

## Pandey-Sharma's Geometry

<sup>1</sup>Z. Ahsan, <sup>2</sup>J. López-Bonilla and <sup>2</sup>R. López-Vázquez

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202 002, India

<sup>2</sup>ESIME, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México

**Abstract:** We show that the Pandey-Sharma's spacetime is a counterexample for a conjecture of Boyer-Plebański and for the Sen's theorem.

**Key words:** Boyer-Plebański's conjecture • Sen's theorem • 4-space of class one • Pandey-Sharma spacetime

### INTRODUCTION

Pandey-Sharma [1] considered the following geometry with spherical symmetry [2]:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) - (1 + B(t) r^2)^2 dt^2, \quad (1)$$

for a conformally flat perfect fluid distribution with zero density, where  $B(t) \neq 0$  is an arbitrary function. The corresponding non-null components of Riemann and Ricci tensors and the scalar curvature are given by  $[(x^a) = (r, \theta, \varphi, t)]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_{44} = -3R_{1414} = -6B(1 + Br^2), \quad R_{33} = \sin^2\theta R_{22} = r^2 \sin^2\theta R_{11}, \\ R = 6R_{11} = \frac{12B}{1 + Br^2}, \quad R_{3434} = \sin^2\theta R_{2424} = r^2 \sin^2\theta R_{1414}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with the Weyl tensor equals to zero.

On the other hand, we have the Boyer-Plebański's conjecture [3]:

$$\text{"If } R_4 \text{ is conformally flat with spherical symmetry, then it has class one"} \quad (3)$$

therefore (1) should be of class one, however, Pandey-Sharma [1] proved that this is false, that is, (1) does not accept local and isometric embedding into  $E_5$ . In other words, (1) is a counterexample for the Boyer-Plebański's conjecture; besides, this spacetime also shows the insufficiency of the conditions of Karmarkar [4] because it verifies such conditions but has not class one.

The theorem of Sen [5] is given by:

"If  $R_4$  is conformally flat and its curvature tensor has the structure:

$$R_{ijkm} = E(R_{ik}R_{jm} - R_{im}R_{jk}) + F(g_{ik}g_{jm} - g_{im}g_{jk}), \quad (4)$$

with  $E \neq 0$  and  $F$  scalars, then  $R_4$  has class one".

The quantities (2) permit to show that the Riemann tensor verifies the expression (4) for  $E = -\frac{3}{R}$  and

$F = \frac{R}{12}$ , however, (1) is not of class one and thus it is

a counterexample for the Sen's theorem.

The perfect fluid for (1) has zero density, but it is interesting to note that Gupta-Pandey [6] constructed spherical symmetric conformally flat metrics of class one for perfect fluid distribution with density  $\neq 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Pandey, S.N. and S.P. Sharma, 1981. Insufficiency of Karmarkar's conditions, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 14(2): 113-115.
2. Modak, B., 1984. Cosmological solution with an energy flux, *J. Astrophys. Astr.*, 5: 317-322.
3. Boyer, R.G. and J. Plebański, 1993. Conformal curvature and spherical symmetry, *Rev. Mex. Fís.*, 39(6): 870-892.
4. Karmarkar, K.R., 1948. Gravitational metrics of spherical symmetry and class one, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, A27: 56-60.
5. Sen, R.N., 1966. On a characterization of conformally-flat Riemannian spaces of class one, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 6: 172-178.
6. Gupta, Y.K. and S.N. Pandey, 1976. Embedding class of a conformally flat perfect fluid distribution, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Maths.*, 7(2): 190-194.