

Number of Physical Degrees of Freedom in Constrained Hamiltonian Systems

J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez and S. Vidal-Beltrán

ESIME-Zacatenco, Instituto Politécnico Nacional,
 Edif. 4, 1er. Piso, Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México

Abstract: We give a simple deduction of the Díaz-Higueta-Montesinos formula for the number of physical degrees of freedom in terms of Lagrangian parameters.

Key words: Hamiltonian systems • Singular Lagrangians • First class constraints • Gauge identities

INTRODUCTION

Díaz-Higueta-Montesinos [1, 2] deduced the following expression to obtain the number of physical degrees of freedom (NPDF) in systems with singular Lagrangians:

$$NPDF = N - \frac{1}{2}(l + g + e), \quad (1)$$

in terms of Lagrangian parameters, in fact, N , e , l and g are the total number of generalized coordinates $q_j(t)$, effective gauge parameters [1, 3, 4], genuine constraints and gauge identities [5-10], respectively.

This same calculation can be realized via the Hamiltonian formula [3]:

$$NPDF = N - N_1 - \frac{1}{2}N_2, \quad (2)$$

employing only concepts from the Rosenfeld-Dirac-Bergmann approach [11-19] (also see Bronstein [20] and Haag [21]), where N_1 and N_2 are the total number of first-and second-class constraints [3, 5, 15, 22-24], respectively; let's remember that N_2 is an even number [3, 15, 25] and that the number of degrees of freedom is the same for Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalisms [26].

In [26, 27] was established the following relation:

$$l = N_1 + N_2 - N_1^{(p)}, \quad (3)$$

being $N_1^{(p)}$ the total number of first-class primary constraints; besides [3]:

$$g = N_1^{(p)} = M - \text{rank}([\varphi_j, \varphi_m]), \quad (4)$$

$$j = 1, \dots, N_1 + N_2; \quad m = 1, \dots, M,$$

where M is the amount of independent primary constraints.

If we accept that the Dirac's conjecture [3, 5, 16, 18, 26, 28-30] is valid, then the N_1 first-class constraints (primary and secondary) generate gauge symmetries into the Hamiltonian formalism in according with the number of effective gauge parameters in the Lagrangian process [3]:

$$e = N_1, \quad (5)$$

thus, from (3), (4) and (5) we have that $N_1 = e$, $N_2 = l + g - e$ & $N_1^{(p)} = g$, therefore (2) implies the formula (1) obtained by Díaz-Higueta-Montesinos [1].

In [4] was showed how to apply (1) to several Lagrangians studied in [5, 31-33].

REFERENCES

1. Díaz, B., D. Higueta and M. Montesinos, 2014. Lagrangian approach to the physical degrees of freedom count, J. Math. Phys., 55: 122901.
2. Díaz, B. and M. Montesinos, 2018. Geometric Lagrangian approach to the physical degrees of freedom count in field theory, J. Math. Phys., 59: 052901.
3. Henneaux, M. and C. Teitelboim, 1994. Quantization of gauge systems, Princeton University Press, NJ.

