World Applied Sciences Journal 32 (10): 2119-2122, 2014 ISSN 1818-4952 © IDOSI Publications, 2014 DOI: 10.5829/idosi.wasj.2014.32.10.1235

A Survey of Aflatoxins, Ochratoxin and Zearalenone Contamination in Imported and Iranian Rice in Iran

¹Hamidreza Tavakoli, ¹Hosein Rostami, ²Ali Zabihi and ³Zahra Bitarafan

¹Health Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
²Department of Food Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran
³Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Shahrood University, Shahrood, Iran

Abstract: Aflatoxin, ochratoxin and zearalenone are major mycotoxins in cereal grains like rice. Their existence above standard limits in food can result in serious and adverse effects like cancer. The aim of this study was to survey aflatoxins B1, B2 and G1, ochratoxin A and zearalenone contamination of imported and Iranian rice which were being consumed in military centers of Tehran, Iran. In this study, 80 rice samples (62 imported and 18 Iranian) were randomly collected and their contamination with aflatoxin B1, B2 and G1, ochratoxin A and zearalenone was determined using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method. Ochratoxin A and zearalenone were found in none of the samples. However, 54.8% samples of imported rice and 22.2% samples of Iranian rice were contaminated with aflatoxin. The highest and the lowest amounts of aflatoxin were 2.46 and 0.34 ng/g in imported rice and 1.09 and 0.79 ng/g in the Iranian rice, respectively. The most abundant aflatoxin in both imported and Iranian samples was aflatoxin B1. The findings of this study showed imported and Iranian rice are contaminated with aflatoxin, especially aflatoxin B1, but contamination of the evaluated samples were lower than maximum tolerated levels established by Iranian National Standards Organization.

Key words: Rice · Mycotoxin · Aflatoxin · Ochratoxin · Zearalenone · HPLC

INTRODUCTION

Rice is a semi aquatic, annual grass which can be grown under a broad range of climatic conditions. Rice and other agricultural commodities are susceptible to mould attack during the period of growth, storage and consumption [1]. Therefore, the health of this product is of great importance [2-3]. Aflatoxins, ochratoxins and zearalenone are the most important mycotoxins that are produced following the growth of different species of aspergillus, penicillium and fusarium in different foods [4-6]. Among aflatoxins, aflatoxin B_1 (AFB₁) is the most important of the aflatoxins, considered from the viewpoints of both toxicology and occurrence and has the highest potency as a toxin [7-8]. These toxins are produced in foods in different stages of storage, production, or processing. Optimal conditions of aflatoxin production are temperature more than 30°C and humidity more than 90% [6, 9]. Ochratoxins are

produced by aspergillus species. especially aspergillus ochraceus. Type A is the most toxic of ochratoxins found naturally in food. Zearalenone is also a toxin that is produced by fusarium graminearum and fusarium culmorum. Cooking heat does not decrease the toxicity of these toxins. Mycotoxins are cytotoxic, genotoxic, mutagenic, teratogenic and carcinogenic and cause acute renal and hepatic injury in high doses and hepatic cancer in low doses [6, 9-10]. Many studies worldwide have shown the contamination of cereal grains and rice with these toxins. For example Reddy et al. assessed aflatoxins in rice samples of India in 2008 and confirmed their contamination with aflatoxin B1 [11-15]. Most studies in Iran have focused on the contamination of the dairy products with aflatoxin. However, Mazaheri analyzed imported rice samples for aflatoxins [16]. In the military forces, only one study was conducted by Riazipour et al., (2009) on T2 toxin in rice using ELISA [17].

Corresponding Author: Hamidreza Tavakoli, Health Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Our study was aimed to compare the mycotoxins contamination in imported rice and Iranian rice which were being consumed in military centers of Tehran, Iran. Aflatoxins B1, B2 and G1, ochratoxin A and zearalenone were evaluated in the rice samples using High performance liquid chromatography (HLPC).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The eighty rice samples (n=80) were randomly collected from the big storehouses and kitchens of Tehran according to the standard number 2581 of the Iranian National Standards. The samples were evaluated for mycotoxins (aflatoxins, ochratoxin A and zearalenone) according to the standard number 6872 of the Iranian National Standards Organization using HPLC.

