

## Moore-Penrose Pseudoinverse via Faddeev-Sominsky Algorithm

J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez and S. Vidal-Beltrán

ESIME-Zacatenco, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Edif. 4, 1er. Piso,  
 Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México

**Abstract:** The Faddeev-Sominsky method is applied to  $A_{n \times m} A^T_{m \times n}$  to construct the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $A$ , in accordance with the result obtained by Piziak; the Singular Value Decomposition of  $A$  is important in our approach.

**Key words:** Generalized inverse • Characteristic polynomial • Cayley-Hamilton theorem • Faddeev-Sominsky's method • Moore-Penrose's inverse • Gram matrices

### INTRODUCTION

For an arbitrary matrix  $A_{n \times m}$ , its Moore-Penrose (MP) generalized inverse  $A^+$  [1-4] verifies the properties [2, 4-7]:

$$AA^+A = A, \quad A^+AA^+ = A^+, \quad (AA^+)^T = AA^+, \quad (A^+A)^T = A^+A \quad (1)$$

and is given by [2, 4, 8, 9]:

$$A^+_{m \times n} = V_{m \times p} \Lambda^{-1}_{p \times p} U_{p \times n}^T, \quad (2)$$

in terms of the matrices  $U, \Lambda$  and  $V$  generated by the corresponding Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of  $A$  [8, 10-15], such that  $p = \text{rank } A$  and:

$$A = U \Lambda V^T, \quad U^T U = V^T V = I_{p \times p}, \quad \Lambda = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p), \quad \lambda_j > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, p. \quad (3)$$

The Faddeev-Sominsky (FS) procedure [16-19] to obtain  $E_{n \times n}^{-1}$  is a sequence of algebraic computations on the powers  $E^k$  and their traces, in fact, this algorithm is given via the instructions:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= E, & e_1 &= -\text{tr}B_1, & C_1 &= B_1 + e_1 I, \\ B_2 &= C_1 E, & e_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}B_2, & C_2 &= B_2 + e_2 I, \\ &\vdots & &\vdots & &\vdots \\ B_{n-1} &= C_{n-2} E, & e_{n-1} &= -\frac{1}{n-1}\text{tr}B_{n-1}, & C_{n-1} &= B_{n-1} + e_{n-1} I, \\ B_n &= C_{n-1} E, & e_n &= -\frac{1}{n}\text{tr}B_n, & & \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and if  $E$  is non-singular, then:

$$E^{-1} = -\frac{1}{e_n} C_{n-1}. \quad (5)$$

because  $e_n = (-1)^n \det E$ .

From (4) we can see that [20]:

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= E^k + e_1 E^{k-1} + e_2 E^{k-2} + \dots + e_{k-1} E + e_k I, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ C_n &= B_n + e_n I = O, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and for  $k = n-1$ :

$$C_{n-1} = E^{n-1} + e_1 E^{n-2} + e_2 E^{n-3} + \dots + e_{n-2} E + e_{n-1} I = -e_n E^{-1},$$

in harmony with (5) because the property  $C_n = O$  is equivalent to the Cayley-Hamilton-Frobenius theorem [21-24]:

$$E^n + e_1 E^{n-1} + e_2 E^{n-2} + \dots + e_{n-1} E + e_n I = O. \quad (7)$$

If  $E$  is singular, the process (4) gives the adjoint matrix of  $E$  [17], in fact,  $\text{Adj } E = (-1)^{n+1} C_{n-1}$ .

In Sec. 2 we apply this FS algorithm to the Gram matrix  $AA^T$  to deduce the result of Piziak [25] for the MP inverse of  $A$ .

**MP Pseudoinverse via FS Technique:** Here we employ the FS method for:

$$E = AA^T = U \Lambda^2 U^T, \quad (8)$$

and we accept that  $k$  is the largest index with  $e_k \neq 0$  in (7), thus:

$$E^n + e_1 E^{n-1} + \dots + e_{k-1} E^{n-k+1} + e_k E^{n-k} = O, \quad (9)$$

therefore:

$$\Lambda^{2n} + e_1 \Lambda^{2(n-1)} + \dots + e_{k-1} \Lambda^2 (n-k) = O,$$

which can be multiplied by  $\Lambda^{2(k-1-n)}$  to obtain the relation:

$$\Lambda^{2(k-1)} + e_1 \Lambda^{2(k-2)} + \dots + e_{k-2} \Lambda^2 + e_{k-1} I + e_k \Lambda^{-2} = O. \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, from (6) and (8):

