Dynamics of a Particle, Constraint Surface and Generalized Uncertainty Principle

¹S.S. Mortazavi, ²H. Noorizadeh and ²A. Farmany

¹Young Researchers Club, Hamedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan, Iran ²Faculty of Sciences, Arak Branch, Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran

Abstract: Gauge invariant action play an important role in the dynamics of particles. In this road, the equation of motion of a particle on a constraint surface obeys from a gauge invariant action. In this article, using a gauge invariant action, a solution of Jacobi identity is presented on the surface of a manifold. In continue, in the 8-dimensional manifold with a non-trivial topology, a generalized uncertainty relationship a generalized version of space-time uncertainty principle is obtained.

Key words: Gauge invariant action • Generalized space-time uncertainty principle • Jacobi identity

INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been a great deal of interest to study the microscopic origin of space-time [1-15]. It was shown that at the Planck scale regime, the classical perspective of space-time receives a modification and at a high-energy probes, the usual Heisenberg uncertainty receives an unusual correction by adding a new term $\Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + \alpha' \frac{\Delta p}{\hbar}.$ Where $\sqrt{\alpha'}$ is Planck distance. This relation

is invariant under,

$$\frac{\Delta p \sqrt{\alpha'}}{\hbar} \leftrightarrow \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p \sqrt{\alpha'}} \tag{1}$$

that has a kind of inversion symmetries [15]. However the generalized space-time uncertainty is studied in the string theory [1-3] black hole physics [4] quantum mechanic [5] (anti) de Sitter space time [6, 7] quantum cosmology [8] *etc...* and is applied to probing the physical phenomena [9, 12] but study of this unusual behavior micro space time in the gauge fields perspective may be an alternative. In this letter, we have obtained a generalized space-time uncertainty using a gauge invariant action on a constraint surface.

Manifolds and Constraint Surface: Consider a symplectic super manifold which has coordinates x^{l} , x^{2} ,..., x^{2N} with $\varepsilon^{i} = \varepsilon(x^{i})$, the non-degenerate simplistic two form $\omega_{ij}(x)$ is $d\omega = 0$. Using the Jacobi identity, we can write [16],

$$\partial_i \omega_{ik}(x) (-1)^{(\varepsilon^i + 1)\varepsilon^k} + Cycle(i, j, k) = 0$$
(2)

Where $\omega_{ij}(x) = \omega_{ji}(x)(-1)(\varepsilon^{i}+1)(\varepsilon^{j}+1)$ and $\varepsilon(\omega_{ij}) = \varepsilon^{j} + \varepsilon^{j}$. In this frame Poisson bracket is,

$$\{A(x), B(x)\} = A(x)\vec{\partial}_i \omega^{ij}(x)\vec{\partial}_j B(x) \tag{3}$$

Where $\varepsilon(\omega^{ij}) = \varepsilon(\omega_{ij})$ and $\omega^{ij}_{(x)=-\omega^{ij}_{(x)(-1)}} \varepsilon^{i} \varepsilon^{j}$. Eq. (3) satisfies the Jacobi identity, since. Eq. (2) implies,

$$\omega^{ij} \bar{\partial}_{i} \omega^{ik}(x) (-1)^{(\varepsilon^{i})\varepsilon^{k}} + Cycle(i, j, k) = 0$$
 (4)

Where x' is the canonical coordinate and ω^{ij} is a constant. Consider a Hamiltonian H(x) with 2M < 2N, irreducible the second class constraint $\theta^{\alpha}(x)$ which satisfy regularity condition as [16],

$$Rank\theta^{\alpha}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\Big|_{\theta=0} = 2M$$
 (5)

and

$$Rank\{\theta^{\alpha}(x), \theta^{\beta}(x)\} \frac{\overline{\partial}}{\partial x^{i}} \Big|_{\theta=0} = 2M$$
 (6)

Consider a generic constraint surface Γ as a sub-manifold of M (manifold) if a continuous function $\overline{x}^i(x): M \to \Gamma$ exist, then \overline{x} is set to be a retraction and Γ a retract of M. Furthermore, if there exist a continuous map $H: M \times I \to M$, with the interval [0, I], we can write,

$$H(x,0)=x$$
 $H(x,1)\Gamma$ for any $x \in M$ (7a)
 $H(x,s)=x$ for any $x \in F$ for any $S \in I$ (7b)

Eq. (7) implies that the identity function on M is hemotopic to the function \bar{x} .