In this method, the toxin is first extracted using methanol/water (8:2) and the resulted extract is diluted with water to reach a specific dilution. The diluted extract is passed through immunoaffinity columns containing antibodies specific to each toxin; therefore, the toxin in the extract (antigen) binds to the antibodies in the columns. The toxin bound to the antibody in the column is washed by passing methanol through the columns, stored in a vial and diluted with water. Reverse Phase HPLC (RP-HPLC) is used to determine the amount of the toxin, which is equipped with post-column derivatization. Post column derivatization brominates these toxins and converts them to compounds with more fluorescence than the primary toxins; therefore, the peaks become visualizable. The amount of the toxin is calculated through comparison of the area under standard curve or the height of the standard curve with that of the unknown sample, considering the dilution coefficient.

The labeled samples ground completely and 4 g was transferred to the laboratory and ground completely. In the next step, we weighed 4 (± 0.1) g of the ground sample and transferred it to the Falcon tube and added 1 g NaCl. After that, we added 4 ml distilled water and 16 ml pure methanol to the mixture. The Falcon tubes were shaken for 30 min and centrifuged for 10 min and then filtered using filter paper. We inserted 34 ml PBS in the same number of Falcon tubes as the sample tubes, took 6 ml from the sample Falcons and added to the Falcons containing 34 ml PBS and shook the tubes firmly to mix. After preparing the toxin column, we took 10 ml of the 40 ml and added to the column. Then, we added 5 ml PBS to wash the column and wind dried it for 10-15 seconds. In this stage, we placed the column on the 4 ml vial and added 500 µL pure HPLC grade methanol (MeOH-HPLC) to the column on the vial. Then, we added 750 µL HPLC grade methanol to the columns and wind dried the columns from above. In this stage, we added 1750 µL acid 0.1% to the vial and placed the vials in the Vortex for some seconds. In the end, we used the 1 ml vials for injection into the HPLC unit and recorded the results after a few minutes. The data were analyzed with SPSS software using t-test.

RESULTS

The mean values of aflatoxins, ochratoxin A and zearalenone are shown in Table 1. Zearalenone and ochratoxin were found in none of the samples. Table 1 shows that aflatoxin B1 is the most abundant mycotoxin in both imported and Iranian rice samples. Also, aflatoxins B1, B2 and G1 levels in imported rice were more than aflatoxin levels in Iranian rice, however all

.

.

Table 1: The mean values of AF (Aflatoxin) B1, B2 and G1, OC (Ochratoxin) A and ZE (Zearalenone) in the imported and Iranian rice samples (ng/g) (P>0.05)										
Rice Type	Number of samples	AF B1	AF B2	AF G1	OC A	ZE				
Imported rice	62	0.59±0.16	0.07±0.01	0.35±0.07	ND*	ND				
Iranian rice	18	0.38±0.13	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Total	80	0.41±0.16	0.07±0.01	0.35±0.07	ND	ND				

.

ND: Not Detected

Table 2: The percentage of AF (Aflatoxin) B1, B2 and G1 contamination (>LOD: Limit of Detection) in the imported and Iranian rice types

		AF B1		AF B2	AF B2		AF G1	
Rice type	Number of samples	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Imported rice	62	34	54.8	2	3.22	2	3.22	
IranianRice	18	4	22.2	0	0	0	0	
Total	80	38	47.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	

values were lower than the maximum tolerated level (MTL) established by Iranian National Standards Organization (5 and 30 ng/gr for aflatoxin B1 and total, respectively). But no significant difference was observed between aflatoxins of imported and Iranian rice samples (p>0.05).

Table 2 reveals the percentage of contaminated samples of imported and Iranian rice to aflatoxins B1, B2 and G1.

