Academic Journal of Animal Diseases 9(2): 75-84, 2020 ISSN 2079-200X © IDOSI Publications, 2020 DOI: 10.5829/idosi.ajad.2020.75.84

Review on Causes of Bovine Mastitis in Ethiopia: Environmental Versus Contagious

Desta Aloto and Hiwot Belete

Veterinary Drug and Feed Administration Control Authority, South Branch, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Abstract: Mastitis has long been recognized as one of the most costly diseases affecting dairy cows and has erosive effect on the economy of dairy farms as it causes a direct loss in milk quantity and quality in affected cows/farms worldwide. Mastitis is a disease that occurs in two main forms: clinical and sub-clinical. Clinical mastitis produces obvious clinical signs while sub-clinical mastitis on the other hand often goes unnoticed and can only be detected if specific tests are performed on a milk sample. The occurrence of sub-clinical mastitis was high when compared to clinical ones. Mode of transmission of mastitis is through Contagious and Environmental pathogens. The most commonly implicated and reported pathogenic causes of mastitis include Staphylococcus aurous, Streptococcus agalactiae, coagulase negatives Staphylococcus species (CNS), Pseudomonas aeroginosa and Klebsiella pneumonia. Among this Staphylococcus aureus pathogen was the most frequently isolated from mastitic milk in Ethiopia due to its ability to evade and influence the host immune system. Regarding the predisposing factors of Mastitis in Ethiopia most of research authors do agree on considering breed, age, parity and stages of lactation as important risk factors influencing the prevalence of bovine Mastitis. Diagnostic methods like California mastitis test, clinical examination, somatic cell count and measurement of pH are important if applied correctly. Mastitis therapy: lactation and dry cow therapy are better to treat early. Since Contagious mastitis prevalence is considerably influenced by the milking procedures followed by milker, which made it more important, control and prevention strategies should include creating awareness of people on the management practices like milking and housing hygiene need to be initiated and promoted.

Key words: Bovine • Causes • Mastitis Test • Clinical Mastitis • Prevention Strategies • Somatic Cell Count • Prevalence • Mastitis

INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia, located in tropical region is greatly dependent on Agriculture. Livestock production represents a major national resource and forms an integral part of the Agricultural production system and livelihood of the society. Ethiopia has the largest cattle population in Africa with an estimated population of 56.71 million. Among this cow represents the biggest portion of cattle population of the country, around 20.7% of the total cattle heads are milking cows [1]. However, milk production often does not satisfy the country's requirements due to different factors. Mastitis is one of the most important disease problems contributing to reduced milk production

in dairy farms [2]. Mastitis is also associated with a number of zoonotic diseases in which milk acts as a vehicle of infection [3].

Mastitis is defined as an inflammation of the parenchyma of mammary gland, which can reduce milk yield and alter milk composition. The occurrence of this disease is an outcome of interplay between three major factors: infectious agents, host resistance and environmental factors [4]. Mastitis in dairy cows occurs worldwide and can be caused by infections with bacteria, yeast and fungi [5]. There are two main classes of mastitis. The first is clinical mastitis, which manifests signs observed in the affected animal or the milk. The second is subclinical mastitis, which produces no visible signs on

Corresponding Author: Hiwot Belete, Veterinary Drug and Feed Administration Control Authority, South Branch, Hawassa, Ethiopia, P.O. BOX: 31303.

the udder and milk except when using diagnostic tools. Despite many years of research, subclinical mastitis remains the most economically damaging and zoonotic potential disease for dairy industry and consumer worldwide irrespective of species of animal [6].

Economic losses due to mastitis are recognized worldwide as a major problem on dairy farms. Financial loss involved as a result of permanent loss of production in individual cows, discarded milk following antibiotic therapy, early culling of cows, veterinary costs, drug costs, increased labor, death of per acute cases and replacement costs [7]. In Ethiopia, Bishi [8] reported that the economic losses from clinical and subclinical mastitis in Addis Ababa milk shed were approximately 270 Ethiopian birr (ETB) per lactation. Another researcher, Mungube [9], estimated the economic losses from mastitis in the peri urban areas of Addis Ababa (milk production loss, treatment cost, withdrawal losses and culling losses) to be 210.8 ETB/cow/lactation from which milk production loss contributed to 38.4%.

Most commonly, mastitis begins as a result of penetration of the teat duct by pathogenic bacteria [10]. However, some viral diseases affecting the epithelium of the teat orifice are mentioned to result in or predispose to mastitis [11]. The most commonly incriminated and reported causes of mastitis include *Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus agalactiae, Streptococcus species, coagulase negative Staphylococci species, Escherichia coli, Micrococcus species, Corynebacterium species, Bacillus species, Pasteurella species, Klebsiella species, Mycoplasma species and Nocardia species [12, 13].*

Apart from mastitis-causing organisms, other bacteria that are pathogenic to humans may infect the udder. These include *Mycobacterium bovis*, which can cause tuberculosis in humans [14], *Brucella abortus* (the causative agent of brucellosis or undulant fever), *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Coxiella burnetii*and *Salmonella* species [15].

