Phytochemical Screening and Antimicrobial Activity from Five Indian Medicinal Plants Against Human Pathogens

¹Periyasamy Ashokkumar, ¹Rajkumar and ²Mahalingam Kanimozhi

¹Department of Biotechnology, M.G.R College, Hosur, Tamil Nadu, India ²Department of Botany, Ethiraj College for Women, Chennai, TamilNadu, India

Abstract: Five different Indian medicinal plants, Achyranthes aspera, Cassia senna, Wrightia tinctoria, Aristolochia bracteolate and Rauvolfia tetraphylla were examined using agar disc diffusion method against Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis Micrococcus luteus and Staphylococcus aureus. Plant leaves were extracted using different solvents such as methanol, ethyl acetate, aqueous and chloroform. Phytochemical screening of these plants was performed for constituents: alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, anthraquinones, saponins, glycosides and volatile oils. Among the different extracts, methanol extracts showed more antibacterial activity and moderate activity recorded with aqueous, ethyl acetate and chloroform extracts. Achyranthes aspera showed maximum antibacterial activity against all the tested bacteria than the other plants. All the bacteria were more susceptible to methonolic extracts than the other organic extracts. In future these plants can be subjected to isolation of the major constituent's antimicrobials and to further pharmacological evaluation.

Key words: Medicinal plants · Phytochemical · Antimicrobial activity · Clinical bacteria

INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants represent a rich source form which antimicrobial agents may be obtained. Plants are used medicinally in different countries and are a source of many potent and powerful drugs [1]. The use of medicinal plants to treat human diseases has its roots in prehistorical times. Medicinal plants are used by 80% of the world population as the only available medicines especially in developing countries [2]. A wide range of medicinal plant parts is used for extract as raw drugs and they possess varied medicinal properties. The different parts used include leaves, root, stem, flower, fruit, twigs exudates and modified plant organs. While some of these raw drugs are collected in smaller quantities by the local communities and folk healers for local used, many other raw drugs are collected in larger quantities and traded in the market as the raw material for many herbal industries [3]. Plants used for traditional medicine contain a wide range of substances that can be used to treat chronic as well as infectious diseases. Clinical microbiologists have great interest in screening of medicinal plants for antimicrobial activities and phytochemicals as potential

new therapeutics. The active principles of many drugs found in plants are secondary metabolites [4, 5]. The antimicrobial activities of plant extracts may reside in a variety of different components, including aldehyde and phenolic compounds [6]. The beneficial medicinal effects of plant materials typically result from the combinations of secondary products present in the plant. In plants, these compounds are mostly secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, steroids, tannins and phenol compounds, flavonoids, steroids, resins, fatty acids gums which are capable of producing definite physiological action on body. The development of drug resistance in human pathogens against commonly used antibiotics has necessitated a search for new antimicrobial substances from other sources including plants [7]. Screening of medicinal plants for antimicrobial activities and phytochemicals is important for finding potential new compounds for therapeutic use.

In the present study, we selected 5 different medicinal plants, such as *Achyranthes aspera*, *Cassia senna*, *Wrightia tinctoria*, *Aristolochia bracteolate* and *Rauvolfia tetraphylla* were screened for phytochemical constituents and antimicrobial activity against

E-mail: ashokkumarps@yahoo.co.in.

Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis Micrococcus luteus and Staphylococcus aureus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Plant Material: Fresh leaves of Achyranthes aspera, Cassia senna, Wrightia tinctoria, Aristolochia bracteolate and Rauvolfia tetraphylla were collected from in and around Hosur, Krishinagiri Distict, Tamilnadu, India, during 2009-2010. The plants were identified taxonomically and authenticated at the Herbarium, Department of Botany, University of Madras, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

Bacteria and Growth Conditions: Six bacterial species were employed as test organisms which include *E. coli*, *K. pnemoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, *B.s subtilis*, *M. luteus* and *S. aureus*. The bacteria were maintained in Mueller-Hinton Agar (MH). Inocula were prepared by adding an overnight culture of the organism in MH broth to obtain an OD 600 in 0.1. The cells were allowed to grow until they obtain the McFarland standard 0.5 (approximately 108 CFU/ml).