DISCUSSION

Recently, occurrence of mycotoxins in rice seems an issue of growing concern. In the present study, among mycotoxins, Aflatoxin B1 and aflatoxins B1, B2, G1 were detected in Iranian and imported rice, respectively. Aflatoxin levels in imported rice were more than in Iranian rice and all levels were lower than the maximum tolerated level (MTL), but the differences were not significant. In Iran, Mazaheri (2009) reported that 83% of the samples of the imported rice were contaminated with aflatoxin B1 (59 out of 71 samples) with a mean contamination of 1.89 ng/g. Moreover, regarding total aflatoxins, 83% of the samples were contaminated with a mean of 2.09 ng/g. Aflatoxin B1 contamination was above the Iranian standard limits (5 ng/g) in 2.8% [16]. In our study, the mean contamination was 0.412 ng/g for aflatoxin B1 and 0.46 ng/g for total aflatoxin which were not above the Iranian standard limits in any of the samples. A study conducted by Hadian et al., (2009) showed that 69% of the rice samples collected from Tehran chain stores were contaminated with ochratoxin A although the contamination was less than the standard limit while we detected ochratoxin A in none of our samples [18]. Riazipour et al., (2009) measured T-2 toxin in Iranian rice samples of warehouses of Tehran by ELISA and their results did not exceed the permissible limit and confirms results of our study [17]. Mohammadi et al., (2012) evaluated 152 samples of imported rice and reported that aflatoxin B1 contamination was not above the standard limits of Iran (5 ng/g) in any of the samples. They found that about 77% of the samples had contamination with total aflatoxin with a mean of 0.671 ng/g, which was less than the maximum tolerated level (30 ng/g) [7]. Among world studies, a study by Trung et al., (2001) showed that 8% of the samples were contaminated with high levels of ochratoxin A [19]. Moreover, in a study conducted by Liu et al. (2006), 92% of the rice samples were contaminated with aflatoxin B1 [20]. Toteja et al., (2006) evaluated rice samples collected from 11 states from India and noticed that 17% of the samples were contaminated with aflatoxin B1 above standard levels $(30 \ \mu g/kg)$ [21]. Furthermore, a study which was conducted by Nguyen et al., (2007) on rice in central Vietnam showed the high rate of aflatoxin B1 contamination (in more than 51% of the samples) followd by ochratoxin A (in 35% of the samples) [15]. Comparison of our findings with those of Nguyen et al., (2007) shows that both studies are similar in the order of the contaminating toxin (aflatoxin B1 followed by ochratoxin A) although the amount of contamination was much more than results of our study. Tanaka et al., (2007) performed a study to evaluate the contamination of the Japanese rice with aflatoxin B1, B2, G1 and G2 using HPLC in 2007 and reported no contamination [14]. According to a study by Siruguri et al., (2012) on stored rice in India in 2012, contamination was below the standard limits of India (30 µg/kg) in all samples [22]. Reddy et al., (2009) also evaluated Indian rice in 2008 and reported that among 1200 evaluated samples, 67.8% were contaminated with aflatoxin B1 (from 0.1 to 308.0 µg/kg). Of all samples, only 2% had aflatoxin B1 contamination more than the standard level (30 µg/kg) [11], while no sample had contamination above the standard levels in our study.

CONCLUSIONS

Mycotoxins have become a worldwide worry and they raise serious economic and sanitary problems. Mycotoxin exposure mainly occurs through the food chain. We found aflatoxin contamination in the imported and Iranian rice samples, but contamination was within the standard levels and the differences were not significant (p>0.05). Appropriate transportation and storage conditions are very important factors for the low contamination of our samples.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors express their sincere appreciation and gratitude to the research council of Baqiyatallah University of medical sciences, Iran, for help in this study.

REFERENCES

 Buyukunal, S.K., T. Kahraman and G.R. Ciftcioglu, 2010. Occurrence of AF, AB1, OTA in Rice Commercialized in Eastern of Turkey. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 19(5): 907-912.

