in the world and staple food crop in Bangladesh. Rice, as the single most important human energy source, feeds about half of the world's population [1]. A crop production system with high yield targets cannot be sustainable unless nutrient inputs to soil are at least balanced against nutrient removal by crops [2, 3]. Proper soil fertility management, therefore, is one of the prime importance's in an endeavor to increase crop productivity. Available data indicated that the fertility of most of our soils has deteriorated over the years [4, 5], which is responsible for stagnating and in some cases; even declining crop yields [6, 7]. Soil is the principal supplier of plant nutrients. Plant derives 13 essential nutrients out of 17 from the soil. In most soils in Bangladesh, severe deficiency of

nutrients like N, P and K has been identified [8, 9, 10]. A few years back the deficiency of S, Zn and B have also been found in some soil in the country whose area is increasing day by day [11-15]. Fertilizers are essential parts of modern farming, with about 50% of the world's production being attributed to fertilizer use [16]. During the past few years, total fertilizer nutrient use in Bangladesh has remained static [17]. A further increase in fertilizer use has to occur in those countries including Bangladesh where more production has to be realized from the limited areas of land.

Even the most fertile soils can do so only for certain years and finally shows nutrient deficiency. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are the primary macronutrients and can play key roles to increase the production of rice to a great extent. Nitrogen has a positive influence on growth, yield and yield components

of rice process of photosynthesis, N-fixation, flowering, fruiting and maturation. Nitrogen is the nutrient element limiting growth in most of the rice soils [18] and there have been indications that many rice soils of Bangladesh are becoming deficient in P, K, S and Zn [19]. Phosphorus is widely deficient in Bangladesh soils. The decline in productivity of rice deficiency of P, K, S, Zn and imbalanced nutrition [20,21]. Potassium is one of the primary nutrient elements for plant. Potassium is necessary for several basic physiological functions, such as the synthesis of protein and starch, normal cell division and growth [22]. Its deficiency may greatly reduce crop yield. Continuous application of chemical fertilizers accelerates the depletion of soil organic matter and impairs physical and chemical properties of soil in addition to micronutrient deficiencies. The actual recommended rates of N, P, K, S and Zn not only maintain soil health for sustainable agriculture but also save part of the cost of crop production. Considering the above points, the present study was undertaken to find out the effects of reduced rates of N, P, K, S and Zn on the nutrient content and uptake by BRRI dhan49.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the west beyd of BRRI farm, Gazipur during the period of Aman season of 2012. The area belongs to the Agro-ecological Zone (AEZ- 28) Madhupur Tract. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The soil of the experimental field was silty clay loam in texture and neutral in reaction. Organic C, total N, available P (Modified Olsen's) and exchangeable K (N NH₄OAc) of the soil were 1.45%, 0.10%, 13 ppm and 0.23 meq/100 g soil, respectively. The available S (calcium dihydrogenphosphate) and Zn (DTPA) were 20 ppm and 5 ppm, respectively. The climate of the experimental site is sub-tropical, wet and humid. There were 21 (7 x 3) unit plots. The unit plot size was 2.5 m x 4 m. The spacing between blocks was 1m and between plots was 0.5 m. The treatments were randomly distributed to unit plots in each block. Twenty five day old seedlings were transplanted in the experimental plots on 20 August 2012. A distance of 20 cm from row to row and 20 cm from plant to plant was maintained. Two seedlings were used in each hill. The crops were harvested at a time due to 80% maturity of grains.

Treatments: There were 7 treatments including one control treatment. The treatment combinations for the experiment were as follows:

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| T ₁ : | Control |
| T ₂ : | Recommended fertilizer dose (RFD) |
| T ₃ : | RFD -50% N |
| T ₄ : | RFD- 50% P |
| T ₅ : | RFD -50% K |
| T ₆ : | RFD -50% S |
| T ₇ : | RFD -50% Zn |

Recommended Fertilizer Dose (RFD) = 100 kg N ha⁻¹, 15 kg P ha⁻¹, 50 kg K ha⁻¹, 15 kg S ha⁻¹ and 1.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹.