Extraction and Preparation of Plant Materials: Achyranthes aspera, Cassia senna, Wrightia tinctoria, Aristolochia bracteolate and Rauvolfia tetraphylla were collected from in and around Hosur for the present study. Fresh leaves were washed thoroughly 2-3 times with running tap water and then with sterile water followed by shade-dried, powdered and used for extraction. 10 g of the powder was mixed with 40 ml of methanol in a 250 ml conical flask and was kept at 25°C for 12 h. The suspension was filtered through a Whatman No. 4 filter paper and the filtrate was evaporated by vacuum dryer at 40°C overnight to get the Methanol extract. Similarly, Ethyl acetate, chloroform and aqueous extracts were prepared by applying the same procedure. Finally, the extract was resuspended in the respective organic solvents at a concentration of 100 mg/ml before it was tested for the antibacterial activity.

Phytochemical Tests

Molisch's Test for Carbohydrates: Few drops of α -naphtol was added to each of the portion dissolved in distilled water, this was then followed by addition of 1 ml of conc. H_2SO_4 by the side of the test tube. The mixture was then allowed to stand for two minutes. Formation of a red or dull violet color at the interphase of the two layers was a positive test [8].

Test for Tannins: About 0.5 g of each plant powder was stirred with about 10 ml of distilled water and then filtered. Few drops of 1% ferric chloride solution were added to 2 ml of the filtrate occurrence of a blue-black, green or blue-green precipitate indicates the presence of tannins [9].

Borntrager's Test: About 0.2 g of each plant powder was shaken with 10 ml of benzene and then filtered. Five milliliters of the 10% ammonia solution was then added to the filtrate and thereafter the shaken. Appearance of a pink, red or violet color in the ammoniacal (lower) phase was taken as the presence of free anthraquinones [8].

Liebermann-burchard Test for Steroids and Terpenoids:

To 0.2 g of each, 2 ml of acetic acid was added, the solution was cooled well in ice followed by the addition of conc. H2SO4 carefully. Color development from violet to blue or bluish-green indicated the presence of a steroidal ring i.e. glycone portion of cardiac glycoside [8].

Test for Saponins: One gram of each portion was boiled with 5 ml of distilled water, filtered. To the filtrate, about 3 ml of distilled water was further added and shaken vigorously for about 5 minutes. Frothing which persisted on warming was taken as an may be the presence of saponins [8].

Shinoda's Test for Flavonoids: About 0.5g of each portion was dissolved in ethanol, warmed and then filtered. Three pieces of magnesium chips was then added to the filtrate followed by few drops of conc. HCl. A pink, orange, or red to purple colouration indicates the presence of flavonoids [9].

Lead Ethanoate Test for Flavonoids: Few quantity of the each portion was dissolved in water and filtered. To 5 ml of each of the filtrate, 3 ml of lead ethanoate solution was then added. Appearance of a buff-coloured precipitate indicates the presence of flavonoids [9].

Test for Alkaloids: Few quantity of the each portion was stirred with 5 ml of 1% aqueous HCl on water bath and then filtered. Of the filtrate, 1 ml was taken individually into 2 test tubes. To 1 ml, Mayer's reagent was added and appearance of buff-coloured precipitate will be an indication for the presence of alkaloids [8].

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test: The disc diffusion method was used to screen the antimicrobial activity [10]. In vitro antimicrobial activity was screened by using Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) obtained from Himedia (Mumbai). The MHA plates were prepared by pouring 15 ml of molten media into sterile petriplates. The plates were allowed to solidify for 5 minutes and 0.1 % inoculum suspension was swabbed uniformly and the inoculum was allowed to dry for 5 minutes. The different concentrations of extracts (50, 100 and 150µl/disc) were loaded on 6 mm sterile disc. The loaded disc was placed on the surface of medium and the compound was allowed to diffuse for 5 minutes and the plates were kept for incubation at 37°C for 24 hrs. At the end of incubation, inhibition zones formed around the disc were measured with transparent ruler in millimeter. These studies were performed in triplicate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the phytochemical screening of leaves extract of Achyranthes aspera, Cassia senna, Wrightia tinctoria, Aristolochia bracteolate and Rauvolfia tetraphylla is presented in Table 1. These classes (such as alkaloids, saponins, tannins, anthraquinones and flavonoids) of compounds are known to have curative activity against several pathogens and therefore could suggest the use traditionally for the treatment of various illnesses [11, 12]. The broad antibacterial activities of this extracts could be as a result of the plant secondary metabolites (alkaloids, anthraquinones, flavonoids, tannins, saponins) present in the extracts; in line with these findings, Usman and Osuji [12], reported that tannins had been widely used topically to sprains, bruises

