

Antimicrobial Activity of Mandelic Acid Against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a Novel Finding with Important Practical Implications

^{1,2}Mohammad Motamedifar, ¹Abdollah Bazargani,
³Mohammad Reza Namazi and ¹Hadi Sedigh Ebrahim Sarai

¹Department of Bacteriology and Virology, School of Medicine,
Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Shiraz, Iran

²Shiraz HIV/AIDS Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Science, Shiraz, Iran

³Shiraz Skin Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract: *Background:* *Staphylococcus aureus* is a major health concern worldwide especially in cutaneous diseases. The aim of this study was to determine the in vitro antimicrobial activity of mandelic acid against *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. *Methods:* In this study, the susceptibility of mandelic acid against two type strains and nineteen clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* was assessed *in vitro*. *Results:* Mandelic acid in different concentrations of 40, 80 and 160 mg/ml showed in vitro antibacterial activity against all tested clinical isolates of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) as well as the tested type strains in disk diffusion method with inhibitory zones of 11-20 mm. The minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericidal concentration (MIC/MBC) for methicillin-sensitive *staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) and MRSA type strains were 20/20 and 40/40 mg/ml respectively. For the nineteen clinical MRSA isolates, MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ were 20 and ≤40 (mg/ml) respectively and MBC₅₀ and MBC₉₀ were 20 and ≤80 (mg/ml) respectively. *Conclusion:* Our results suggest that mandelic acid has an antibacterial activity against MRSA and might be a useful addition to anti-MRSA armamentarium. Further investigations regarding the use of mandelic acid in a suitable moisturizer for atopic skin, to exert the dual effects of lubrication and MRSA eradication is recommended.

Key words: *Staphylococcus aureus* • Mandelic acid • Atopic dermatitis • MIC • MBC

INTRODUCTION

The skin of children with atopic dermatitis (AD) may represent a significant reservoir of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) colonization in the community [1]. It is recently reported that 80% of atopic dermatitis patients are colonized with *S. aureus* and that of these patients, 16% are colonized with MRSA [2]. Children with atopic dermatitis (AD) are more frequently colonized with *S. aureus* than children without atopic dermatitis [1].

S. aureus infection is frequently associated with disease severity in children with atopic dermatitis. Some *S. aureus* toxins have been shown to function as 'superantigens' [3]. Superantigens can bypass the normal control of T-cell activation and activate all T-cell clones

bearing certain types of variable chain on the T-cell receptor, this lead to vigorous T-cell activation and cytokine release. So, these bacterial superantigens may involved in induction and aggravation of atopic dermatitis [3] and elimination of staphylococcus colonization can significantly contribute to the curing and prevention of acute exacerbations of atopic dermatitis.

Moreover, there is significantly higher prevalence of chloramphenicol resistant *S. aureus* in patients with AD than in nasal carriers. Similar rates of resistance are expressed to tetracycline, erythromycin, clindamycin, penicillin and mupirocin [4]. The emergence of mupirocin resistant MRSA represents a serious threat to our capacity to manage MRSA carriage and suitable alternatives to mupirocin are required.

Corresponding Author: Hadi Sedigh Ebrahim Sarai, Department of Bacteriology and Virology, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Zand St, Imam Hossein Sq, Shiraz, Iran.
Tel: +989368829763, Fax: +987112304356.

Mandelic acid (MA) is an alpha-hydroxy acid with a molecular weight more than those of lactic and glycolic acids. It is a nontoxic substance that, if being ingested orally, is excreted in the urine [5, 6]. Mandelic acid has a long history of use in the medicine as an antibacterial; particularly in the treatment of urinary tract infections [5]. Nowadays dermatologists suggest mandelic acid as a useful agent for wide variety of skin problems, from acne to wrinkles. Mandelic acid, named after the German *mandel* (almond) and derived from the hydrolysis of an extract of bitter almonds has been studied extensively for its possible uses in treating common skin problems such as photoaging, irregular pigmentation and acne. Some studies has been shown that mandelic acid is useful in suppressing pigmentation, treating inflammatory noncystic acne and rejuvenating photoaged skin. Also it has proven useful in preparing the skin of patients for laser peeling and in the skin healing after laser surgery [5].