Therefore, M and Γ have the same homotopy type and our sub-manifold M must have the same fundamental group as,

$$\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(\Gamma) \tag{8}$$

Therefore, a generic constraint surface Γ as the sub-manifold has the same homotopy type with the manifold M and we can consider the constraint surface as a manifold. Batalin and Marnelius [16] advance the quantization of Hamiltonian systems with second-class constraints. In this scenario, the equation of motion of a particle obeys from a gauge invariant action.

A Gauge Invariant Action: In the paper by Lyakhovich and Marnelius (2001) a condition placed on $\bar{x}^i(x)$ as,

$$\{\overline{x}^{i}(x), \overline{x}^{j}(x)\} = \{x^{i}, x^{j}\}_{D}|_{x \to \overline{x}(x)}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

This condition is to restrict the choice of gauge theory and is remove in this spirit; one can instead search for a bracket on M with property,

$$\{A(\overline{x}(x)), B(\overline{x}(x))\}_M = \{A(x), B(x)\}_D |_{x \to \overline{x}(x)}$$
 (10)

When $\{,\}$ and $\{,\}_D$ are the Poisson and the Dirac brackets, respectively and $\{,\}_M$ is a new bracket on M. $A^{(\overline{x}(x))}$ and $B^{(\overline{x}(x))}$ are arbitrary gauge invariant observable. On the manifold, the Jacobi identity is satisfied by the new bracket $\{,\}_M$ as,

$$\{\{A(\overline{x}), B(\overline{x})\}_{M}, C(\overline{x})\}_{M} + (-1)\varepsilon^{A}(\varepsilon^{B} + \varepsilon^{C})$$

$$\{\{B(\overline{x}), C(\overline{x})\}, A(\overline{x})\}_{M} + (-1)\varepsilon^{C}(\varepsilon^{A} + \varepsilon^{B})$$

$$\{\{C(\overline{x}), A(\overline{x})\}_{M}, B(\overline{x})\}\}_{M} = 0$$

$$(11)$$

Where $\{\{A(\overline{x}), B(\overline{x})\}_M, C(\overline{x})\}_M = \{\{A(x), B(x)\}_D, C(x)\}_D|_{x \to \overline{x}}$. The Batalin–Marnelius gauge invariant action [16, 17], show's the equation of motion of a particle on the manifold M, as $\omega_{Mij}(x)\dot{x}^j = \bar{c}_i H(\overline{x}(x)), \text{where } \omega_{Mij}$ is a degenerate function, so x^j is not unique and we have,

$$\dot{x}^j = \{\dot{x}^j, H(\overline{x}, (x))\} \tag{12}$$

Canonical momentum may be written as $P^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} L(x, \dot{x})$.

As it well known, two non-commutating relations for any given state, in a Hilbert space are as,

$$[\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j] = i\hbar\omega^{ij}_{M}(\hat{x}) \tag{13}$$

$$[\hat{x}^{\mu}, \hat{p}^{\nu}] = i\hbar\omega^{\mu\nu}{}_{M}(\overline{x}(x)) \tag{14}$$

From eq. (14) one obtains,

$$[\hat{x}^{\mu}, \hat{p}^{\nu}] = \hat{x}^{\mu} \hat{p}^{\nu} - (-1)^{\varepsilon^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\nu} p^{i}} \hat{p}^{\nu} \hat{x}^{\mu}$$

$$\tag{15}$$

Note that $\omega^{ij}_{M} = \omega^{ij}$. An appropriate choice of $\bar{x}^{i}(x)$ allow to non-degenerate canonical coordinates x^{1} , x^{2} . Consider two particles localized at x^{1} , x^{2} , respectively. Relation between x^{1} , x^{2} is obtained by eq.(13). The total uncertainty on a manifold could be obtained by solution of the Jacobi identity as,

$$[x^{i},[x^{j},p^{k}]] + cyclic(i,j,k)$$
 (16)

In the 8-dimensional manifold with higher non-trivial topology eq. (16) can be solved as,

$$\Delta x^i \Delta p^j = \frac{\hbar}{2} w^{ij}_M (1 + \overline{x}(x)) \tag{17}$$

The space-time manifold has a foam structure in the large-scale compared to the Planck scale. If we identify $\sqrt{\alpha'}$ as the Planck length, the minimal length on a manifold is $\sqrt{\alpha'}$ and we can write,

 $\bar{x}(x) \approx \sqrt{\alpha'}$. From eq. (17) we obtain,

$$\Delta x^i \Delta p^j = \frac{\hbar}{2} w^{ij}_M (1 + \sqrt{\alpha'}) \tag{18}$$

CONCLUSION

The foamy space-time has the manifold structure in the Planck scale regime. Using a gauge invariant action, the modified space-time uncertainty in a foam structure of the space-time is constructed. Using the fact that the equation of motion of a particle on a constraint surface obeys from a gauge invariant action, a generalized version of space-time uncertainty principle is obtained. It is shown that, usual uncertainty principle receives a correction.