and superficial wounds as such, it could be probable that tannins and other plant phenolics from this extract were responsible for these broad activities. The extract and its partitioned portions were further subjected to antimicrobial studies. The susceptibility pattern against the test organisms is shown in Table 2.

The results of the antimicrobial determinations for all the organic extracts of the leaves of Achyranthes aspera, Cassia senna, Wrightia tinctoria, Aristolochia bracteolate and Rauvolfia tetraphylla against the six bacterial species were investigated by disc-diffusion assay. The disc diffusion method for antibacterial activity showed significant reduction in bacterial growth in terms of zone of inhibition. The zone of inhibition increased on increasing the concentration of extract. This showed the concentration dependent activity (Table 2).

The different organic extracts of A. aspera exhibit significant antimicrobial activity against E. coli, K. pnemoniae, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, M. luteus and S. aureus. Methanolic extracts from leaf part of A. aspera, produced consistent level of inhibition of bacterial growth and followed by aquous, chloroform and ethyl acetate. The ethanol and aqueous extracts of leaves of Achyranthes aspera were prepared and its wound healing and antioxidant activity were evaluated. Edwin et al. [13] and Varuna et al. [14] reported Achyranthes aspera ethanolic extract of root, leaves, stem gives different biological activity and also isolate chemical constituents like Betaine and Achyranthine. These chemical constituents are used in treatment of gallbladder stone, asthma, high and low blood pressure. Whereas the leaves of A. aspera different extracts showed low activity against all the bacteria tested [15]. These differences might also be attributed to the changes in environmental conditions.

Table 1: Phytochemical screening of selected medicinal plants from Hosur, TamilNadu, India.

Phytochemicals	Inference									
	Achyranthes aspera	Cassiasenna	Wrightia tinctoria	Aristolochia bracteolata	Rawolfia tetraphylla					
Flavanoids	+	+	+	+	+					
Alkaloids	+	+	+	+	+					
Glycosides	+	+	+	+	+					
Saponins	+	-	+	+	+					
Phytosterols	+	+	+	+	+					
Triterpenoids	+	+	+	+	+					
Tannins	+	-	-	-	+					
Carbohydrates	+	+	+	+	+					
Proteins	+	+	+	+	+					
Anthraquinones	-	-	-	-	-					

Key = + present; - = absent

Table 2: Antibacterial activity of the different extracts of selected medicinal plants