In practice, whether a case of mastitis is classified as clinical or subclinical often depends on how carefully the cow is observed when diagnosis is made [16]. Contagious mastitis is transmitted from cow to cow by pathogens for which the udder is the primary reservoir. It tends to be sub-clinical in nature. Bacteria that live on the skin of the teat and inside the udder [17] mostly cause it. The primary reservoir of contagious pathogens is the mammary gland itself [18]. Transmission occurs mainly at milking time through contaminated milking machines, clothes and hands of milkers or machine operators. There are several studies of bovine mastitis in Ethiopia. However, the types of bacteria incriminated in mastitis have not been well reviewed. Therefore the objectives of this review paper are:

- ✓ To review causes of bovine mastitis in Ethiopia
- ✓ To summarize the prevalence and risk factors associated with mastitis
- ✓ Finally, to show which type (contagious or environmental mastitis) is more important in the country.

Causes of Bovine Mastitis: The causes of mastitis may be either- infectious or non- infectious agents. The infectious ones are bacteria, fungi, yeasts and viruses. The non-infectious causes are injury and bruising/ rough milking [19]. A total of about 140 microbial species, sub species and serovars are isolated from the bovine mammary gland through micro biological techniques [7].

Bacterial Cause

Major Bacteria (Pathogens): The most important major pathogens involved in bovine mastitis worldwide are *Staphylococcus* aureus. Streptococcus uberis. Streptococcus dysgalactiae, Streptococcus agalactiae, Escherichia coli and Klebsiella spp [20]. These bacteria are capable of causing clinical mastitis, udder tissue damage and long term or chronic subclinical infections. The major bacteria can be split into two categories, those that are cow associated (or contagious) and those which are environmental in origin. The cow-associated bacteria are Staph. aureus and Strep. agalactiae while the main environmental bacteria are Strep. uberis, Strep. dysgalactiaeand coliforms [21].

Minor Bacteria (Pathogen): These bacteria cause less udder damage but cause slight to moderate increases in SCC. While these infections usually remain subclinical, clinical episodes can occur [21]. Some organisms, particularly non-hemolytic coagulase negative Staphylococci (CNS) and *Corynebacterium bovis* are almost ubiquitous inhabitants of the bovine mammary gland and are regarded as part of the normal flora [22].

Fungal Cause: Fungal infection of bovine mammary tissue is attributable to super infection by certain fungal species as consequence to strict mastitis control programmes that render natural udder immunity quiescent. Indiscriminate antibiotic therapy might also be a contributory factor for fungal super infection of udders.

Moreover factors like micronutrient inadequacy particularly that of vitamin A and zinc in cattle are precipitating. Contamination of teat dips, intramammary infusions and moldy surroundings play significant role. The important mycotic mastitogens are *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Candida albicans* [7].

Fungal invasion of bovine mammary tissue often occurs as mixed infection; however, fungi can be isolated as independent mastitogens as well from udder infections. Algal agent like Protothecazopfii is also incriminated in bovine mastitis, as a result of algal contamination of feed and fodder, drinking water and cattle premises by house hold sewage, discarded food items including bread, rotten vegetables and fruits and thrown out junk food items. The disease is more prevalent in the regions where cattle are often grazed in the vicinity of public parks, lakes and tourist places [23]. Aspergillus species are opportunistic fungi and rarely gain access to the udder through the same mechanism as yeasts. Cryptococcus neoformans has been reported to cause mastitis and present a public health hazard if contaminated raw milk is consumed [7].

Other Causes: Conditions that affect the milking process will increase the milking time and may predispose the udder to mastitis. Milk machine faults are responsible and the severe forms can predispose to mastitis and/or the development of black spot. Injury and bruising are non-infectious mastitis causes [19].

Risk Factors of Bovine Mastitis: There are plenty of/abundant predisposing factors that can influence emergence of mastitis at individual and herd level in dairy cattle. The factors may be physiological, genetic, pathological or environmental [24].

Many factors influence the incidence of mastitis, such as production stages of a cow, lactation number, herd management, husbandry environment temperature, humidity, seasons, breeds and milking characteristics [2, 25, 26]. Age of cows has significant effects in the occurrence of mastitis. It has been shown that manifestation of mastitis in infected quarters increases with advancement of age in cows, the highest occurrence are being observed in cows of more than 7 years of age [27]. This may be due to more dilated teat canals in older age, permanent udder tissue damage resulting from the primary infection or due to an increased cellular response to intra mammary infection [7]. Another reason may be effective innate host defense mechanism that makes the

younger animals less susceptible to infection [28]. Moreover, cow's parity has significant influence on prevalence of mastitis in farms. Cows in parity number more than 3 have considerably higher mastitis prevalence than those of parity 2-3 and primiparous one [25].

Berry and Meaney [29] showed that primiparous cows have stronger defense mechanism than multiparous cows that make them less susceptible to Mastitis. They have also reported that the risk of a cow developing in the subsequent month of lactation is also a function of number of cases of clinical mastitis in the previous lactation, number of clinical cases in the previous months of the current lactation and the occurrence of clinical mastitis in the current month. The risk of contracting clinical mastitis is greater if the animal experienced clinical mastitis in the previous stage of lactation.

The prevalence of infected quarter's increases with age, peaking at 7 years [30] these may be as a result of a greater cellular response to infection or of a greater amount of permanent udder damage after infection in older cows. Older cows, especially after four lactations were submitted to more lactation, increasing the risk for mastitis and udder tissue damage [31]. Predisposing factors in the management and environment cause mastitis by negatively influencing the local and systemic barriers and defense of the cow and/or by increasing exposure of the udder to micro-organisms. In general the risk factors of mastitis can be categorized into environmental factor, host factor and factor [24].

