

On a Conjecture Involving Stirling Numbers of The First Kind

¹*J. Morales, ¹G. Ovando and ²J. López-Bonilla*

¹CB1-Área de Física, AMA, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Azcapotzalco,
 Av. San Pablo 180, Col. Reynosa-Tamaulipas CP 02200, CDMX, México,
²ESIME-Zacatenco, Instituto Politécnico Nacional,
 Edif. 4, 1er. Piso, Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México

Abstract: We employ hypergeometric functions to study a conjecture involving Stirling numbers of the first kind.

Key words: Stirling numbers - Hypergeometric functions

INTRODUCTION

In [1] is the conjecture:

$$I(m, n) \equiv \sum_{k=\max(m,n)}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{k+1}{n+1} \frac{(n+1)^k}{k!} S_{k+1}^{(k+1-m)} = 0, \quad \forall m, n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

Involving Stirling numbers of the first kind [2-4]. Here we use hypergeometric functions [5, 6] to verify (1) for $m = 0, 1, 2$ with n arbitrary. First we shall consider some special cases to show our approach:

a). $m = n = 0$.

$$I(0, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k, \quad t_k = \frac{(-1)^k (k+1)}{k!} \quad \therefore \quad \frac{t_{k+1}}{t_k} = -\frac{k+2}{(k+1)^2},$$

Then [4, 7]:

$$I(0, 0) = {}_1F_1(2; 1; -1) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where was applied the relation:

$${}_1F_1(a; a-1; z) = e^z \left(1 + \frac{z}{a-1} \right). \quad (3)$$

b). $m = 1, n = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} I(1, 0) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (k+1)}{k!} S_{k+1}^{(k)} = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_j, \quad t_j = \frac{(-1)^j (j+2)^2}{4 \cdot j!} \quad \therefore \quad \frac{t_{j+1}}{t_j} = -\frac{(j+3)^2}{(j+2)^2 (j+1)}, \\ &= 2 {}_2F_2(3, 3; 2, 2; -1) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where were employed the expressions:

$$S_{k+1}^{(k)} = -\frac{k(k+1)}{2}, \quad {}_2F_2(a, a; a-1, a-1; z) = \frac{e^z}{(a-1)^2} [(z+a)^2 + 1 - z - 2a]. \quad (5)$$

c). $m = 2, n = 0$.

Corresponding Author: J. López-Bonilla, ESIME-Zacatenco, Instituto Politécnico Nacional,
 Edif. 4, 1er. Piso, Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México. E-mail: jlopezb@ipn.mx

$$I(2, 0) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (k+1)}{k!} S_{k+1}^{(k-1)} = 3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_j, \quad t_j = \frac{(-1)^j (j+3)^2 (3j+8)}{72 \cdot j!} \quad \therefore \quad \frac{t_{j+1}}{t_j} = -\frac{(j+4)^2 (j+\frac{11}{3})}{(j+3)^2 (j+\frac{8}{3})(j+1)},$$

$$\frac{1}{3} I(2, 0) = {}_3F_3\left(4, 4, \frac{11}{3}; 3, 3, \frac{8}{3}; -1\right) = {}_2F_2\left(4, \frac{11}{3}; 3, \frac{8}{3}; -1\right) - \frac{11}{18} {}_2F_2\left(5, \frac{14}{3}; 4, \frac{11}{3}; -1\right), \quad (6)$$

Because we used the formulae:

$$S_{k+1}^{(k-1)} = \frac{1}{24} (k+1) k (k-1) (3k+2), \quad (7)$$

$${}_3F_3(a, a_2, a_3; a-1, b_2, b_3; z) = {}_2F_2(a_2, a_3; b_2, b_3; z) + \frac{a_2 a_3 z}{(a-1)b_2 b_3} {}_2F_2(a_2+1, a_3+1; b_2+1, b_3+1; z).$$

We know the property:

$${}_2F_2(a, b; a-1, d; z) = \frac{b z}{(a-1)d} {}_1F_1(b+1; d+1; z) + {}_1F_1(b; d; z), \quad (8)$$

thus:

$${}_2F_2\left(4, \frac{11}{3}; 3, \frac{8}{3}; -1\right) = -\frac{11}{24} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{14}{3}; \frac{11}{3}; -1\right) + {}_1F_1\left(\frac{11}{3}; \frac{8}{3}; -1\right) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \frac{7}{24} e^{-1},$$

$${}_2F_2\left(5, \frac{14}{3}; 4, \frac{11}{3}; -1\right) = -\frac{7}{22} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{17}{3}; \frac{14}{3}; -1\right) + {}_1F_1\left(\frac{14}{3}; \frac{11}{3}; -1\right) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \frac{21}{44} e^{-1},$$

Then from (6):

$$I(2, 0) = 0. \quad (9)$$

d). $m = 0, n = 1$.

$$I(0, 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^k (k+1)}{(k-1)!} S_{k+1}^{(k)} \stackrel{(3)}{=} -2 {}_1F_1(3; 2; -2) = 0. \quad (10)$$

e). $m = n = 1$.