The sources of N, P, K, S and Zn nutrients were Urea, MoP, Gypsum and Zinc sulphate, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted to determine the effect of 50% reduced rate of N, P, K, S and Zn on different yield and yield contributing characters of high yielding rice variety namely BRRI dhan49.

Yield and Yield Contributing Character of BRRI

Dhan49: Yield and yield contributing characters such as plant height (cm), number of effective tillers hill⁻¹, panicle length (cm), filled and unfilled grains panicle⁻¹, 1000-grain weight (g), grain yield (t ha⁻¹) and straw yield (t ha⁻¹) were recorded at the time of harvest and the detailed results are described under the following sub-headings:

Plant Height: Plant height varied from 54.13 cm in T₁ (control) to 81.37 cm in T₄ (50% reduced rate of P). The tallest plant (81.37 cm) was recorded in the treatment T₄ (50% reduced rate of P) which was statistically similar with all other treatments (T₂, T₃, T₅, T₆, T₇), except control (T₁) (54.13 cm) with the values of 79.90, 80.27, 76.80, 78.70 and 78.43 cm, respectively (Table 1). Plant height is a key yield contributing trait since grain yield is also directly related with straw yield. The results are also in agreement with the findings of Moreno *et al.* [23] and Mishra *et al.* [24].

Number of Effective Tillers Hill⁻¹: The maximum number of effective tillers hill⁻¹ (9.333) was found from the both T₂ (100% recommended dose) and T₃ (50% reduced rate of N) which was statistically similar to those recorded in the all other treatments except T₁ (control) (7.13) (Table 1). There was a significant effect of different fertilizer treatments on the production of effective tillers hill⁻¹ of BRRI dhan49. Similar results were also obtained by Balakrisnan and Natarajaratnan [25].

Length of Panicle: Among the treatments, the longest panicle (22.70 cm) was observed in 50% reduced rate of P (T₄) which was statistically identical (22.27 cm) to that the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N) and closely followed (22.13 cm) by T₆ (50% reduced rate of S) (Table 1).

Table 1: Effects of reduced rates of fertilizers on the morphological and yield contributing characters of BRR1 dhan49

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | Number of effective tillers hill ⁻¹ | Panicle length (cm) | Number of filled grains panicle ⁻¹ | Number of unfilled grains panicle ⁻¹ | 1000- grain weight (g) | Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹) | Straw yield (t ha ⁻¹) |
|----------------|-------------------|--|---------------------|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| T ₁ | 54.13 b | 7.133 b | 16.90 d | 61.70 b | 13.17 a | 27.70 d | 3.070 c | 4.390 d |
| T ₂ | 79.90 a | 9.333 a | 21.07 bc | 93.63 a | 10.17 c | 30.87 bc | 5.010 a | 6.450 a |
| T ₃ | 80.27 a | 9.333 a | 22.27 a | 95.77 a | 9.500 c | 33.20 a | 5.160 a | 6.300 a |
| T ₄ | 81.37 a | 9.033 a | 22.70 a | 94.93 a | 11.17 bc | 32.50 a | 5.090 a | 6.370 a |
| T ₅ | 76.80 a | 8.767 a | 20.77 c | 85.57 a | 12.33 ab | 30.57 c | 4.000 b | 5.030 c |
| T ₆ | 78.70 a | 9.233 a | 22.13 ab | 89.60 a | 12.47 ab | 29.93 ab | 4.130 b | 5.590 b |
| T ₇ | 78.43 a | 9.200 a | 20.73 c | 93.67 a | 13.03 ab | 30.53 c | 4.020 b | 5.410 bc |
| SE(±) | 3.63 | 0.30 | 0.74 | 4.56 | 0.54 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 0.30 |

Figures in a column having common letters do not differ significantly at 5% level of significance

SE = Standard error of means

T₁: Control

T₂: 100% recommended dose (RD)

T₃: 50% reduced rate of N

T₄: 50% reduced rate of P

T₅: 50% reduced rate of K

T₆: 50% reduced rate of S

T₇: 50% reduced rate of Zn

Number of Filled Grains Panicle⁻¹: The maximum number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ (95.77) was found in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N) which was statistically similar to those recorded in the treatments T₂: 100% recommended dose (93.63), T₄: 50% reduced rate of P (94.93), T₅: 50% reduced rate of K (95.57), T₆: 50% reduced rate of S (89.60) and T₇: 50% reduced rate of Zn (93.67) Table 1. The lowest number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ (61.70) was found in the treatment T₁ (control). Analysis of variance data on number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ of BRR1 dhan49 showed significant differences due to the effect of reduced rate of fertilizers supplied. These results were supported by the findings of Mondal *et al.* [9] and Halder *et al.* [26].