REFERENCES

- 1. Yoneya, T., 2000. Generalized conformal symmetry and oblique AdS-CFT correspondence for matrix theory. Class. Quant. Grav., 17: 1307-1316.
- 2. Witten, E., 1996. Reflections on the Fate of Spacetime, Phys. Today, pp. 24-30.
- Brandenberger, R. and Pei-Ming Ho, 2002. Noncommutative Spacetime, Stringy. Uncertainty Principle and Density Fluctuations Phys. Rev. D66, 023517.
- 4. Adler, R.J., P. Chen and D.I. Santiago, 2001. The generalized uncertainty principle and black hole remnants. Gen. Relativ. Gravitation, 33: 2101-2108.
- Bang, J.Y. and M.S. Berger, 2006. Quantum Mechanics and the Generalized Uncertainty Principle Phys. Rev. D74, 125012.
- 6. Bolen, B. and M. Cavaglia, 2005. (Anti-)de Sitter Black Hole Thermodynamics and the Generalized Uncertainty Principle, Gen. Rel. Grav., 37: 1255-1262.
- Kempf, A., G. Managano and R.B. Mann, 1995. Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation. Phys. Rev. D, 52: 1108-1118.
- 8. Vakili, B. and H.R. Sepangi, 2007. Generalized uncertainty principle in Bianchi type I quantum cosmology, Phys. Lett. B, 651: 79-83.
- Harbach, U., S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher and H. Stoecker, 2004. Probing the minimal length scale by precision tests of the muon g-2, Phys. Lett. B, 584: 109-113.
- 10. Farmany, A., 2010. A proposal for spectral line profile of hydrogen atom spectrum in the sub-nano-meter space time. J. Applied Sci., 10: 784-785.
- 11. Farmany, A., A. Abbasi and A. Naghipour, 2008. Correction to the higher dimensional black hole entropy. Acta Phys. Polon., 114: 651-655.

- Farmany, A., S. Abbasi and A. Naghipour, 2007. Probing the natural broadening of hydrogen atom spectrum based on the minimal length uncertainty. Phys. Lett. B, 650: 33-35.
- 13. Farmany, A., 2011. Zitterbewegung Anyons and Deformed Position-angular Momentum Uncertainty Principle Algebra. J. Applied Sci., 11: 411-413.
- Farmany, A., H. Noorizadeh and S.S. Mortazavi, 2011.
 Gup and Spectrum of Quantum Black Holes. J Applied Sciences, 11: 1058-1061.
- 15. Farmany, A., 2006. Matrix Theory and the Modifed Space-Time Uncertainty, EJTP 3(12): 67-70.
- Batalin, I. and R. Marnelius, 2001. Gauge theory of second-class constraints without extra variables, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 16: 1505-1516.
- 17. Lyakhovich, S.L. and R. Marnelius, 2001. Extended observables in theories with constraints, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 16: 4271-4296.
- 18. Batalin, I. and R. Marnelius, 1995. Solving general gauge theories on inner product spaces, Nucl. Phys. B, 442: 669-696.
- Valerio Battisti, M.V. and Giovanni Montani, 2007.
 The Big-Bang Singularity in the framework of a Generalized Uncertainty Principle, Phys. Lett. B, 656: 96-101.
- Ahmed Farag Ali, (U Lethbridge), Saurya Das (U Lethbridge) and Elias C. Vagenas, (RCAAM, Academy of Athens) 2009. Phys. Lett. B, 678: 497-499.
- 21. Saurya Das, Elias C. Vagenas and Ahmed Farag Ali, 2011. Phys. Lett. B, 690: 407-412.
- 22. Ahmed Farag Ali, Saurya Das and Elias C. Vagenas, 2011. Phys. Rev. D, 84: 044013.