In this study we aimed to examine the susceptibility of mandelic acid against two type strains and nineteen clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* by disc diffusion and broth microdilution methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria: Two type strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRSA ATCC 700698 and methicillin-sensitive *staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) ATCC 25923 were used in the study. Also Nineteen MRSA clinical isolates obtained from different specimens (blood, sputum, abscess) of critically ill patients referring to Faghihi Hospital, Shiraz, Iran were used. Faghihi Hospital in Shiraz serves as a main referral center in Southern Iran with more than 10,000 admissions per year. The *S. aureus* isolates were identified by colonial morphology, Gram staining (Gram positive cocci in clusters), positive reaction in the following tests: catalase, DNase, coagulase, clumping factor reaction with rabbit plasma on microscope slides and Mannitol fermentation. MRSA isolates were then confirmed based on culture in a plate containing 6 µg/ml of oxacillin in Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with NaCl (4% w/v; 0.68 mol/l) as a method of testing for MRSA following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) standard procedures [7].

Mandelic acid: Mandelic acid (2-Hydroxy-2-phenylacetic acid) (Merck Company) was obtained from a local supplier and a stock of 160 mg/ml solution was made in Muller Hinton broth.

In vitro Activity of Mandelic Acid Against MRSA:

Antimicrobial activity of MA was determined by disc diffusion method [8]. Overnight broth cultures of the *S. aureus* type strains (ATCC 700698 and ATCC 25923) and 19 isolates of the MRSA were prepared in Mueller-Hinton broth media (Himedia, India), adjusted to 10⁸ cfu/ml and used to inoculate Mueller-Hinton agar (Himedia, India) by flooding the plates, draining the excess and allowing the plates to dry. Six blank paper discs (6 mm) (Padtan-Teb, Iran) were placed on the inoculated agar surface and impregnated with 10 µl of 160, 80, 40, 30, 20 and 10 mg/ml of MA in Muller Hinton broth. One blank paper was also used as a control and impregnated with 10 µl of Muller Hinton broth only. The plates were incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C and the zones of inhibition were measured. Vancomycin disc (30 µg/disc, Mast Diagnostics, England) was used as a control of anti-MRSA agent according to CLSI standards (CLSI, 2006; CLSI, 2007) [7, 9]. To quantitate the antibacterial activity of MA against the tested bacteria, Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) were determined using a serial broth microdilution method to arrive at concentrations between 10-160 mg/ml of MA in a 96-well tray (Falcon, USA). Overnight broth cultures were prepared as mentioned above and adjusted so that, upon inoculation, each well contained approximately 5x10⁵ CFU/mL. Positive and negative growth controls were included in every test. The concentration of each inoculum was confirmed using viable counts on blood agar plates (Himedia, India). Trays were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h and the MICs and MBCs were determined. The growth of the microorganisms was determined by turbidity. To confirm MICs and to establish MBCs, 10 µl of broth was removed from each well and inoculated onto blood agar. After aerobic incubation at 37°C overnight, the number of surviving organisms was determined. The MIC was the lowest concentration which resulted in a significant decrease (>90%) in inoculum viability while the MBC was the point where 0-1% of the initial inoculum survived [10, 11]. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

RESULTS

The inhibitory zones of six concentrations of MA were determined for 19 MRSA clinical isolates and MRSA ATCC 700698 and MSSA ATCC 25923 strains. Both type strains and the most clinical isolates were

Table 1: Mandelic acid Inhibitory zone (mm) by disc diffusion method for clinical MRSA isolates and *Staphylococcus aureus* type strains

Clinical isolate or type strain	Source	Disk content (mg/mL)		
		160	80	40
MRSA1	Burn	18	15	12
MRSA2	Burn	18	15	12
MRSA3	wound	16	15	11
MRSA4	Burn	18	14	12
MRSA5	wound	18	12	0
MRSA6	Burn	18	14	0
MRSA7	Burn	14	0	0
MRSA8	Burn	19	15	0
MRSA9	Septicemia	15	0	0
MRSA10	Pneumonia	19	13	0
MRSA11	Pneumonia	15	0	0
MRSA12	wound	16	0	0
MRSA13	wound	18	13	0
MRSA14	wound	17	15	11
MRSA15	Pneumonia	20	15	0
MRSA16	wound	18	13	0
MRSA17	Septicemia	16	0	0
MRSA18	Septicemia	17	12	0
MRSA19	wound	15	0	0
MRSA (ATCC 700698)	Type strain	19	14	11
MSSA (ATCC 25923)	Type strain	18	15	0

Table 2: Mandelic acid MIC and MBC (mg/ml) for clinical MRSA isolates and *Staphylococcus aureus* type strains