Number of Unfilled Grains Panicle⁻¹: The maximum number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (13.17) was produced by the treatment T₁ (control). It was indicated that no use of fertilizers produces the highest number of unfilled grains. The lowest number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (9.50) was produced by the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N) which was statistically identical with T₂ (100% recommended fertilizer dose) and closely (11.17) followed by T₄ (50% reduced rate of P) (Table 1).

Thousand-Grain Weight: Analysis of variance of data showed significant variation regarding thousand-grain weight which was shown in Table 1. All the treatments showed increased 1000-grain weight over control. The highest 1000-grain weight (33.20 g) was recorded from T₃ (50% reduced rate of N), while the lowest 1000-grain weight (27.70 g) was obtained in the treatment T₁ (control) (Table 1).

Grain Yield (t ha⁻¹): The highest grain yield (5.16 t ha⁻¹) which was 68.08% higher increase over control) was obtained in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N) (Table 1). The lowest grain yield (3.07 t ha⁻¹) was obtained in the control (T₁) (Table 1). This implies that these nutrients had significant role on grain yield. The highest percentage (68.08%) of increased grain yield over control was recorded in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest percentage (30.94%) of increased grain yield over control was recorded in the treatment T₇ (50% reduced rate of Zn). The grain yield for either kg or t ha⁻¹ obtained from different treatments ranked in the order of T₃> T₄> T₂> T₆> T₇> T₅> T₁. The results revealed that 50% reduced rate of N was more pronounced in producing more grain yield than other treatments under study.

Straw Yield (t ha⁻¹): All the treatments gave higher straw yield over control (Table 1). It was observed that the treatments T₂ (100% recommended fertilizer dose) gave the highest straw yield (6.45 t ha⁻¹). The lowest straw yield (4.39 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment T₁ (control). The highest percentage (46.92%) of increased straw yield over control was noted in the treatment T₂ (100% recommended fertilizer dose). The lowest percentage (14.58%) of increased straw yield over control was recorded in the treatment T₅ (50% reduced rate of K). The straw yield due to different treatments ranked in the order of T₂> T₄> T₃> T₆> T₇> T₅> T₁. The results revealed that 100% recommended fertilizer dose was more pronounced in producing more straw yield than other treatments under study.

Table 2: Effects of reduced rates of fertilizers on N, P, K, S content and uptake by BRRI dhan49

