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Abstract: The term ‘al-Sābiʿīn,’ as literally employed in the Qur’ānic commentaries, denotes “peoples who shift from one religion to another” or “peoples who take on a new religion other than their own.” This term is the plural of sabiʿ and it derives from the root sab, which signifies “turn to” or “lean towards.” The term al-Sābiʿīn as applied in the Qurʾān indicates various technical meanings since almost all commentators of the Qurʾān and the earliest scholars have left the identification of al-Sābiʿīn vague. Such a vague identification is plausible since al-Sābiʿīn is represented by a conglomerate of various views comprising remnants of sects who were oriented to cultic practices, ancient heathen sects of several nationalities, namely Greeks, Persians and Indians and sects who were Neo-Platonic in their origin and character. This paper thus has a distinct purpose. It attempts to exhibit the features of the Sabians as perceived and conceived by the Quranic Commentators, Theologians and Jurists. It is hoped that the paper will provide a preliminary yet clear understanding of the Sabians as interpreted by them.
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INTRODUCTION

Al-Sābiʿīn (the Sabians) is illustrated in three Qur’ānic passages. In all three places, the term al-Sābiʿīn is mentioned along with the Jews and the Christians. One of them is presented as follows:

Verily, those “who have attained faith” (ṣiṣṭān) as well as “those who follow the “Jewish faith” (al-adhāna hādā) and “the Christians” (al-nasrān) and “the Sabians” (al-sābiʿīn)—“all who believe in Allāh and the Last Day and work righteousness” (man amana billāh wa al-yuma al-aḥār wa ‘amila sāhiḥūn)—shall have their reward with their Lord, on them shall be no fear nor shall they grieve. (Ṣūrat al-Baqarah, 2:62) [1].

The term ‘al-Sābiʿīn,’ as literally employed in the Qurʾānic commentaries, denotes “peoples who shift from one religion to another” or “peoples who take on a new religion other than their own.” This term is the plural of sabiʿ and it derives from the root sab, which signifies “turn to” or “lean towards” [2-7].
between the Jews and the Zoroastrians, (g). They are the people falling in between the Christians and the Zoroastrians, (h). They are the people who believe in monotheism but they belong neither to the Jewish faith, nor to the Christians, nor to the Zoroastrians, nor do they have any revealed book and specific religious laws, (i). They are the people who are monotheists but worship stars and angels and (j). They are the people who are dualists and do not have any scripture [2].

Al-Tūn’s commentary on the Sabians closely follows the pattern set by al-Tabarî. He, too, is fascinated with the identity of the Sabians and devoted a good proportion of his commentary on this term to them, recounting the various theories that have been proposed to establish the identity of this group. After enumerating several definitions of the Sabians, which are rather similar to that of al-Tabarî, he strongly propounded that they are not the People of the Book. Such a rebuff is a sign of al-Tūn’s stricter definition of the People of the Book than most commentators are [3, 9].

With al-Zamakhsharî, the various identifications of the Sabians are reduced to a single choice. Instead of prolonging these identifications, he simply puts that the Sabians are those who worship angels, belonging neither to the Jews nor to the Christians [7, 10]. Following closely the pattern left by al-Zamakhsharî is al-Râzî who briefly notes that the Sabians are of the people belonging to the Zoroastrians. They worship angels, revere the sun and perform five times daily prayer facing it [5]. Ibn Kathîr’s preferred elucidation of the Sabians is that taken from several of his predecessors. However, in enumerating the characteristics of this group he has cited one crucial assertion that they are of the people whose genuine prophetic message has not reached them [11].

The two twentieth-century commentators, those of Muhammad ‘Abduh Khayyuddin and Muhammad Rashîd Rida also have good discussion on the Sabians. Muhîammad ‘Abduh, as noted previously, espouses that the Sabians belong to the category of the People of the Book on the basis that they are mentioned along with the Jews and the Christians.

Muhammad Rashîd Rida also affirms that the Sabians belong to the category of the People of the Book. However, he enters the treatment of the Sabians with an extended consideration of the prophetic void between ‘Isâ and Muhammad (Peace Be Upon Them). According to him those people who are born during the arrival of no prophet between these two are considered as Ahl Fatrah (People of the Interval). He, however, limits the People of the Interval only to the people like the Arabs who believe in a prophet but do not have specific laws to exercise with, or to the people where authentic prophetic message has not reached them [12].

Concerning the Jews and Christians who have the benefit of exposure to both the teachings of Mûsâ and ‘Isâ (Peace Be Upon Them), they are not considered Ahl Fatrah for they have received revelations and laws which later have suffered from neglect and alteration. Moreover, should they want to seek the truth, they can still search it from their religions which are not entirely distorted and blocked and from the people who are still exercising the true teachings of these prophets. Suffice it to say, since the original teachings of their prophets are still available, the Jews and Christians are thus excluded from belonging to the category of the People of the Interval. However, for those of the Jews and Christians who have never been exposed to the teachings of these prophets, they are considered ‘saved’ (nisâ’în) as long as some other authentic prophetic message has not reached them [12].