Clinical isolate or type strain	Source	MIC (mg/mL)	MBC (mg/mL)
MRSA1	Burn	40	40
MRSA2	Burn	40	40
MRSA3	wound	40	40
MRSA4	Burn	40	40
MRSA5	wound	20	20
MRSA6	Burn	20	20
MRSA7	Burn	20	20
MRSA8	Burn	20	20
MRSA9	Septicemia	20	20
MRSA10	Pneumonia	20	80
MRSA11	Pneumonia	20	20
MRSA12	wound	20	20
MRSA13	wound	20	20
MRSA14	wound	20	20
MRSA15	Pneumonia	40	80
MRSA16	wound	20	20
MRSA17	Septicemia	20	20
MRSA18	Septicemia	40	80
MRSA19	wound	20	20
MRSA (ATCC 700698)	Type strain	40	40
MSSA (ATCC 25923)	Type strain	20	20

susceptible to 80-160 mg/ml concentrations of MA (Table 1), with an inhibitory zone of 12-20 mm. Five clinical isolates and MRSA type strain showed inhibitory zone of 11-12 mm at the 40 mg/ml and no inhibitory zone was seen

at the lower concentrations. The MIC/MBC of the tested MSSA and MRSA type strains, as determined by the broth micro dilution method were 20/20 and 40/40 (mg/ml) respectively. Concentrations required to inhibit 50 and 90% of the clinical isolates (MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀) were 20 and ≤40 (mg/ml) respectively and those required to kill 50 and 90% of the strains (MBC₅₀ and MBC₉₀) were 20 and ≤80 (mg/ml) respectively. Except for 3 isolates MIC and MBC were the same. All tested bacteria were susceptible to vancomycin disc showing 15 mm growth inhibition zone. More details of anti-MRSA activity of MA are presented in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

In this study we found that mandelic acid in different concentration of 20-160 mg/ml has in vitro antimicrobial activity against clinical isolates of MRSA as well as the tested type strains. Previous reports had shown some in vitro antimicrobial properties of the mandelic acid and their derived compounds. Stickler *et al.* proved effectiveness of mandelic acid and mandelic acid (0.5% w/v)/lactic acid (0.5% v/v) in eliminating the biofilm forming organisms related to urinary tract infections [12]. Also Fuursted *et al.* demonstrated Lag of regrowth in some bacterial pathogens after exposure with mandelic-lactic acid [13].

MIC and MBC determination by broth microdilution method revealed that MIC₅₀ for clinical MRSA isolates was 20 mg/ml of MA and MA in concentration of 40 mg/ml had bactericidal effect on MRSA type strain. In a study conducted by Alves *et al.* some mandelic acid derived compounds were tested for their antimicrobial activity against few Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria by using disc diffusion method, which shown inhibited more MRSA isolates [14].

Based on the disc diffusion susceptibility testing results, except for 6 clinical MRSA isolates that showed susceptibility only to 160 mg/ml discs of MA, all other clinical isolates along with standard MSSA and MRSA strains could be considered susceptible to ≤80 mg/ml concentration of MA. These in-vitro results suggest that MA, can be considered for the topical treatment of MRSA skin infection or carriage.

In our study MIC and MBC of MA for the tested bacteria were the same or just one or two serial dilution away. This finding shows that MA maybe considered as a bactericidal biocide. The mechanism of bactericidal action of MA needs to be more studied. Biocidal activity of Sodium chlorite which was a two-component system

containing mandelic acid previously showed [15]. Also it is known that MA in the form of methenamine derivative as a urinary antiseptic may lead to urine acidification [6]. So it is reasonable to assume that this acid exerts its bactericidal effect through lowering the pH. In this regard, it may be suggested that other organic acids may have the same effect as MA. The fact that MA is used as a skin care preparation for a wide variety of skin concerns [16,17], make it sensible to assign MA as a better candidate to any other organic or fatty acid for the treatment of skin staphylococcal infections. It deserves noting that as MA has a greater molecular weight than lactic acid [5], it has a lower potential for skin irritation compared to it.

Based on our study which shows efficacy of MA against MRSA, we suggest to use of MA in production of a novel moisturizer for atopic skin, exerting the dual effects of lubrication and MRSA eradication. We also recommend the usage of MA in combination with urea in the treatment of xerotic skin of patients with mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome, which are supposed to arise from antigen-driven clonal expansion and accumulation of helper-memory T cells. Recent studies show staphylococcal carriage in nares and skin lesions of patients with mycosis fungoides is similar to that in atopic dermatitis [18].