Types of Bovine Mastitis

Depending on Mode of Transmission: Based on mode of transmission causal agents of mastitis could be classified in to two namely: - Contagious mastitis caused by: *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* and Environmental mastitis caused by: Coliforms-*Eschrichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Enterobacter aerogenes* and Environmental *Streptococcus treptococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus faecalis* [32].

Environmental Mastitis: Environmental mastitis is caused by bacteria such as coli form bacteria (e.g. *E. coli*) of which the main cause is a contaminated environment e.g., manure. Dairy cows may lie down in an enclosed area with a lot of manure present; therefore the coli form like bacteria can get easy access to the udder and teat canal [33]. Environmental bacteria are present in the cows

Table 1: Summary of bovine mastitis causing Pathogens

Environmental pathogens	Contagious pathogens	References
Streptococcus uberis	Streptococcus dysagalactiae	[35, 36, 37]
Pseudomonas species	Corynebacterium species.	
Klebsiella pneumonia	Mycoplasma species.	
serratiamarcescens	Staphylococcus aureus	
Citrobacter species	Streptococcus agalactiae	
Enterococcus faecalis	Coagulase negative staphylococci (CNS)	
Enterococcus faecium		
Proteus species		
Arcanobacteriumpyogenes		
Escherichia coli		
Streptococcus species		
Yeast species.		
Streptococcus agalactiae		

Table 2: Over all prevalence of bovine mastitis in different parts of Ethiopia (2000-2019).

Study area	% of CM	% of SCM	Over all prevalence	Sources
Adami Tulu			38.2%	[12]
Cent. highlands of Ethiopia			46.6%	[40]
Southern Ethiopia	11.9%(116)	23.0%(224)	34.9%	[2]
Sebeta			52.8%	[18]
Selale,		89.5 %		[41]
Shashemene			37.1%	[42]
Holeta	10.0% (43/428)	34.8% (149/428)	71.1%	[43]
Holeta	10.3	33.8%	44.1%,	[38]
Gondar	.93	31.67%	32.6%,	[44]
WolaitaSodo	9 (2.6%)	94 (26.9%)	29.5%	[45]
Addis Ababa			373 (74.7%)	[46]
Addis Ababa	21.2%	46.8%	68%,	[47]
Adigrat			64.3	[48]
West Arsi Zone				
of Oromia	7.3% (26/358)	30.7% (110/358)	38%	[49]
Hawassa, Wondo Genet and ArsiNegelle	3.4%	59.2%	62.6%	[50]
WolaitaSodo	3.75	22.5	26.25	[51]
Gurage zone	62(31.2%)	137(68.8%)		[52]
West Hararghe Zone,	(4.6%)	(33.9%)	38.6%	[53]
Haramaya	6.77%	56.25%	63.02%	[54]

environment like *Streptococcus species* (*Streptococcus uberis*, *Streptococcus dysagalactia*) and environmental coliforms like *Eschercia coli*, *Klebsiella* species, *Enterobacter* species [34]. The majority of infections caused by environmental pathogens are clinical and of short duration [26].

Contagious Mastitis: Contagious mastitis are caused by bacteria which are spread from infected quarter to other quarters or from infected cow to healthy cows. The most common contagious mastitis pathogens are *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactia*. *Mycoplasma bovis* is less common cause of contagious mastitis [7].

Bovine Mastitis in Ethiopia

Prevalence: In Ethiopia, the prevalence of bovine mastitis has been investigated in different parts of the country [38]. Different studies conducted in different parts of

Ethiopia showed variable prevalence of mastitis depending on the type of farm and management systems [2]. The great variation in prevalence of bovine mastitis could result from differences in environment and management [22].

In most reports clinical mastitis is far lower than subclinical mastitis including Ethiopia [3, 18, 39].

Causes: Different studies in Ethiopia shows a range of bacteria are most common causes of mastitis. In general, mastitis studies in Ethiopia recorded *staphylococcus* spps specifically S. *aureus* is the predominant agent followed by streptococci spp [42, 43, 46, 53, 55, 56, 57]. In addition of these studies most of the papers reviewed here from different parts of the country, Ethiopia, shows *S. aureus* is the most prevalent (the most frequently isolated from mastitic milk in Ethiopia). Therefore, these shows that contagious mastitis is implicated as the most important in Ethiopia which need due attention (Table 3).

													F	Prevalen	ice (%)											
No	Authors	Study area	Sample size	S. areous	CIVE	S Aprius	S. epidermedius	Staphylococcus intermedius	Stapy.spp	Merococcus spp	Corynebacterium	A. progenes	Bacilhuspp	P. aeroginosa	Enterococcus frecalis	S. dysagalartiae	st .uberis	S. agalactice	Streptococci	Escherichia col	Klebsella	Proteus Spo	Eht. aerogen	Coltforms	Cont	Bm.
1	[13]	Repi and	111	40.5		,			,		5						,		16.5	,	,		,	0	45	25.5
2	[58]	hawassa and	124		36.7	1	1.5		,	,	7		7.6			5.6	5.1	13.1		10.1	4.1				59.4	32
3	[18]	Sebeta	134	44.03		,	14.93			6.72	.6	5.97				4.48	2.99	3.735	2.99	.75	1	,	1	1	74.49	12.7
4	[41]	Selate	122		9.42	5.07	34.41	23.19		00 Vi															77.89	
5	[59]	Sellale	121	42.6		,	22.1									30	10.3	42.8							137.5	10.3