$$C_{k-1} = U[\Lambda^{2(k-1)} + e_1 \Lambda^{2(k-2)} + \dots + e_{k-2} \Lambda^2] \\ U^T + e_{k-1} I = -U(e_{k-1} I + e_k \Lambda^{-2})U^T + e_{k-1} I,$$

hence:

$$A^T C_{k-1} \stackrel{(3)}{=} V \Lambda U^T C_{k-1} = -e_k V \Lambda^{-1} U^T = -e_k A^+,$$

which is the interesting result of Piziak [25]:

$$A^+ = -\frac{1}{e_k} A^T C_{k-1}, \quad (11)$$

that is, the FS method gives the MP inverse of  $A_{n \times m}$  if it is applied to the Gram matrix  $AA^T$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Moore, E.H., 1920. On the reciprocal of the general algebraic matrix, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 26(9): 394-395.
2. Penrose, R., 1955. A generalized inverse for matrices, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 51: 406-413.
3. Ben-Israel, A., 2002. The Moore of the Moore-Penrose inverse, Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 9: 150-157.
4. Bahadur-Thapa, G., P. Lam-Estrada and J. López-Bonilla, 2018. On the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse matrix, World Scientific News, 95: 100-110.
5. Zuhair Nashed (Ed.), M., 1976. Generalized inverses and applications, Academic Press, New York.
6. Ben-Israel, A. and T.N.E. Greville, 2003. Generalized inverses: Theory and applications, Springer-Verlag, New York.
7. Piziak, R. and P.L. Odell, 2007. Matrix theory: From generalized inverses to Jordan form, Chapman & Hall / CRC, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
8. Lanczos, C., 1997. Linear differential operators, Dover, New York.
9. J. López-Bonilla, J., R. López-Vázquez, S. Vidal-Beltrán, 2018. Full-rank factorization and Moore-Penrose's inverse, MathLAB Journal, 1(2): 227-230.
10. Lanczos, C., 1958. Linear systems in self-adjoint form, Amer. Math. Monthly, 65(9): 665-679.
11. Lanczos, C., 1960. Extended boundary value problems, Proc. Int. Congress Math. Edinburgh, 1958, Cambridge University Press, pp: 154-181.
12. Schwerdtfeger, H., 1960. Direct proof of Lanczos decomposition theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 67(9): 855-860.
13. Lanczos, C., 1966. Boundary value problems and orthogonal expansions, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 14(4): 831-863.
14. Stewart, G.W., 1993. On the early history of the SVD, SIAM Rev., 35: 551-566.
15. Yanai, H., K. Takeuchi and Y. Takane, 2011. Projection matrices, generalized inverse matrices and singular value decomposition, Springer, New York Chap. 3.
16. Faddeev, D.K. and I.S. Sominsky, 1949. Collection of problems on higher algebra, Moscow.
17. Faddeeva, V.N., 1959. Computational methods of linear algebra, Dover, New York Chap. 3.
18. Caltenco, J.H., J. López-Bonilla and R. Peña-Rivero, 2007. Characteristic polynomial of A and Faddeev's method for  $A^{-1}$ , Educatia Matematica, 3(1-2): 107-112.
19. López-Bonilla, J., H. Torres-Silva and S. Vidal-Beltrán, 2018. On the Faddeev-Sominsky's algorithm, World Scientific News, 106: 238-244.
20. Hanzon, B. and R. Peeters, 1999-2000. Computer algebra in systems theory, Dutch Institute of Systems and Control, Course Program.
21. Lanczos, C., 1988. Applied analysis, Dover, New York.
22. Ch. A. McCarthy, 1975. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly, 8(4): 390-391.
23. Guerrero-Moreno, I., J. López-Bonilla, J. Rivera-Rebolledo, 2011. Leverrier-Takeno coefficients for the characteristic polynomial of a matrix, J. Inst. Eng. (Nepal), 8(1-2): 255-258.

24. López-Bonilla, J., S. Vidal-Beltrán and A. Zúñiga-Segundo, 2018. Characteristic equation of a matrix via Bell polynomials, *Asia Mathematica*, 2(2): 49-51.
25. Piziak, R., 2016. The Frame algorithm and generalized inverses, Research Gate (2016), [www.researchgate.net/publication/307559504\\_The\\_Frame\\_Algorithm\\_and\\_Generalized\\_Inverses](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/307559504_The_Frame_Algorithm_and_Generalized_Inverses).