Having clarified the Jews and Christians, Muhammad Rashîd Rida then views the Sabians from two perspectives. First, he views them as a possible result of Christian heresy, noting that should the Sabians place faith in Christianity, they are not considered as Ahl Fatrah since they have adhered to corrupted religion. Second, he takes them as adherents of an independent religious tradition, likenks them to the original monotheistic Arabs (hunafa’) and put them as a part of Ahl Fatrah [9, 12].

With al-Tabîtabâ’î, the exegetical delineation of the Sabians takes a different turn. In his treatment of the Sabians, he does not echo Muhammad Rashîd Rida’s earlier arguments. Yet, he firmly opines that they are of the dualist people (al-Wathniyyah) who worship idols and stars [13].

Asad, in this respect, propounds that the Sabians would probably be a monotheistic religious group falling in between Judaism and Christianity. The term al-Sabî’ûn would probably derived from the Aramaic verb “tsebha’”, which signifies “he immersed himself in waters.” Pushing the same point still further, Asad asserts that this term would indicate that they were followers of Prophet Yahîyâ (Peace Be Upon Him) and this can be verified through the community of the Mandaeans who are still living in Iraq. However, these Sabians are not to be perplexed with the so-called “Sabians of Harrân,” a gnostic sect that existed in the early centuries of Islam. In effect, the Sabians of Harrân have deliberately adopted the name of the true Sabians in order to obtain the advantages accorded by the Muslims to the followers of every monotheistic faith, Asad stresses [14].


Al-ṣabīḥūn (The Sabians) According to the Theologians:

In discovering the nature of the Sabians apropos the theologians, it would be useful to start with al-Bīrūnī’s exploitation of them since he is one of the earliest scholars who have conducted a series of researches in religionswissenschaft. Al-Bīrūnī, in his views of the Sabians, organized them into two groups, that is, the polytheistic Sabians and the monotheistic Sabians.

Concerning the polytheistic Sabians, he contends that this group was derived from a sect called al-Harrāniyyah (the Harranians) whose name probably was associated with the pseudo-prophet of India, Budhasaf, or taken from Haran Ibn Terah, a brother of Ibrahim. According to al-Bīrūnī this group cannot be the Sabians referred to in the Qur’ān since its followers, the Harranians, are heathens and idolaters who worship the sun, the moon, the planets and the primal elements as the ultimate holy beings. Apart from being idolaters, they also believe that some of their philosophers and sages such as Hermes, Pythagoras, Agathodaemon and Baba are prophets and hence adopted their teachings. These Harranians, contends al-Bīrūnī, are more established than the original Sabians and it is they who adopted the name “Sabians” in order to attain the dhimmi status (the people whose protection is covenanted on behalf of Allāh and His Prophet) under the Abbasid [15, 16].

The monotheistic Sabians, according to al-Bīrūnī, probably were the remnants of the Jewish tribes who chose to remain in Babylonia while the rest sojourned to Jerusalem during the time of Cyrus and Artaxerxes. In Babylonia, they were attracted to the rites of the Magians. In Babylonia, they were attracted to the rites of the Magians [14-18] and, thus, adopted a system which is a mixture of Magism and Judaism like that of the Samaritans in Syria. Relating some information concerning their faith, al-Bīrūnī writes, they professed monotheism, addressed Allāh metaphorically and exempted Him from anything that is bad, unjust and wrong. Despite such a monotheistic belief, they highly admired the Light and they attributed the rule of the universe to the celestial globe and its bodies, which they considered as living, speaking, hearing and seeing beings. They name their temples and images after the celestial bodies and their priests made offerings and sacrifices to these images as well as to the stars. Among their rituals are the three obligatory prayers as well as three supplementary prayers, which they performed once a day facing toward the North Pole. They did not circumcise but do have diet restrictions. Their penal code and regulations concerning women were close to that of the Muslims whereas others, such as believing that corpses are polluted, were more similar with the injunctions in the Torah [15, 16].

With Ibn Hazm, the two groups of Sabians are reduced to a single choice. In other words, instead of commenting on the Harranians he directly proceeds with the real Sabians, which he considers as belonging to the People of the Book. However, his treatment of the Sabians is rather vague and disorganized. He neither provides sufficient information concerning their identification and history nor indicates any detailed doctrines and scriptures of their religion. Rather, he forthrightly writes that these peoples belong to one of the oldest religious traditions in the world. They believed in more than One Sustainer of the universe. They revered the Seven Stars and the twelve Signs of Zodiac. They put pictorial representation of angels and images in their temple. This practice, insists Ibn Hazm, leads to the tradition of idolatry all over the world. However, in terms of their rituals, they were close to the Muslims. They fast in Ramadān and refrained themselves from taking the meat of the dead animal and of swine. They revered both Mecca and the Ka’bah and they perform prayer towards it.