Methanol	Methano	Methanol			Ethyl aceteate		Aqueous			Cholorofrom		
	 50μl	100µl	150µl	 50µl	100µl	150µl	50μl	100µl	150µl	50μl	100µl	150µl
Achyranthes asp	era											
P.aeruginosa	12 ± 0.77	12 ± 0.63	14 ± 0.90	6 ± 0.38	8 ± 0.42	10 ± 0.64	8±0.51	10±0.52	12 ± 0.77	8±0.51	10 ± 0.52	12±0.77
K.pneumoniae	11±0.41	13 ± 0.07	15±0.91	6±0.22	8±0.046	10 ± 0.60	8±0.30	11±0.06	13±0.79	8±0.30	11±0.06	13±0.7
E.coli	12 ± 0.36	14 ± 0.42	16 ± 0.48	8±0.24	10 ± 0.30	12 ± 0.36	10 ± 0.30	12±0.36	14 ± 0.42	10 ± 0.30	12 ± 0.36	14±0.42
M.luteus	12 ± 0.48	14±0.50	17±0.61	8±0.32	10 ± 0.36	12 ± 0.43	10±0.40	12±0.43	14±0.50	10 ± 0.40	12±0.43	14±0.50
B.subtillus	13±0.68	15±0.48	16±0.51	7±0.37	9 ± 0.28	11±0.35	9±0.47	14±0.45	11±0.35	9±0.47	14±0.45	11±0.35
S.aureus	12±0.54	13±0.58	15±0.48	6±0.27	10 ± 0.45	12±0.38	8±0.36	13±0.58	12±0.38	8±0.36	13±0.58	12±0.38
Cassia senna												
P.aeruginosa	8±0.51	12±0.63	14±0.9	6±0.38	8±0.42	10±0.64	6±0.38	10±0.52	12±0.77	5±0.32	6±0.31	8±.51
Крпеитопіае	8±0.30	10±0.05	12±0.72	5±0.18	6±0.03	8±0.48	6±0.22	8±0.04	10±0.60	4±0.15	5±0.02	7±.42
E.coli	8±0.24	10±0.30	14±0.42	5±0.15	6 ± 0.18	8±0.24	6±0.18	8±0.24	12±0.36	4±0.12	5±0.15	6±0.18
M.luteus	10±0.40	14±0.50	16±0.57	6±0.24	7±0.25	12±0.43	8±0.32	10±.36	14±0.50	5±0.20	7±0.25	8±0.28
B.subtillus	7±0.37	9±0.28	10±0.32	4±0.21	6±0.19	9±0.28	6±0.31	9±0.28	10±0.32	4±0.21	6±0.19	5±0.16
S. aureus	6±0.27	9±0.40	9±.28	5±0.22	5±0.22	8±0.25	6±0.27	7±0.31	9±0.28	4±0.18	6±0.27	6±0.19
Aristolochia brac	teolata											
P.aeruginosa	15±0.96	17±0.89	20±1.28	6±0.38	8±0.42	10±0.64	8±0.51	10±0.52	12±0.77	5±0.32	7±0.37	8±0.51
Крпеитопісю	13±0.49	14±0.08	15±0.91	8±0.30	10±0.05	12±0.7	10±0.37	12±0.06	14±0.85	6±0.22	8±0.04	10±0.60
E.coli	12±0.36	14±0.42	17±0.5	6 ± 0.18	8±0.24	10±0.30	8±0.24	10±0.30	12±0.36	5±0.15	6±0.18	8±0.24
M.luteus	17±0.68	19±0.68	21±0.75	6±0.24	10±0.36	12±0.43	8±0.32	12±0.43	14±0.50	6±0.24	8±0.28	10±0.36
B.subtillus	15±0.79	16±0.51	17±0.54	7±0.37	8±0.257	10±0.32	7±0.37	10±0.32	11±0.35	5±0.26	6±0.19	8±0.25
S.aureus	10±0.45	12±0.54	13±0.41	6±0.27	7±0.31	9±0.28	8±0.36	9±0.40	11±0.35	6±0.27	8±0.36	9±0.28
Wrightia tinctori	a											
P.aeruginosa	9±0.57	11±0.58	15±0.96	6±0.38	8±0.42	10±0.64	8±0.51	10±0.52	12±0.77	5±0.32	6±0.31	8±0.51
Крпеитопіае	10±0.26	12±0.06	14±0.85	6±0.15	8±0.04	10±0.60	8±0.21	10±0.05	12±0.72	5±0.13	6±0.034	8±0.48
E.coli	9±0.18	12±0.36	15±0.45	6±0.12	8±0.24	11±0.33	7±0.14	10±0.30	13±0.39	5±0.1	6±0.18	9±0.27
M.luteus	12±0.30	15±0.54	17±0.61	8±0.20	10±0.36	12±0.43	10±0.25	12±0.43	14±0.50	6±0.15	8±0.28	10±0.36
B.subtillus	10±0.25	10 ± 0.32	12±0.38	7 ± 0.17	8±0.25	10±0.32	9±0.22	9±0.28	11±0.35	5±0.12	7±0.22	10±0.32
S.aureus	11±0.49	9±0.40	10±0.32	7±0.31	8±0.36	10±0.32	9±0.40	9±0.40	10±0.32	5±0.22	7±0.31	10±0.32
Rauvolfia tetrapi	ly ii a											
P.aeruginosa	10±0.64	13±0.68	15±0.96	6±0.38	9±0.47	11±0.70	8±0.51	11±0.58	13±0.83	6±0.38	7±.37	9±0.57
K.pneumoniae	10±0.26	12±0.06	14±0.85	6±0.15	8±0.04	10±0.60	8±0.21	10±0.05	12±0.72	5±0.13	6±03	8±0.48
E.coli	11±0.22	13±0.39	15±.45	7±0.14	9±0.27	11±0.33	9±0.18	11±0.33	13±0.39	6±0.12	7±0.21	9±0.27
M.luteus	12±0.30	14±0.50	16±0.57	8±0.20	10±0.36	12±0.43	10±0.25	12±0.43	14±0.50	6±0.151	8±0.28	10±0.36
B.subtillus	9±0.2	11±0.35	13±0.41	5±0.12	6±0.19	9±0.28	10±0.25	12±0.38	13±0.4	7±0.17	9±0.28	10±0.32
S.aureus	8±0.36	10±0.45	12±0.38	4±0.18	7±0.31	8±0.25	8±0.36	10±0.45	10±0.32	6±0.27	9±0.40	10±.032