| Treatments | N content (%) | | N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
|----------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Total |
| T ₁ | 0.827 d | 0.4233 c | 25.40 d | 18.61 c | 44.01 e |
| T ₂ | 1.153 a | 0.6400 ab | 57.78 a | 41.27 a | 99.04 a |
| T ₃ | 1.180 a | 0.6700 a | 60.88 a | 42.18 a | 103.1 a |
| T ₄ | 1.183 a | 0.6133 ab | 60.21 a | 39.07 a | 99.28 a |
| T ₅ | 0.877 cd | 0.4200 c | 35.08 c | 21.14 c | 56.21 d |
| T ₆ | 1.013 b | 0.5633 ab | 41.80 b | 31.52 b | 73.32 b |
| T ₇ | 0.910 c | 0.5367 b | 36.59 c | 29.03 b | 65.62 c |
| SE(±) | 0.06 | 0.04 | 5.37 | 3.60 | 8.90 |
| Treatments | P content (%) | | P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
| | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Total |
| T ₁ | 0.157 e | 0.1667 b | 4.800 d | 7.297 d | 12.10 e |
| T ₂ | 0.207 bc | 0.2500 a | 10.35 a | 16.12 a | 26.47 a |
| T ₃ | 0.190 cd | 0.1833 b | 9.803 a | 11.57 bc | 21.37 bc |
| T ₄ | 0.210 b | 0.1867 b | 10.70 a | 11.89 b | 22.59 b |
| T ₅ | 0.177 d | 0.1567 b | 7.073 c | 7.880 d | 14.95 de |
| T ₆ | 0.230 a | 0.1533 b | 9.497 ab | 8.587 cd | 18.08 cd |
| T ₇ | 0.197 bc | 0.1733 b | 7.907 bc | 9.393 bcd | 17.30 d |
| SE(±) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.80 | 1.16 | 1.84 |
| Treatments | K content (%) | | K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
| | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Total |
| T ₁ | 0.147 b | 0.677 c | 4.500 c | 29.68 d | 34.18 d |
| T ₂ | 0.270 a | 0.773 ab | 13.53 a | 49.87 a | 63.39 a |
| T ₃ | 0.253 a | 0.807 a | 13.07 a | 50.82 a | 63.88 a |
| T ₄ | 0.250 a | 0.750 ab | 12.74 a | 47.78 a | 60.51 a |
| T ₅ | 0.220 a | 0.713 bc | 8.820 b | 35.89 c | 44.71 c |
| T ₆ | 0.210 a | 0.730 bc | 8.650 b | 40.79 b | 49.44 b |
| T ₇ | 0.227 a | 0.717 bc | 9.117 b | 38.77 bc | 47.89 bc |
| SE(±) | 0.02 | 0.02 | 1.23 | 2.98 | 4.19 |
| Treatments | S content (%) | | S uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
| | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Total |
| T ₁ | 0.100 c | 0.077 bc | 3.067 e | 3.353 d | 6.420 d |
| T ₂ | 0.127 ab | 0.077 bc | 6.343 b | 4.943 b | 11.29 b |
| T ₃ | 0.127 ab | 0.133 a | 6.537 b | 8.410 a | 14.95 a |
| T ₄ | 0.143 a | 0.073 bc | 7.290 a | 4.670 bc | 11.96 b |
| T ₅ | 0.123 b | 0.090 b | 4.923 cd | 4.530 bc | 9.453 c |
| T ₆ | 0.110 bc | 0.070 c | 4.540 d | 3.907 cd | 8.447 c |
| T ₇ | 0.127 ab | 0.070 c | 5.090 c | 3.793 cd | 8.883 c |
| SE(±) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.95 |
| Treatments | Zn content (%) | | Zn uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
| | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Total |
| T ₁ | 0.050 b | 0.040 ab | 1.537 d | 1.753 c | 3.287 d |
| T ₂ | 0.060 ab | 0.050 ab | 3.007 a | 3.223 a | 6.227 a |
| T ₃ | 0.050 b | 0.053 a | 2.577 b | 3.367 a | 5.943 a |
| T ₄ | 0.060 ab | 0.040 ab | 3.057 a | 2.550 b | 5.603 ab |
| T ₅ | 0.057 ab | 0.050 ab | 2.260 c | 2.520 b | 4.780 bc |
| T ₆ | 0.053 ab | 0.047 ab | 2.210 c | 2.610 b | 4.823 bc |
| T ₇ | 0.070 a | 0.033 b | 2.817 ab | 1.800 c | 4.617 c |
| SE(±) | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.38 |

Figures in a column having common letters do not differ significantly at 5% level of significance

Nutrient Content and Uptake in Grain and Straw Nitrogen Content and Uptake

Nitrogen Content: Nitrogen content in grain varied from 0.827 to 1.183% (Table 2). The treatment T₄ (50% reduced rate of P) resulted in the maximum N content in grain (1.183%). The minimum content of N (0.827%) was recorded in the control (T₁). The N content in straw due to different fertilizer reduced treatments ranged from 0.4233 to 0.6700% (Table 2). The highest N content value (0.6700%) was found in the treatment T₃ (50 reduced rate of N). The lowest N content value (0.4200%) was observed in T₇ (50% reduced rate of Zn). These results revealed that the 50% reduced rate of P and N increased N content in the grain and straw of BRRI dhan49.