Acad. J. Anim. Diseases 9(2): 75-84, 2020

		23																								
2	[58]	hawassa and	124		36.7	I	1.5	,		,	7		7.6		,	5.6	5.1	13.1		10.1	4.1			,	59.4	32
9	[18]	Sebeta	134	44.03		,	14.93			6.72	.6	5.97				4.48	2.99	3.735	2.99	. 75	,				74.49	12.7
4	[41]	Selate	122		9.42	5.07	34.41	23.19		80 Vi				,											77.89	
5	[59]	Sellale	121	42.6			22.1									30	10.3	42.8							137.5	10.3
	1													Prevale	ence (%)											
ONO	Authors	Study area	Sample aize	S' areous	CAR	S.Fiyius	S. epidernedius	S. irterneedius	dits ditag	Mierocoenus upp	Corprebacterium	A progenes	Bacilupp	P. aeroginosa	Braterice occass	$S_i dy_{2d} g_{id} active$	st.uberis	S. agalactiae	Streptococci	Escherichia col	Kiebsella	Proteus Spp	Ent.acrogen	Coliforms	Cont	Err.
9	[60]	Asella	144		41,4														248					338	41.4	58.6
7	[10]	Adama	66	147	21.2					159			2.2		106	6.4	3.3	11.6		7.5					56.1	21.4
00	[43]	Holeta	133	47.1	30.1					33	2		51	,					7.2	4.6	33		13		838	16.4
6	[62]	Gondar	105	16.5				,	,		,	,	,	,	,	14		159		,		,	1		46.4	
10	[42]	Shasheme	70	28.1					22.1	1.4			5	1.4			143	101		10.6	7.8		3.7		62.2	37.8
11	[63]	Hawassa	84	53.5			,		,		,		,	,				26.5		12.5	2.5		ۍ ا		30	20
-		e			1																					

Table 3: Prevalence of major bacteria isolated from bovine mastitic milk in Ethiopia (2000 - 2019)

														Prevale	nce (%)											
No	Authors	Study area	Sample size	S. areous	CHE	Sityaus	S. egadermecă us	S. irtermedius	Stapp.spp	dds staooocats film	Corprebacterium	A progenes	Eacilitzpp	P. aeroginosa	Entercenceus	S.dy:sagal.actiae	st aðeris	S. agalactice	วราชายออสร	Escherichia col	l'Oebsella	Proteus Spp	But aero gen	Californs	Const	Brav.
13	.46	Addis	80	28.7			×			Ω.	,	,	,	7.5	5	8.7	×	21.2			×				63.£	362
t,	[64]	Addis	18	21.13					8.45			20 %	5.63			5.63	€.23	1831		7.04	4.23				563	2395
51	[65]	Holeta	180	43.3			1			172					2.8	7.2	2.8	122			,				795	5.6
16	[56]	Areka	250	54.4			4.4	3.4			0.8					248	52	1.6		0.4	1				94,4	5.6
17	[48]	Adigra	8698	517													,	203		209					72	209
18	[66]	w.Gen	51	529					,		°	,	9.8				,		235	7.8	;				92.1	7.8
19	[49]	w Ars	83	44.6		,	x		,	,	,	,	,	3.6	x		,	181	م	£.8	3.6		,		79.8	20.4
20	[67]	WolkytaSo	134						43.54							,			28.29					19.35	43.54	48.24
											ĺ '									1.1		'				
														Prevale	ence (%)		I -						· .			
No	Authora	Study area	Sanple size	S. arecus	CNE	Shpus	S. epidermedius	S. wtermedius	Staty up	ได้จางคงอานร รูรูจ	Corprebucteriaum	A progenes	Baailturp	Prevale eroce a,	mre (%)	Saysagalactiae	ag uberis	S. agaiactiae	Streptonecci	Escherichia col	Klebsella	Proteus Spp	Estarnogen	Coliforms	Cont	Bav.
21 No	[51] Authors	WolataS Study area	133 Sample size	39.85 Z. areover	CME	2 Pyteus	S. epidermedius	. 2. มาส์อาหาอสภัณร	ditr shings	μάενοφοσικε εχρ	Corpreducternum	A pyogenes	Baailugp	Prevale citation ac	mre (%)	13.53 Schsagalacticae	at uberis	12.02 S. agaiactiae	Streptoeceri	8.27 Bischenichtia vol	. Klebselta	Protests Spp	និវាវ ៨ឧហ ខ្លួនអ	Colifornis	8571 Cont	8.27 Bay.
22 21 No	[68] [51] Authors	Addis WolaitaS Study area	193 133 Sample size	46.63 39.85 Z. areous	CMS	- Sibrus	. S. epidermediats	. S. irdermedius	Statis site .	10.69 Mácroccaus spp	- Corprebuterizam	. A progenes	Baaikupp	Prevale Provale	mce (%)	- 1353 Schsagalacticae	5.88 86 . Mberts	2.07 112.03 S. againchiae	- Streptoocci	- 8.27 Escherichus col	- Elebsatia	5 Proteats Spp	Evif carro geva	- Celifornas	77.67 85.71 Cont	5.69 8.27 Byte
23 22 21 No	[69] [63] [31] Authors	Esst Shewa Addis WolataS Shudy area	211 193 133 Sangle size	46 46 63 39 85 X areous	CME		. S. epidermedius		- data skitang	10 69 báchoocona 250	- Corpreductariaum	. A progenes	Baailkugp	Prevale crockio	mare (%)	13.53 <i>Stay</i> aagaachae	- 5.88	19.4 2.07 12.03 5. agaiactize	- Streptooocci	10.9 . 8.27 Escherichia col		- 5 Protests Spp	Evit aero gen	- Colifornas	89.1 77.67 85.71 Cont	10.9 5.69 8.27 Ban.
24 23 22 21 No	P ⁰] [69] [68] [51] Authors	WolataSodd EastShewa Addis WolataS Shudyarea	51 211 193 133 Sample size	21.5 46 46.63 39.83 3.3 areout		5.9 6.5	2.9	2.8		- 10 69 Mácrococara spo	- Corpreductorian	- A 27080143	Bazikuzp	Prevale g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g	mare (%)	7.8 - 13.53 Sayaagalachae	- 5.88	J7.64 19.4 2.07 12.03 3. agaiactuae	- Streptoeccri	13.72 10.9 . 8.27 Escherichus col	9.8 · Klebsella	- 5 Proteaus Spp	- Deficiences	- Colyborns	64.54 89.1 77.67 85.71 Cont	23.52 10.9 5.69 8.27 But.
25 24 23 22 21 No	[53] [70] [68] [51] Authors	Eale Zone WoltsinSodd EastShewa Addis WoltsinS Shudy area	364 51 211 193 133 Sangle size	3324 21.5 46 46.63 39.85 X. arcout		. 3.9 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.34 <i>3.9</i> 5. epidermedius	- <u>9</u> .8		- 10.69 Mácreoccata app	- Corpretectorison		- Baciliuge	Prevale eroquilitie a		5.77	3.8.5 - 5.88 5.88	17.64 19.4 2.07 12.03 .5. agaiactize		7.42 13.72 109 . 8.27 Bischerichia col		- 5 Proteaus Spp	- Deltashogens	Colytoms	8214 6454 891 7767 8571 Cont	16.22 23.52 10.9 5.69 3.27 Bute
26 25 24 23 22 21 No	[71] [53] [70] [69] [68] [51] Authors	sebsta EdicZone WoldiaSodd EastShava Adds WoldiaS Shudyarea	220 364 51 211 193 133 Stanple alte	25 3324 21.5 46 46.63 39.85 X. areoue	- CNS	5 - 3.9 vus	5.5 9.34 3.9 . S. endernedus	2.0 2.8		- 1069 Mácrococata spp	- Corpreductorizion		- Baailwapp	Prevale 87.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	mace (%)	5.77 - 13.53 S.dysagalactiae	I.e 335 - 558 at utberts	12.3 17.64 19.4 2.07 12.03 S.aghiachae	- Strepteocoti	5 7.42 13.72 109 . 8.27 Bachenichua col	- 2.75 2.8	5 Proteats Step		Coltforms	79.3 82.14 64.54 89.1 77.67 83.71 Cont	6.4 16.22 23.52 10.9 5.69 8.27 Bow.