Four organic different leaves extracts of *C. senna* showed antibacterial activity against all the tested organisms. The present study was supported by the Hatil Hashim *et al*, [2] reported ethonolic extracts of *C. occidentalis*, *C. tora*, *C. senna*, *R. minima* var. *memnonia*, *A. maritime* and *C. nervatus* showed equal or nearly equal antibacterial activity against Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria.

In vitro antibacterial studies of the four different leaf extracts of Aristolochia bracteolata revealed that the methanol extract had significant activity against most of the organism and followed by aqueous extract, while the ethyl acetate extract possessed moderate activity (Table 2). Methanol extract exhibited the maximum inhibitory effect against M. luteus, B. subtilis and P. aeruginosa and considerable inhibitory activity against

E. coli, K. pneumoniae and S. aureus. Aqueous ethyl acetate and chloroform extracts had significant inhibitory activity against K. pneumoniae and M. luteus and moderate activity against E. coli, B. subtilis, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus. Simillarly, these results was supported by Kavitha and Nirmaladevi [16]. Extracts of Wrightia tinctoria are also showed similar pattern of Aristolochia bracteolate, this plant extracts inhibit all the test bacteria at different patterns (Table 2). Similarly, Wrightia tinctoria leaves extracts possessed potent antimicrobial properties against bacteria dermatophytic fungi. In particular, methanol extract were active against bacteria and hexane extract was active against dermatophytic fungi, suggesting that the active principles may be useful in the topical treatment of superficial skin infections [17].

The methonolic extracts of R. tetraphylla showed maximum activity against E. coli, K. pnemoniae, P. aeruginosa and M. luteus then followed by Bacillus subtilis and S. aureus. These results revealed that extracts of R. tetraphylla exhibited significant antibacterial activity. In testing, inhibition zone increased with increase in drug concentrations and thus exhibiting concentration dependent activity. Suresh et al, [18] reported the better antimicrobial activity of ethanol extract obtained from Rauvolfia tetraphylla showed maximum activity against E.coli, Enterobacter aerogenes, Alcaligenes faecalis and different fungi tested Aspergillus niger and Penicillium spp were found to be more sensitive to crude extract when compared to others. The plants are the vital source of innumerable number of antimicrobial compounds. Several phytoconstituents like flavanoids [19], phenolics and polyphenols [20], tannins [21], terpenoids [22], sesquiterpenes [23], are effective antimicrobial substances against a wide range of microorganisms.

The discovery of a potent remedy from plant origin will be a great advancement in bacterial infection therapies. The results of present investigation clearly indicate that the antibacterial activity vary with the species of the plants and plant material used. These results suggest that the plant extracts possess compounds with antimicrobial properties that can be further explored for antimicrobial activity. This antibacterial study of the plant extracts demonstrated that folk medicine can be as effective as modern medicine to combat pathogenic microorganisms. The millenarian use of these plants in folk medicine suggests that they represent an economic and safe alternative to treat infectious diseases. These plants could serve as useful

sources for new antimicrobial agents. Further work is needed to isolate the active principle from the plant extracts and to carry out pharmaceutical studies.