With the passage of time, pursues Ibn Hazm, they began to make changes to their beliefs by altering their religious laws and code of life, thus, making them worse than their former beliefs. Hence, Allāh sends them Prophet Ibrahim [5,11] (Peace Be upon Him) with the straight and true religion. His mission, thus, is to right the wrongs in the Sabians religious code of life. According to Ibn Hazm, the remnants of this group who are still closest to their original teachings are known as Hunafā’ (Monotheistic Believers) during the apostle of Prophet Muhammad (Peace Be Upon Him) [19].

With al-Shahrastānī, however, the nature of the Sabians changed. As noted, instead of categorizing them as belonging to the People of the Book, he perspicaciously refines them into new category, which he calls the People of a Dubious Book. Such a designated name, reiterates al-Shahrastānī, is due to their role as the holders of sacred scrolls. His portrayal of the Sabians, though brief and less comprehensive, nevertheless still demonstrates his first hand knowledge of them. In describing the Sabians, he, too, does not mention the Harranian Sabians. Instead, he forthrightly points to the Sabians of the People of a Dubious Book.
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He writes that there are two major groups of people during the prophethood of Prophet Ibrāhīm (Peace Be Upon Him). The first group is called Hunafā’ (Monotheistic Believers). They are those who retain the original teachings of their earlier prophets. With the advent of Prophet Ibrāhīm (Peace Be Upon Him), they, thus, place faith in him. The second group is the Sabians, the worshipper of the Seven Stars and the believer in more than One Sustainer of the universe. It is unto this group that Prophet Ibrāhīm (Peace Be Upon Him) has greatly summoned to the true faith. He then corrects what the Sabians had invented in terms of their reverence and worship of the stars and the idols. From thereon, those who followed Prophet Ibrāhīm (Peace Be Upon Him) were known as the true believers, i.e., Muslims, whereas those who chose to disbelieve in him still lived in error and went astray. Al-Shahristānī, noticing that the Sabians have received most of their influences from the earlier prophets who come with the sacred scrolls, asserts that it is on this basis that they are designated as the People of a Dubious Book [7, 20].

**Al-sābiʿūn (The Sabians) According to the Jurists:**

As might be expected, the nature of the Sabians in accordance to the jurists offers repetitive information. Needless to say, none of the jurists comment at length on the Sabians except that they echo the various interpretations that have already been discussed. However, considering the fact that there are still differences of opinions emerging from their treatment of the Sabians, an attempt is made to scantily present their point of view.

Abū Hanīfah initiates his examination of the Sabians by classifying them as the People of the Book [21, 22]. They are categorized under Christian heresies that recite Kitāb al-Zabur (the Psalms of David) instead of Kitāb al-Injīl (the Evangel). Although Abū Hanīfah considers them as the People of the Book, yet he still maintains that they venerate stars and idols [7, 22]. Quite different interpretations are advocated by his successors, the Hanafites. Among them Abū Yūsuf Ya’qūb Ibn Ibrāhīm al-Anṣārī (d.182/798) and Muhammad Ibn al-Hasan al-Shaibānī (d.187/802) who, by contrast, assert that the Sabians are not the People of the Book. Quoting the same view but with a more stringent approach is Abū al-Hasan al-Karkhi ‘Ubaydullāh Ibn al-Husain, another prominent successor of Abū Hanīfah. Viewing the Sabians from two perspectives, he espouses that should the Sabians adhere to Christianity they are considered the People of the Book. Otherwise, they are the stars worshipper [7, 23].

Mālik Ibn Anas (d.179/795) differed from Abū Hanīfah. He offers that the Sabians are those of the polytheists who do not have any revealed book and specific religious laws. The same pattern is followed by the Malikites who disagreed with Abū Hanīfah in terms of the Sabians being a Christian heresy. Instead, they strongly affirm their master’s view that the Sabians are nothing but idols worshippers whose religion had suffered neglect and adulteration [7, 23].

Whilst al-Shāfi‘ī postpones his view of this group due to its obscure identity [23], the Shāfi‘ites in turn stipulate that should the Sabians adhere closely to the fundamental teachings of Christianity, they are considered part of Christianity. In contrast, should the Sabians adhere only to the branches of Christianity teaching, they are incontrovertibly not one of them [7]. Quoting the same view without much assessment are Ahmad Ibn Hanbal (d.241/855) and his successors, the Hanbalites. They merely reaffirm and accept previous views of this group which are taken mostly from that of Abū Hanīfah and the Shāфи‘ites [7, 23].

**CONCLUSION**

Having presented the range of meanings offered for the term al-Sābiʿūn, now it is convenient to administer an evaluation of the Sabians. It is apparent that the above scholars have two general opinions. First, they agree that the Harranian Sabians are polytheists and they are not the Sabians referred to in the Qur’ān. Second, all of them agree that the Sabians referred to in the Qur’ān are the “Monotheistic Sabians” such as those Arabs, the Jews and Christians, who followed the religion of Ibrāhīm, Mūsā and ʿĪsā (Peace Be Upon Them) before its corruption and adulteration. It is these Sabians along with the Jews and the Christians that Allāh praises as not only true Muslims but also considered among those who conscious of Allāh (muttaqūn) who follow the divine and prophetic guidance (hudā).
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