Nitrogen Uptake: The N uptake by grain varied from 25.40 to 60.88 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The highest N uptake (60.88 kg ha⁻¹) by grain was recorded in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest N uptake (25.40 kg ha⁻¹) by grain was obtained in the treatment T₁ (control). In straw, the N uptake ranged from 18.61 to 42.18 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The highest N uptake (42.18 kg ha⁻¹) by straw was observed in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest N uptake (18.61 kg ha⁻¹) by straw was recorded in the treatment T₁ (control). The uptake of total Nitrogen due to different treatments ranged from 44.01 to 103.10 kg ha⁻¹ Table 2. The highest total N uptake (103.10 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest total uptake of N (44.01 kg ha⁻¹) was noted in the treatment T₁ (control) (Table 2).

Phosphorus Content and Uptake

Phosphorus Content: The P content in grain ranged from 0.157 to 0.230%. The highest P value (0.230%) was recorded in the treatment T₆ (50% reduced rate of S). The lowest P value (0.157%) was noted in the treatment T₁ (control). The phosphorus content in straw varied from 0.1533 to 0.2500% Table 2. The highest P value (0.2500%) was found in the treatment T₂ (100% recommended fertilizer dose). The lowest P value (0.1553%) was observed in the treatment T₆ (50% reduced rate of S) which was statistically similar to those recorded in all the treatments viz. T₁, T₃, T₄, T₅ and T₇ (0.1667, 0.1833, 0.1867, 0.1567 and 0.1733, respectively) except T₂: 100% recommended fertilizer dose (0.2500%). It indicated that 50% reduced rate of S in grain and 100% recommended fertilizer dose in straw had pronounced effect on P content. The phosphorus content in both grain and straw of BRRI dhan49 was significant by the effect of different treatments of inorganic fertilizer. Similar results were also obtained by Kadu *et al.* [27].

Phosphorus Uptake: The ranges of P uptake in grain were 1.800 to 10.700 kg ha⁻¹. The maximum P uptake (10.700 kg ha⁻¹) by grain was recorded in the treatment T₄ (50% reduced rate of N). The minimum P uptake (4.800 kg ha⁻¹) by grain was observed in the treatment T₁ (control). In case of straw, the P uptake varied from 7.297 to 16.12 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The highest P uptake in straw (16.12 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment T₂ (100% recommended fertilizer dose). The lowest P uptake (7.297 kg ha⁻¹) was found in the treatment T₁ (control). The total P uptake by BRR1 dhan49 varied from 12.10 to 26.47 kg ha⁻¹. The highest total P uptake (26.47 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment T₂ (100% recommended fertilizer dose). The lowest value of total P uptake (12.10 kg ha⁻¹) was noted in the treatment T₁ (control).

Potassium Content and Uptake

Potassium Content: The P content in grain varied from 0.147 to 0.253%. The highest K content (0.253%) was found in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest K content (0.147%) was recorded in the treatment T₁ (control). The K content in straw was varied from 0.677 to 0.807% Table 2. The highest K content in straw (0.807%) was found in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest K content (0.677%) was observed in the treatment T₁ (control). It is also observed that K content in straw was higher than that of grains in all the treatments. It indicates that 50% reduced rate of N had pronounced effect on K content in both grain and straw. The results were also in agreement with the findings that K content in straw was higher than that of grains in all the treatments. It indicates that 50% reduced rate of N had pronounced effect on K content in both grain and straw of Sachdev *et al.* [28].

Potassium Uptake: The K uptake by grain was varied from 4.500 to 13.53 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The highest K uptake (13.53 kg ha⁻¹) by grain was noted in the treatment T₂ (100% recommended fertilizer dose). The lowest uptake values of K (4.500 kg ha⁻¹) by grain were obtained in the treatment T₁ (control). In straw, uptake values of K ranged from 29.68 to 50.82 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The highest K uptake value of 50.82 kg ha⁻¹ was observed in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest K uptake (29.68 kg ha⁻¹) by straw was obtained in the treatment T₁ (control). These result revealed that the K uptake by rice straw was much higher than that of K uptake by rice grain. The total K uptake ranged from 34.18 to 63.88 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The highest total K uptake (63.88 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N).

The lowest total K uptake (34.18 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained in the treatment T₁ (control) which was statistically different from other fertilizer reduced treatments.