$$I(1, 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^k (k+1)}{(k-1)!} S_{k+1}^{(k)} \stackrel{(5)}{=} 2 {}_2F_2(3, 3; 2, 1; -2) \stackrel{(8)}{=} 2[-3 {}_1F_1(4; 2; -2) + {}_1F_1(3; 1; -2)], \quad (11)$$

But we have the relation:

$${}_1F_1(a; a-2; z) = \frac{2 e^z}{(1-a)_2} L_2^{a-3}(-z), \quad (12)$$

Involving the associated Laguerre polynomials [5], therefore:

$${}_1F_1(4; 2; -2) = -\frac{1}{3} e^{-2}, \quad {}_1F_1(3; 1; -2) = -e^{-2},$$

Hence from (11):

$$I(1, 1) = 0. \quad (13)$$

f). $m = 2, n = 1$.

$$I(2, 1) = 12 {}_3F_3\left(4, 4, \frac{11}{3}; 3, 2, \frac{8}{3}; -2\right) \stackrel{(7)}{=} 12 \left[{}_2F_2\left(4, \frac{11}{3}; 2, \frac{8}{3}; -2\right) - \frac{11}{6} {}_2F_2\left(5, \frac{14}{3}; 3, \frac{11}{3}; -2\right) \right] = 0, \quad (14)$$

where we applied (7) and (8) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_2\left(4, \frac{11}{3}; 2, \frac{8}{3}; -2\right) &= -\frac{3}{2} {}_1F_1(5; 3; -2) + {}_1F_1(4; 2; -2) = -\frac{1}{3} e^{-2}, \\ {}_2F_2\left(5, \frac{14}{3}; 3, \frac{11}{3}; -2\right) &= -\frac{10}{11} {}_1F_1(6; 4; -2) + {}_1F_1(5; 3; -2) = -\frac{2}{11} e^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

g). $m = 0, n \geq 0$.

$$I(0, n) = \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)^n}{n!} {}_1F_1(n+2; n+1; -(n+1)) \stackrel{(3)}{=} 0. \quad (15)$$

h). $m = 1, n \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} I(1, n) &= -\frac{(-1)^n (n+1)^{n+1}}{2 \cdot (n-1)!} {}_2F_2(n+2, n+2; n+1, n; -(n+1)), \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{=} -\frac{(-1)^n (n+1)^{n+1}}{2 \cdot (n-1)!} \left[-\frac{n+2}{n} {}_1F_1(n+3; n+1; -(n+1)) + {}_1F_1(n+2; n; -(n+1)) \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Because (12) implies the values:

$${}_1F_1(n+3; n+1; -(n+1)) = -\frac{e^{-(n+1)}}{n+2}, \quad {}_1F_1(n+2; n; -(n+1)) = -\frac{e^{-(n+1)}}{n}.$$

i). $m = 2, n \geq 2$.

$$I(2, n) = \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)^{n+1} (3n+2)}{24 \cdot (n-2)!} A, \quad (17)$$

Such that:

$$A \equiv {}_3F_3\left(n+2, n+2, n+\frac{5}{3}; n+1, n-1, n+\frac{2}{3}; -(n+1)\right) = B - \frac{(n+2)(n+\frac{5}{3})}{(n-1)(n+\frac{2}{3})} C, \quad (18)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} B &\equiv {}_2F_2\left(n+2, n+\frac{5}{3}; n-1, n+\frac{2}{3}; -(n+1)\right), \\ &= -\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{(n-1)(n+\frac{2}{3})} {}_1F_1(n+3; n; -(n+1)) + {}_1F_1(n+2; n-1; -(n+1)) = \frac{3n+\frac{7}{3}}{n(n-1)(n+\frac{2}{3})} e^{-(n+1)}, \\ C &\equiv {}_2F_2\left(n+3, n+\frac{8}{3}; n, n+\frac{5}{3}; -(n+1)\right), \\ &= -\frac{(n+1)(n+3)}{n(n+\frac{5}{3})} {}_1F_1(n+4; n+1; -(n+1)) + {}_1F_1(n+3; n; -(n+1)) = \frac{3n+\frac{7}{3}}{n(n+2)(n+\frac{5}{3})} e^{-(n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

Then (18) gives $A = 0$ and from (17):

$$I(2, n) = 0. \quad (19)$$

Therefore, the results (2, 4, 9, 10, 13-16, 19) imply:

$$I(m, n) = 0, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad (20)$$

thus the validity of (1) for $m \geq 3$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, is an open problem.

REFERENCES

1. <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/104370/an-infinite-set-of-identities-using-stirling-numbers-1st-kind-are-they-all-zero>
2. Quaintance, J. and H.W. Gould, 2016. Combinatorial identities for Stirling numbers, World Scientific, Singapore.
3. Boyadzhiev, K.N., 2018. Notes on the binomial transform, World Scientific, Singapore.
4. Spivey, M.Z., 2019. The art of proving binomial identities, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
5. Andrews, G.E., R. Askey and R. Roy, 2000. Special functions, Cambridge University Press, England.
6. Ebisu, A., 2017. Special values of the hypergeometric series, Memoirs of the Am. Math. Soc. 248, Providence, Rhode Island, USA.
7. Koepf, W., 1998. Hypergeometric summation, Vieweg, Braunschweig / Wiesbaden.