- Aghili, S., A. Khosravi, T. Shokohi and B. Salmanian, 2012. Survey of Contamination Mycoflora of Consumed Rice in Mazandaran, Iran. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, 22(86): 279.
- Reddy, C., K. Reddy, R. Kumar, G. Laha and K. Muralidharan, 2004. Exploration of aflatoxin contamination and its management in rice. J. Mycol. Plant Pathol., 34(3): 816-20.
- Unusan, N., 2006. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in UHT milk in Turkey. Food Chem Toxicol., 44(11): 1897-900.
- Martins, M. and H.M. Martins, 2004. Aflatoxin M1 in yoghurts in Portugal. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 91(3): 315-17.
- Zinedine, A., L. Gonzalez-Osnaya, J.M. Soriano, J.C. Molto, L. Idrissi and J. Manes, 2007. Presence of aflatoxin M1 in pasteurized milk from Morocco. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 114(1): 25-9.
- Mohammadi, M., G. Mohebbi, P. Hajeb, S. Akbarzadeh and I. Shojaee, 2012. Aflatoxins in rice imported to Bushehr, a southern port of Iran. Am-Eurasian J. Toxicol. Sci., 4: 31-5.
- Ito, Y., S.W. Peterson, D.T. Wicklow and T. Goto, 2001. Aspergillus *pseudotamarii*, a new aflatoxin producing species in Aspergillus section flavi. Mycology Res., 105: 233-239.
- Muscarella, M., S. Lomagro S.C. Palermo and D. Centonze, 2007. Validation according to European Commission Decision 2002/657/EC of a confirmatory method for aflatoxin M1 in milk based on immunoaffinity columns and high performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. Anal. Chim. Acta., 594(2): 257-64.
- Polychronaki, N., R.M. West, P.C. Turner, H. Amra, M. Abdel-Wahhab, H. Mykkanen and H. El-Nezami, 2007. A longitudinal assessment of aflatoxin M1 excretion in breast milk of selected Egyptian mothers. Food Chem. Toxicol., 45(7): 1210-5.
- Reddy, K.R.N., C.S. Reddy and K. Muralidharan, 2009. Detection of *Aspergillus spp* and aflatoxin B1 in rice in India. Food Microbiol., 26(1): 27-31.
- Behfar, A., Z. Nazari Khorasgani and A. Mosavi, 2008. Determination of aflatoxin (B1, B2, G 1, G2) levels in wheat flour. Toxicol. Lett., 180: 32-246.

- Giray, B., G.A. Girgin, B. Engin, S. Aydin and S. Sahin, 2007. Aflatoxin levels in wheat samples consumed in some regions of Turkey. Food Contr., 18: 23-29.
- Tanaka, K., Y. Sago, Y. Zheng, H. Nakagawa and M. Kushiro, 2007. Mycotoxins in rice. Int. J. Food Microbiol., 119(1): 59-66.
- Nguyen, M.T., M. Tozlovanu, T. Tran and A. Pfohl-Leszkowicz, 2007. Occurrence of aflatoxin B1, citrinin and ochratoxin A in rice in five provinces of the central region of Vietnam, Food Chem., 105: 42-47.
- Mazaheri, M., 2009. Determination of aflatoxins in imported rice to Iran. Food Chem Toxicol., 47(8): 2064-6.
- Riazipour, M., A.A. Imanifooladi and M. Razzaghi-Abyaneh, 2009. Natural Occurrence of T-2 Toxin in Domestic and Imported Rice. Iranian J. Publ. Health., 38(4): 111-116.
- Hadian, Z., H. Yazdanpanah, M.H. Azizi, F. Seyedahmadian, M.R. Kooshki, M. Hosseini, 2009. Occurrence of ochratoxin A in rice sold in chain stores in Tehran, 2007. Iranian J. Nutr. Sci. Food Tech., 4(2): 53-59.
- Trung, T.S., J. Bailly, A. Querin, P. Le Bars and P. Guerre, 2001. Fungal contamination of rice from south Vietnam, mycotoxinogenesis of selected strains and residues in rice. Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire, 152(7): 555-60.
- Liu, Z., J. Gao and J. Yu, 2006. Aflatoxins in stored maize and rice grains in Liaoning Province, China. J. Stor. Prod. Res., 42(4): 468-79.
- Toteja, G., A. Mukherjee, S. Diwakar, P. Singh, B. Saxena and K. Sinha, 2006. Aflatoxin B1 contamination of parboiled rice samples collected from different states of India: a multi-centre study. Food addit. contam., 23(4): 411-4.
- Siruguri, V., P. Kumar, P. Raghu, M. Rao, B. Sesikeran and G. Toteja, 2012. Aflatoxin contamination in stored rice variety PAU 201 collected from Punjab, India. Indian J. Med. Res., 136(1): 89.