Sulphur Content and Uptake

Sulphur Content: Sulphur content in grain ranged from 0.100 to 0.143% (Table 2). The maximum S content (0.143%) in grain was found in the treatment T₄ (50% reduced rate of P). The lowest S content (0.100%) was recorded in the treatment T₁ (control). The highest S content (0.133%) was recorded in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N) which was statistically different from all other treatments. The lowest S content (0.070%) was noted in both the treatment T₆ (50% reduced rate of S) and T₇ (50% reduced rate of Zn). It indicates that 50% reduced rate of P and N had pronounced effect on S content in grain and straw, respectively but the smaller reduction of the fertilizers from the recommended fertilizer dose did not affect significantly in S content.

Sulphur Uptake: The S uptake in grain varied from 3.067 to 7.290 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The highest S uptake by grain (7.290 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained in the treatment T₄ (50% reduced rate of P). The lowest S uptake (3.067 kg ha⁻¹) by grain was observed in the treatment T₁ (control). In straw, S uptake ranged from 3.353 to 8.410 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The maximum S uptake (8.410 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The minimum S uptake (3.353 kg ha⁻¹) by straw was recorded in the treatment T₁ (control). In case of total S uptake, S uptake ranged from 6.420 to 14.95 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The maximum total S uptake (14.95 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest total S uptake (6.420 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in the treatment T₁ (control) Sakal [29] reported that concentration of S in grain and straw and its corresponding uptake was increased with increasing rates of sulphur.

Zinc Content and Uptake

Zinc Content: The Zn content in grain was varied from 0.050 to 0.070% (Table 2). The highest Zn content (0.070%) was found in the treatment T₇ (50% reduced rate of Zn). The lowest Zn content (0.050%) was recorded in the treatment T₁ (control) which was statistically similar to those recorded in the treatment T₃; 50% reduced rate of N with similar value (0.050%). The Zn content in straw varied from 0.033 to 0.053% (Table 2). The highest Zn content in straw (0.053%) was found in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). Similarly, the lowest Zn content in straw (0.033%) was observed in the treatment T₇.

(50% reduced rate of Zn). It also indicates that 50% reduced rate of Zn and N had pronounced effect on K content in grain and straw, respectively. The results were also in agreement Zn content in grain and straw were more or less similar in all the treatments. It also indicates that 50% reduced rate of Zn and N had pronounced effect on K content in grain and straw, respectively. Zinc content in both grain and straw was affected significantly by the effect of different doses of inorganic fertilizer treatments. The results were also in agreement with the findings of Sachdev *et al.* [25].

Zinc Uptake: The Zn uptake by grain varied from 1.537 to 3.057 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The highest Zn uptake (3.057 kg ha⁻¹) by grain was noted in the treatment T₄ (50% reduced rate of P). The lowest uptake value of Zn (1.537 kg ha⁻¹) by grain was obtained in the treatment T₁ (control). In straw, uptake values of Zn ranged from 1.753 to 3.367 kg ha⁻¹ Table 2. The highest Zn uptake value of 3.367 kg ha⁻¹ was observed in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest Zn uptake (1.753 kg ha⁻¹) by straw was obtained in the treatment T₁ (control). Table 2 also indicated that the total Zn uptake ranged was from 3.287 to 5.643 kg ha⁻¹. The highest total Zn uptake (5.943 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in the treatment T₃ (50% reduced rate of N). The lowest total K uptake (3.287 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained in the treatment T₁ (control) which was statistically different from other fertilizer reduced treatments.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Yield contributing characters like plant height, effective tillers hill⁻¹, panicle length, filled and unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ and 1000-grains weight were significantly influenced by treatments on reduced fertilizer. Among the treatment, treatment T₄ produced the tallest plant (81.37 cm) and longest panicle (22.70 cm). The shortest plant and panicle were observed in the treatment T₁ (54.13 cm and 16.90 cm). Number of effective tillers hill⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹ and 1000-grain weight was higher (9.33, 95.77 and 33.20 g, respectively) in the treatment T₃. Unfilled grains production observed the highest (13.17) in control and lowest (9.50) in T₃. The maximum grain yield (5.16 t ha⁻¹) was observed in the treatment T₃. The lowest grain yield (3.07 t ha⁻¹) was obtained in the control treatment T₁. The treatment T₄ gave the highest straw yield (6.37 t ha⁻¹) while it was statistically similar to those recorded in the treatments T₂ (6.45 t ha⁻¹) and T₃. The lowest straw yield

(4.39 t ha⁻¹) was produced from the control treatment (T₁). In the experiment 50% reduced rate of N from recommended fertilizer dose performed better than other treatments.