Acad. J. Anim. Diseases 9(2): 75-84, 2020

Sample size in above (table-3) indicates: For No-3, 8, 10, 12-19 and 21-27 isolates identified and For No -1,2,4-7,9,11 and 20 mastitis positive cows subjected to bacteriological examination.

Staphylococcus Streptococcus frequent and colonization of teats (makes ease of access to the teat canal during milking or suckling and facilitates transmission from quarter to quarter and from cows to cows during milking) and their ability to exist intracelullarly and localize within in the udder hence, resistant to antibiotic treatment could also be important factor contributing to the predominance of these organisms. The high prevalence of S. aureus is from the absence of dry cow therapy and low culling rate of chronically infected animals practice [49], might be due to lack of effective udder washing and drying, post-milking teat dip and drying and hand washing. The Staphylococci have also adapted to survive in the udder; they usually establish chronic, subclinical, infection and are shed in the milk which serves as a source of infection for other health cows during the milking process [7].

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Ethiopian livestock sector has been contributing considerable portion to the economy of the country even if many diseases like mastitis, is known to be a complex and costly disease of dairy cows, have been affecting the sector. There are many factors (intrinsic and extrinsic) like age, parity, breed, stage of lactation, previous mastitis record, udder hygiene, drainage/slope, floor type and grazing system that were found to be risk factors significantly related to mastitis prevalence. The higher prevalence level of sub clinical mastitis as compared with clinical form in these reviewed areas indicates the magnitude of subclinical mastitis problem and low level of attention that is given to it in terms of diagnosis and treatment in the country. The predominant bacterial species isolated in the reviewed areas were Staphylococci followed by Streptococci species and coliforms showing the importance of contagious mastitis. Poor milking procedures, milk handling practices including the surrounding environment and treatment practices has greater influence on the bacterial contamination of raw milk and contributes to zoonotic pathogens. From the above facts the following recommendations are forwarded:

All dairy producers know that early detection of intramammary infection is important for selecting and implementing proper therapy. Unfortunately, most infections are not detected until they become clinical and by then extensive and costly damage can result. Routine milk cultures should be an ongoing part of any mastitis control program. The sampling strategies for any ongoing program require the input of the herd veterinarian as well as herd management.

- Since the bacteria isolated from cows' milk samples are types that cause both contagious and environmental mastitis, correct and good milking techniques are essential in the prevention strategies. Furthermore, regular screening for the detection of subclinical mastitis and proper treatment of the clinical cases as well as appropriate treatment of cows during dry and lactation period should be practiced.
- Awareness creation should be given to the dairy herds on the impacts of bovine mastitis
- All quarters of the udder of each cow should be periodically checked for the timely treatment and prevention.