CONCLUSION

In sum, for the first time, we showed the antimicrobial effect of MA, in concentrations of 20-160 mg/ml, against MRSA and encourage the conduction of clinical studies on this agent for treatment of MRSA and other bacterial skin infections.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Mr. Mohsen Hosseini Farzad for the microbiological laboratory assistance.

Conflict of Interest: None declared

REFERENCES

1. Chung H.J., H.S. Jeon, H. Sung, M.N. Kim and S.J. Hong, 2008. Epidemiological characteristics of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from children with eczematous atopic dermatitis lesions. *J Clin Microbiol.*, 46(3): 991-5.
2. Suh L., S. Coffin, K.H. Leckerman, J.M. Gelfand, P.J. Honig and A.C. Yan, 2008. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization in children with atopic dermatitis. *Pediatr Dermatol.*, 25(5): 528-34.
3. Skov, L. and O. Baadsgaard, 2000. Bacterial superantigens and inflammatory skin diseases. *Clin Exp. Dermatol.*, 25(1): 57-61.
4. Kedzierska, A., M. Kapinska-Mrowiecka, M. Czubak-Macugowska, K. Wojcik and J. Kedzierska, 2008. Susceptibility testing and resistance phenotype detection in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from patients with atopic dermatitis, with apparent and recurrent skin colonization. *Br. J. Dermatol.*, 159(6): 1290-9.
5. Taylor, M.B., 1999. Summary of mandelic acid for the improvement of skin conditions. *Cosmet Dermatol.*, 12: 26-8.
6. Brooks, G.F., K.C. Carroll, J.S. Butel and S.A. Morse, 2007. Antimicrobial Chemotherapy; In: J. Malley and H. Lebowitz, (eds.): *Jawetz, Melnick and Adelberg's Medical Microbiology*. 24th ed, McGraw-Hill, New York, pp: 193.
7. Putten, P.L., 1979. Mandelic acid and urinary tract infections. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 45(4): 622-3.
8. Dash, B.K., M.K. Sen, K. Alam, K. Hossain, R. Islam, N.A. Banu *et al.*, 2013. Antibacterial activity of *Nymphaea nouchali* (Burm. f) flower. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob*, 27: 1476-0711.
9. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 2007. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 17th informational supplement, M100-S17. CLSI, Wayne, PA. USA, 27(1).
10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 2006. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, 7th ed, Approved Standard M7-A7. CLSI, Wayne, PA. USA, 26(2).
11. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS), 1999. Methods for determining bactericidal activity of antimicrobial agents. Approved guidelines. M26-A. CLSI (formerly NCCLS), Wayne, PA. USA, 19(18).
12. Stickler, D. and P. Hewett, 1991. Activity of antiseptics against biofilms of mixed bacterial species growing on silicone surfaces. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*, 10(5): 416-21.

13. Fuursted, K., A. Hjort and L. Knudsen, 1997. Evaluation of bactericidal activity and lag of regrowth (postantibiotic effect) of five antiseptics on nine bacterial pathogens. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 40(2): 221-6.
14. Varala, R., V. Kotra, M. Alam, R. Kumar, S. Ganapaty and S. Adapa, 2008. Synthesis of mandelic acid derived phthalimides as a new class of anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial agents. *Indian Journal of Chemistry -Section B (IJC-B)*, 47B: 1243-8.
15. Ghazy, E.A., M.T. Elmokadem, M. Gadallah, M.N. Mahmoud and M.M. Abo Elsoud, 2012. Combating Biocorrosion Induced by Biofilm Forming Bacterial Isolate *Pseudomonas marginalis*. *Middle-East J. Sci. Res.*, 12(7): 1017-1025.
16. Taylor, M.B., J.S. Yanaki, D.O. Draper, J.C. Shurtz and M. Coglianese, 2013. Successful short-term and long-term treatment of melasma and postinflammatory hyperpigmentation using vitamin C with a full-face iontophoresis mask and a mandelic/malic acid skin care regimen. *J. Drugs. Dermatol.*, 12(1): 45-50.
17. Garg, V.K., S. Sinha and R. Sarkar, 2009. Glycolic acid peels versus salicylic-mandelic acid peels in active acne vulgaris and post-acne scarring and hyperpigmentation: a comparative study. *Dermatol. Surg.*, 35(1): 59-65.
18. Talpur, R., R. Bassett and M. Duvic, 2008. Prevalence and treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization in patients with mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome. *Br. J. Dermatol.*, 159(1): 105-12.