The treatment T₄ gets the maximum N and S contents of grain (1.183 and 0.143%, respectively) while the minimum content values (0.827 and 0.100%, respectively) were recorded in the treatment T₁ (control). Nutrient content of P, K and Zn by grain had higher (0.239, 0.253 and 0.070%, respectively) were recorded in the treatments T₆, T₃ and T₇, respectively while they were lowest (0.157, 0.147 and 0.050%, respectively) in control treatment (T₁). Nutrient content of N, K, S and Zn by straw had also higher (0.6700, 0.807, 0.133 and 0.053%, respectively) were found in the treatment T₃ while treatment T₇ recorded the lowest content of S and Zn (0.0700 and 0.033%, respectively). The lowest nutrient content by straw of N (0.4200%) and K (0.677%) were observed in the treatments T₅ and T₁, respectively. Nutrient content by straw of P had higher (0.2500%) in T₂ and lowest (0.1533%) in T₆. The maximum P, S and Zn uptake by grain (10.700, 7.290 and 3.057 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) were recorded in the treatment T₄ where as the minimum uptake of them (1.800, 3.067 and 1.537 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) were recorded in the treatment T₁. However, treatment T₃ recorded the maximum uptake of N (60.88 kg ha⁻¹) and treatment T₂ observed the maximum uptake of K (13.53 kg ha⁻¹) by grain but they produced the lowest uptake (25.10 and 1.500 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) in the control (T₁). The highest nutrient uptake of P by straw and total (16.12 and 26.47 kg ha⁻¹) were found in T₂ while control treatment (T₁) showed the lowest nutrient uptake by straw and total (7.297 and 12.10%, respectively). A close relationship between nutrient uptake and grain yield was observed. Grain yield increased with increasing nutrient uptake by BRRI dhan49.

REFERENCES

1. IRRI, 1989. IRRI toward 2000 and beyond. Manila, IRRI., pp: 66.
2. Bhuiyan, N.I., A.L. Shah and G.M. Panaullah, 1991. Effect of NPK fertilization on the grain yield of transplanted rice and soil fertility - A long-term study. Bangladesh J. Soil Sci., 22(1and2): 4 1-50.
3. Bhuiyan, N.I., 1992. Sulphur fertilization for rice and non-rice crops of Bangladesh Agriculture. Int. Symp. on the role of sulphur, magnesium and micronutrients in balanced plant nutrition. Sulphur Institute, Washington, USA., pp: 81-93.