REFERENCES

- 1. Central Statistical Authority (CSA), 2014. Report on livestock and livestock characteristics. Volume II Ethiopia Addis Ababa.
- 2. Biffa, D., E. Debela and F. Beyene, 2005. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Mastitis in Lactating Dairy Cows in Southern Ethiopia. International Journal of Appied. Res. Vet. Med., 3(3): 189-192.
- Jenkins, P.A., 1982. Diagnostic Bacteria, Biology of Microbacteria.Academic press London.Jirata Shiferaw, IndalemTelila. Prevalence of Bovine Mastitis and Assessment of Risk Factors in and Around Wolayta Sodo, Ethiopia.
- Gera, S. and A. Guha, 2011. Assessment of acute phase proteins and nitric oxide as indicator of subclinical mastitis in Holstein Haryana cattle. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 81: 1029-31.
- Bennedsgaard, T.W., C. Enevoldsen, S.M. Thamsborg and M. Vaarst, 2003. Effect of mastitis treatment and somatic cell counts on milk yield in Danish organic dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 86: 3174-183.
- Ojo, O.E., M.O. Oyekunle, A.O. Ogunleye and E.B. Otesile, 2009. Escherichia coli. O157: H7 in Food animals in part of south-western Nigeria: Prevalence and *in vitro* antimicrobial susceptibility. Trop. Vet., 26: 23-30.
- Radostits, D.M., D.C. Blood and C.C. Gay, 2007. Veterinary Medicine: A Textbook of Diseases of Cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horses. 10th (Edn.) Bailliere Tindall, London, UK, pp: 501-550, pp: 501-550.

- Bishi, A.B., 1998. Cross-sectional study and longitudinal prospectivestudy of bovine clinical and subclinical mastitis in peri-urban andurban dairy production system in Addis Ababa Region, Ethiopia. MscThesis, Addis Ababa Univ. Free Univ. Berlin, Germany.
- Mungube, E.O., 2001. Management and economics of dairy cow mastitisin urban and peri-urban areas of Addis Ababa milk shed. MSc.Thesis, Addis Ababa University FVM, Debrezeit, Ethiopia.
- Jubb, K.V.F. and P.C. Kennedy, 1993. Pathology of domestic animals. 4th (Edn.) Academic Press, INC. New York, San Francisco, London, pp: 430-435.
- Hillerton, J.E. and E.A. Berry, 2003. The management and treatment of environmental streptococcal mastitis. Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim Pract., 19: 157-169.
- Hussein, N., T. Yehualashet and G. Tilahun, 1997. Prevalence of mastitis in different local and exotic breeds of milking cows. Eth J. Agr. Sci., 16: 53-60.
- Workineh, S., M. Bayleyegn, H. Mekonnen and L. Potgieter, 2002. Prevalence and aetiology of mastitis in cows from two major Ethiopian dairies. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 34: 19-25.
- Griffths, M., 2007. The safety of milk and dairy foods: Is there anything new we should be aware of? Australian D. T.J., 62: 67-75.
- Pal, M., 2007. Zoonoses. 2nd Ed, Satayam Publishers, Jaipur, India.
- International Dairy Federation (IDF), 1987. Bovine Mastitis: Definition and Guidelines for Diagnosis. Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation, 24: 3-16.
- Fox, K.F. and M.J. Gay, 1993. Contagious mastitis, The Veterinary Clinics of North America, Food Animal Practice, Update On Bovine Mastitis, B Saunders Company, USAW, pp: 475-488.
- Sori, H., A. Zerihun and S. Abdicho, 2005. Dairy cattle mastitis in and around Sebeta, Ethiopia. Inter J. App. Res. Vet. Medicine, 3(4): 332-338.
- 19. Tyler, H.D. and E.M. Ensminger, 2006. Pearson Prentice Hall, 465-470, 213.
- Idriss, S., H.E.V. Foltys, V. Tančin, K. kirchnerová and K. Zaujec, 2013. Mastitis pathogens in milk of dairy cows in Slovakia. Slovak J. Anim. Sci., 46(3): 115-119.
- Livestock improvement (LI), 2001. Managing mastitis.
 3RD ED, A practical guide for New Zealand dairy farmers, New Zealand, pp: 9-10.

- 22. Gizat, A., 2004. A Cross-sectional Study of Bovine Mastitis in and Around Bahir Dar and Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of Major Pathogens, A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Addis Ababa University in the partial fulfillment for the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Tropical Veterinary Medicine, Debrezeit, Ethiopia.
- Marques, S., E. Silva, C. Kraft, J. Carvalheira and A. Videira, 2008. Bovine mastitis associated with Protothecablaschkeae. J. Clin Microbiol., 46: 1941-1945.
- Sordillo, L.M., 2005. Factors affecting mammary gland immunity and mastitis susceptibility. Liv Prod Sci.; 98: 89-99.
- Bedane, A., G. Kasim, T. Yohannis, T. Habtamu, B. Asseged and B. Demelash, 2012. Study on Prevalence and Risk Factors of Bovine Mastitis in Borana Pastoral and Agro-Pastoral Settings of Yabello District, Borana Zone, Southern Ethiopia. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 12(10): 1274-1281.
- Harmon, R.J., 1994. Symposium: Mastitis and genetic evaluation for somatic cell count. J. Dairy Sci., 77: 2103-2112.
- Schukken, Y.H., F.J. Grommers, D. Van de Geer and A. Brand, 1989. Incidence of clinical mastitis on farms with low somatic cell counts in bulk milk. Vet Rec., 125: 60-63.
- Dulin, A.M., M.J. Paape and S.C. Nickerson, 1988. Comparison of phagocytosis and chemiluminescence by blood and mammary gland neutrophils from multiparous and nulliparous cows. Am J. Vet. Res., 49: 172-177.
- 29. Berry, D.P. and W.J. Meaney, 2005. Cow factors affecting the risk of Clinical mastitis Irish J. Agri. and Food Res., 44: 147-156.
- Radostits, O.M., C.C. Gay, K.W. Hinchcliff and P.D. Constable, 2006. Veterinary Medicine: A Textbook of the Diseases of Cattle, Horses, Sheep, Pigs and Goats. 10th (edn), Elsevier Saunders, London, pp: 966-994.
- 31. Christa, P., 2008. The effect of coryne bacterium cutis lysate to control somatic cell counts in dairy cows, Dissertation submitted in accordance with the requirements for the degree Magister Scientiae Agriculturae to the Department of Animal, Wildlife and Grassland Sciences Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences University of the Free State Bloemfontein, pp: 25-26.