4. Lam, P., J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez and M. Maldonado-Ramírez, 2016. On a recent formula to determine the physical degrees of freedom count, *Prespacetime Journal*, 7(9): 1306-1312.
5. Rothe, H.J. and K.D. Rothe, 2010. Classical and quantum dynamics of constrained Hamiltonian systems, *World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics* 81, Singapore (2010).
6. Lam, P., J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez and G. Ovando, 2014. Lagrangians: Symmetries, gauge identities and first integrals, *The SciTech, J. Sci. & Tech.*, 3(1): 54-66.
7. Lam, P., J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez and G. Ovando, 2015. On the gauge identities and genuine constraints of certain Lagrangians, *Prespacetime Journal*, 6(3): 238-246.
8. Lam, P., J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez and G. Ovando, 2015. Matrix method to construct point symmetries of Lagrangians, *Bull. of Kerala Mathematics Assoc.*, 12(1): 43-52.
9. Lam, P., J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez and G. Ovando, 2015. Point symmetries of Lagrangians, *Operations Res. & Appls. J.*, 2(1): 1-8.
10. Lam, P., J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez and S. Vidal-Beltrán, 2018. Constraints and gauge relations in the *Lagrangian formalism*, *World Scientific News*, 95: 215-223.
11. Rosenfeld, L., 1930. Zur quantisierung der wellenfelder, *Ann. Der Phys.*, 397: 113-152 [On the quantization of wave fields, *Eur. Phys. J.*, H42: 63-94].
12. Hanson, P., T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, 1976. *Constrained Hamiltonian systems*, *Accad. Naz. dei Lincei*, Rome.
13. Hurst, C.A., 1987. Dirac's theory of constraints, in 'Proc. Recent developments in Mathematical Physics', Eds. H. Mitter, L. Pitter; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp: 18-52.
14. Bergmann, P., 1959. The canonical formulation of general-relativistic theories: The Early Years, 1930-1959, in 'Einstein and the history of general relativity', Eds. D. Howard, J. Stachel; Birkhäuser, Boston, pp: 293-299.
15. Wipf, A., 1994. Hamilton's formalism for systems with constraints, in 'Canonical gravity: From classical to quantum', Eds. J. Ehlers, H. Friedrich; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp: 22-58.
16. Earman, J., 2003. Tracking down gauge: an ode to the constrained Hamiltonian formalism, in 'Symmetries in Physics. Philosophical Reflections', Eds. Katherine Brading, Elena Castellani; Cambridge University Press, pp: 140-162.
17. Salisbury, D.C., 2007. Rosenfeld, Bergmann, Dirac and the invention of constrained Hamiltonian dynamics, arXiv: physics/0701299v1 [physics.hist-ph] 25 Jan 2007.
18. Salisbury, D.C., 2012. Peter Bergmann and the invention of constrained Hamiltonian dynamics, in 'Einstein and the changing worldviews of Physics', Eds. C. Lehner, J. Renn, M. Schemmel; *Einstein Studies* 12, Birkhäuser, Boston, pp: 247-257.
19. Salisbury, D. and K. Sundermeyer, 2017. Léon Rosenfeld's general theory of constrained Hamiltonian dynamics, *Eur. Phys.*, H42: 1-39.
20. Bronstein, M.P., 1936. Quantentheorie schwacher gravitationsfelder, *Phys. Zeits. Der Sowjetunion*, 9: 140-157.
21. Haag, R., 1952. Der kanonische formalismus in entarteten fallen, *Zamm*, 32(7): 197-202.
22. Dirac, P., 1964. Lecture on quantum mechanics, Yeshiva University, New York.
23. Gotay, M.J., J.M. Nester and G. Hinds, 1978. Presymplectic manifolds and the Dirac-Bergmann theory of constraints, *J. Math. Phys.*, 19(11): 2388-2399.
24. Castellani, E., 2004. Dirac on gauges and constraints, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 43(6): 1503-1514.
25. Goldberg, J.N., 1999. Second-class constraints, in 'On Einstein's path. Essays in honor of Engelbert Schucking', Ed. A. Harvey; Springer-Verlag, New York, pp: 251-256.
26. Gracia, X. and J.M. Pons, 1988. Gauge generators, Dirac's conjecture and degrees of freedom for constrained systems, *Ann. of Phys.*, 187(2): 355-368.
27. Pons, J.M., 1988. New relations between Hamiltonian and Lagrangian constraints, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 21(2): 2705-2715.
28. Cawley, R., 1979. Determination of the Hamiltonian in the presence of constraints, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 42(7): 413-416.
29. Costa, M.E.V., H.O. Girotti and T.J.M. Simes, 1985. Dynamics of gauge systems and Dirac's conjecture, *Phys. Rev.*, D32(2): 405-410.

30. Cabo, A. and D. Louis-Martínez, 1990. On Dirac's conjecture for Hamiltonian systems with first-and second-class constraints, *Phys. Rev.*, D42(8): 2726-2735.
31. Henneaux, M., C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, 1990. Gauge invariance and degree of freedom count, *Nucl. Phys. B*332(1): 169-188.
32. Havelková, M., 2012.. Symmetries of a dynamical system represented by singular Lagrangians, *Comm. in Maths.*, 20(1): 23-32.
33. Torres Del Castillo, G.F., 2014. Point symmetries of the Euler-Lagrange equations, *Rev. Mex. Fís.*, 60: 129-135.