4. Karim, Z., M.M.U. Miah and S. Razia, 1994. Fertilizer in the national economy and sustainable environmental development. *Asia Pacific J. Environ. Dev.*, 1: 48-67.
5. Ali, M.M., S.M. Shaheed and D. Kubota, 1997. Soil degradation during the period 1967-1995 in Bangladesh. 11. Selected chemical characters. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 43: 879-890.
6. Anonymous, 1996. Annual Report for 1996. The Bangladesh Rice Research Institute. Gazipur, Bangladesh.
7. Cassman, K.G., S.K. De Datta, J. Alcantra, M. Samson, J. Descalsota and M. Dizon, 1995. Yield decline and the nitrogen economy of long-term experiment on continuous irrigated rice systems in the Tropics., pp: 181-222.
8. Islam, M.R., M.N. Hoque and Z.H. Bhuiya, 1990. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on yield response and nitrogen and sulphur composition of rice. *Bangladesh J. Argil. Sci.*, 17(2): 299-302.
9. Mondal, S.S., D. Joyaram and B.K. Pradhan, 1990. Effect of fertilizer and farmyard manure on the yield components of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Environ. Eco.*, 8(1): 223-226.
10. Islam, M.R., M.R. Karim, T.M. Riasat and M. Jahiruddin, 1996. Growth and yield of BR11 rice under different levels of sulphur, zinc and boron fertility at two locations in Bangladesh. *Thai J. Agric. Sci.*, 29: 37-42.
11. Jahiruddin, M., M.N. Islam, M.A. Hashem and M.R. Islam, 1994. Influence of sulphur, zinc and boron on yield and nutrient uptake of BR2 rice. *Progress. Agric.*, 5(1): 61-67.
12. Islam, M.R. and Z.H. Bhuiya, 1997. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of deep-water rice. *Bangladesh J. Argic. Sci.*, 24(2): 93-96.
13. Islam, M.R., M.S. Islam, M. Jahiruddin and M.S. Hoque, 1999. Effects of sulphur, zinc and boron on yield components and nutrient uptake of wheat. *Pakistan J. Sci.*, 42: 137-140.
14. Islam, M.R., P.K. Saha, M.J. Zaman and S.K. Uddin, 2010. Phosphorus fertilization in inbred and hybrid rice. *J. Biol. Sci.*, 19(2): 181-187.
15. Khanam, M., M.M. Rahman and M.R. Islam, 2001. Effects of manures and fertilizers on the growth yield of BRRI dhan30. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.*, 4: 172-174.
16. Pradhan, L., D. Rout, T. Barik and O.K. Patro, 1991. Effect of N, P, K and Zn on rice in cultivators fields of Bolangir Disdual, Orissa under rainfed condition. *Orissa J. Agric. Res.*, 4(1-2): 30-33.
17. BARC (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council), 1997. Fertilizer Recommendation Guide-1997. Published by: The Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council. Farmgate, New Airport Road, Dhaka 1215.
18. Savant, N.K. and S.K. Dc Datta, 1982. Nitrogen transformation in wetland rice soils. *Adv. Agron.*, 35: 241-302.
19. BARC (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council), 2005. Fertilizer Recommendation Guide-1997. Published by: The Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council. Farmgate, New Airport Road, Dhaka 1215.
20. Kumar, P.S.S., S.A. Geetha and K. Kumaiaway, 2004. Effect of different manure-fertilizer schedules on the yield and potassium uptake by rice. *Crop Res.*, 5(2/3): 148-152.
21. Kumar, S. and R.S. Singh, 2001. Phosphorus management in rice-wheat cropping system. *Journal of Research, Birsa Agricultural University*, 13(1): 51-56.
22. Ram, H., S. Singh and C.N. Chaubey, 1999: Response of rice to sulphur under reclaimed salt affected soil. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 36(3): 272-273.
23. Moreno, D.G.A., E.J.M. Arellano and F.R. Lopez, 1985. Phosphorus and Potassium level of rice cv. CICA-8 in Ginebra valley soil. *Acta-Agronomica.*, 35(2): 34-41.
24. Mishra, B.K., S. Misra, A.K. Dash and D. Jena, 1999. Effect of time for urea super granule placement on low land rice. *Ann. Argic. Res.*, 20(4): 443-447.
25. Balakrishnan, K. and N. Natarajaratnan, 1986. Effect of Zn supplement on yield and components in certain rice varieties. *Madras Agric. J.*, 73(10): 598-600.
26. Halder, K.P., M.J.U. Chowdhury and N. Ahmed, 2000. Effect of planting methods and nitrogen rates on the yield and yield components of Ausrice grown under rained condition at the coastal area of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Argic. Sci.*, 27(1): 59-64.
27. Kadu, P.B., V.S. Bhojar and R.S. Thakare, 1991. Effect of NPK-FYM blended manurial mixtures on performance of rice. *J. Soil Crop.*, 1(2): 172-174.
28. Sachdev, M.S., R.B. Mittal and P. Sachdev, 1983. Utilization of sulphur by rice from gypsum and its balance sheet in soil using 35S. *Nuclear Agric. Biol.*, 6(1): 11-14.
29. Sakal, R., V.K. Nayyar, M.V. Singh, T.D. Biswas and G. Narayanasmy, 1997. Micronutrients status under rice-wheat cropping systems for sustainable soil productivity. *Indian Soc. Soil Sci. Bull.*, 18: 39-47.