- Crist, W.L., R.J. Harmon, J. Oleary and A.J. MacAlister, 1997. Mastitis and its control. Cooperative extension service, university of Kentucky, college of agriculture. ASC., 140: 1-13.
- 33. Pretorious, C., 2008. The effect of corynebacterium of corynebacterium cutis lysate to control somatic cell counts in dairy cows, Dissertation submitted in accordance with the requirements for the degree Magister Scientiae Agriculturae. Available at etd. uovs. al.zal. pp: 77.
- Quinn, P.J., M.E. Carter, B. Markey and G.R. Carter, 2004. Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. London Wild life Publisher, pp: 95-101.
- Jones, G.M., 2006. Understanding the basics of mastitis. Virginia Cooperative Extension, Publication No. 404-233, Virginia State University, USA, pp: 1-7.
- Philpot, W.N. and S.C. Nickerson, 1999. Mastitis: Counter Attack. Westfalia Surge LLC. Illinois. USA.
- Philpot, W.N. and S.C. Nickerson, 1991. Mastitis: Counter attack. Naperville, Illionis, Babson Bros Co. pp: 1-150.
- Girma, D.D., 2010. Study on prevalence of mastitis on cross breed Dairy cow around Holeta areas. Global Veterinaria, 5(6): 318-323.
- Almaw, G., A. Zerihun and Y. Asfaw, 2008. Bovine mastitis and its associationwith selected risk factors in smallholder dairy farms in andaround Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. Tropical Animal Health. Prod., 40: 427-432.
- 40. Mungube, E.O., B.A. Tenhagen, F. Regassa, M.N. Kuyle, Y. Sheferaw, Kassa Τ. and M.P.O. Baumann, 2005. Reduced milk production in udder quarterswith subclinical mastitis and associated economic losses in crossed breed dairy cows in Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Health. Prod., 37(6): 503-512.
- Argaw, K. and T. Tolosa, 2007. Prevalence of sub clinical mastitis in small holder dairy farms in Selale, North Shewa Zone, Central Ethiopia. The Internet Journal of Veterinary Medicine. Number 1.
- 42. Abera, M., B. Demie, K. Aragaw, F. Regassa and A. Regassa, 2010. Isolation and identification of Staphylococcus aureus from bovine mastitic milk and their drug resistance patterns in Adama town, Ethiopia. Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health, 2: 29-34.
- Mekibib, B., M. Furgasa, F. Abunna, B. Megersa and A. Regassa, 2010. Bovine Mastitis: Prevalence, Risk Factors and Major Pathogens in Dairy Farms of Holeta Town, Central Ethiopia. Vet. World, 3(9): 397-403.

- 44. Nibret, M., A. Yilikal and B. Kelay. 2011. Antimicrobial susceptibility of mastitis pathogens from small holder dairy herds in and around Gondar, Ethiopia. J. Anim Vet. Adva., 10(12): 1616-1622.
- 45. Mulugeta, Y. and M. Wassie, 2013. Prevalence, risk factors and major bacterial causes of bovine mastitis in and around WolaitaSodo, Southern Ethiopia. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 7(48): 5400-5405.
- 46. Zerihun, T., T. Aya and R. Bayecha, 2013. Study on prevalence of bacterial pathogens and associated risk factors of bovine mastitis in small holder dairy farms in and around Addis Ababa Ethiopia. The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 23(1): 50-55.
- Alebachew, T. and A. Alemu, 2015. Prevalence of Bovine Mastitis in Lactating Cows and its Public Health Implications in Selected Commercial Dairy Farms of Addis Ababa, Global Journal of Medical Research: G Veterinary Science and Veterinary nd Medicine Volume 15 Issue 2 Version 1.0 Year, Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA), Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888.
- Zenebe, N., T. Habtamu and B. Endale, 2014. Study on bovine mastitis and associated risk factors in Adigrat, Northern Ethiopia. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res., 8: 327-31.
- Umer, S., Z. Tilahun, A. Gizat, E. Abdela, D. Haimanot, K. Tadele, G. Firmaye and K. Girma, 2015. Prevalence, Risk Factors and Major Bacterial Causes of Bovine Mastitis in West Arsi Zone of Oromia Region, Southern Ethiopia. Nat. Sci., 13(8): 19-27]. (ISSN: 1545-0740). http:// www.sciencepub.net/nature.
- 50. Abebe, R., H. Hatiya, M. Abera, B. Megersa and K. Asmare, 2016. Bovine mastitis: Prevalence, risk factors and isolation of Staphylococcus aureus in dairy herds at Hawassa milk shed, South Ethiopia: A cross sectional study. BMC Vet. Res., 12: 270.
- Alagaw, T., T. Gebregergis and H. Tamirat, 2017. Cross-Sectional Study on Prevalence, Risk Factors and MajorBacterial Causes of Bovine Mastitis in and Around WolaitaSoddo, Southern Ethiopia. ISSN 2224-3208 (Paper) ISSN 2225-093X (Online)
- 52. Melesse, E., A. Yeshihareg and T. Kassahun, 2018. Prevalence of mastitis at cow and quarter level and correlated threat factors in selected districts of Guraghe zone, Ethiopia.

- 53. Kemal, K.E., A. Birihanu and K. Taye, 2018. Prevalence, Risk Factors and Major Bacterial Causes of Bovine Mastitis in Smallholder Dairy Farms in and around Sinana District, Bale Zone, South Eastern Ethiopia. Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896.
- 54. Taresa Fayera, 2019. ISolation and Identification of Streptococcus Agalactiae and Associated Risk Factors in Bovine Mastitis in and Around Haramaya District, Eastern Ethiopia. Asian Journal of Medical Science Research & Review, 01: 01.
- Belayneh, R., B. Kelay and W. Alehegne, 2013. Dairy cows mastitis survey in Adama Town, Ethiopia, 5(10): 281-287.
- 56. Gebremichael, L., B. Deressa, F. Begna and A. Mekuria, 2013. Study on prevalence of bovine mastitis in lactating cows and associated risk factors in and around Areka town, Southern of Ethiopia. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res., 7: 5051-6.
- Alekish, M.O., K.M. Al-Qudah and A. Al-Saleh, 2013. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among bacterial pathogens isolated from bovine mastitis in northern Jordan. Revue Méd. Vét., 164: 319-326.
- Kerro, D. and F. Tareke, 2003. Bovine mastitis in selected areas of Southern Ethiopia. Trop Anim Health Prod, 35(3): 197-205.
- Getahun, K., B. Kelay, M.Bekana and F. Lobago., 2008. Bovine mastitis and antibiotic resistance patterns in Selalle smallholder dairy farms, central Ethiopia.
- 60. Lakewm, Tolosatand Tigrew. 2009. Prevalence and Major Bacterial Causes of Bovine Mastitis in Asella, South Eastern Ethiopia.
- Mekonnen, H. and A. Tesfaye, 2010. Prevalence and etiology of mastitis and related management factors in market oriented small holder dairy farms in Adama, Ethiopia. Revue Méd. Vét., 161(12): 574-579.
- 62. Moges, N., A. Yilikal and B. Kelay, 2011. A Cross Sectional Study on the Prevalence of Sub Clinical Mastitis and Associated Risk Factors in and Around Gondar, Northern Ethiopia, International Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 3(6): 455-459, ISSN: 2041-2908, ©Maxwell Scientific Organization.
- 63. Megersa, B., M. Admasu, A. Mesele, R. Alemayehu and A. Fufa, 2012. Mastitis in Lactating Cows at Hawassa Town: Prevalence, Risk Factors, Major Bacterial Causes and Treatment Response to Routinely Used Antibiotics.

- 64. Abunna, F., F. Gemechis, M. Bekele and R. Alemayehu, 2013. Bovine Mastitis: Prevalence, Risk Factors and Bacterial Isolation in Small-Holder Dairy Farms in Addis Ababa City, Ethiopia.
- 65. Duguma, A., T. Tadele and Y. Aster, 2013. Prevalence of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis on cross bred dairy cows at Holleta Agricultural Research Center, Central Ethiopia.
- Kassa, F., A. Alemu, A. Mesele and K. Ashenafi, 2014. Longitudinal Study of Bovine Mastitis in Hawassa and Wendo Genet Small Holder Dairy Farms
- Jirata, S. and T. Indalem, 2016. Prevalence of Bovine Mastitis and Assessment of Risk Factors in and Around WolaytaSodo, Ethiopia.International Journal of Homeopathy & Natural Medicines. 2(1): 1-7. doi: 10.11648/j.ijhnm.20160201.11
- Tesfaye, B., 2017. Bovine Mastitis: Prevalence, Risk Factors, Major Pathogens and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test on the Isolates around Addis Ababa, Central Ethiopia. Vet. Sci. Res., 2(5): 000147.
- Hajie, S. and G. Teka, 2017. Epidemiology of Bovine Mastitis and Associated Risk Factors in East Shewa Zone of Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. J. Vet. Sci. Res., 2(2): 000129.
- Yohannes, K. and A. Biruk, 2018. Prevalence of Bovine Mastitis in lactating Cows and Associated risk factors in and around WolaytaSoddo, Southern Ethiopia. Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci., 5(12): 60-69. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijarbs.2018.05.12.008
- 71. Bekele, T., L. Matios, T. Getachew, K. Tafesse, O. Abebe, Y. Letebrihan, T.Mekdes and D. Tilaye, 2019. Study on bovine mastitis with isolation of bacterial and fungal causal agents and assessing antimicrobial resistance patterns of isolated Staphylococcus species in and around Sebeta town, Ethiopia.
- Bisrat, M., 2019. Incidence and Associated Risk Actors of Heifer Mastitis in Dairy Farms at Mekelle Town, Northern Ethiopia. Vet. Sci. Res., 4(2): 000176.