REFERENCES

- Srivastava, J., J. Lambert and N. Vietmeyer, 1996. Medicinal plants: An expanding role in development. World Bank Technical., pp. 320.
- Hashim, H., E.L. Kamali and Y. Mohammed, 2010. Antibacterial Activity and Phytochemical Screening of Ethanolic Extracts Obtained from Selected Sudanese Medicinal Plants Current Research J. Biological Sci., 2(2): 143-146.
- Uniyal, S.K., K.N. Singh, P. Jamwal and B. Lal, 2006. Traditional use of medicinal plants among the tribal communities of Chhota Bhangal, Western Himalayan. J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed. 2: 1-14.
- Ghani, A., 1990. Introduction to Pharmacognosy. Ahmadu Bello University Press, Ltd. Zaria, Nigeria. 45-47: 187-197.
- Dobelis, I.N., 1993. Magic and medicine of plants. The Readers Digest Association Inc. Pleasant, New York, Montreal. pp: 8-48.
- Lai, P.K. and J. Roy, 2004. Antimicrobial and chemopreventive properties of herbs and spices. Curr. Med. Chem., 11: 1451-1460.
- Erdogrul, O.T., 2002. Antibacterial activities of some plant extract used in folk medicine. Pharm. Biol., 40: 269-273.
- Sofowora, A., 1993. Screening Plants for Bioactive Agents. In: Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicinal in Africa. 2nd Ed. Spectrum Books Ltd, Sunshine House, Ibadan, Nigeria. pp. 134-156.
- Trease, G.E. and W.C. Evans, 2002. Pharmacognosy.
 15th Ed. Saunders Publishers, London, pp. 42-44,
 221-229, 246-249, 304-306, 331-332, 391-393.
- Bauer, R.W., M.D.K. Kirby, J.C. Sherris and M. Turck, 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by standard single disc diffusion method. American J. Clinical Pathol., 45: 493-496.
- Hassan, M.M., A.O. Oyewale, J.O. Amupitan, M.S. Abduallahi and E.M. Okonkwo, 2004. Preliminary Phytochemical and antibacterial investigation of crude extracts of the root bark of *Detarium microcarpum*. J. Chem. Soc. Nigeria. 29: 26-29.
- Usman, H. and J.C. Osuji, 2007. Phytochemical and *in vitro* anti microbial assay of the leaf extract of *Newbouldia leavis*. Afr. J. Trad. CAM., 4(4): 476-480.

- Edwin, S., E. Edwin Jarald, L. Deb, A. Jain, H. Kinger, K.R. Dut and A. Amal Raj, 2008.
 Wound Healing and Antioxidant Activity of Achyranthes aspera. Pharmaceutical Biol., 46(12): 824-828.
- Varuna, K.M., M.U. Khan and P.K. Sharma, 2010. Review on *Achyranthes Aspera*, J. Pharmacy Res., 3(4): 714-717.
- Alam, M.T., M.M. Karim, N. Shakila and Khan, 2008. Antibacterial Activity of Different Organic Extracts of *Achyranthes Aspera* and *Cassia Alata*. J. Sci. Res., 1(2): 393-398.
- Kavitha, D. and R. Nirmaladevi, 2009. Assessment of Aristolochia bracteolata leaf extracts for its biotherapeutic potential, African J. Biotechnol., 8(17): 4242-4244.
- Kannan, P., B. Shanmugavadivu, C. Petchiammal and W. Hopper, 2006. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of *Wrightia tinctoria* leaf extracts against skin microorganisms. Acta. Bot. Hung., 48(3-4): 323-329.
- Suresh, K., S. Saravana Babu and R.. Harisaranraj, 2008. Studies on *In vitro* Antimicrobial Activity of Ethanol Extract of *Rauvolfia tetraphylla*. Ethnobotanical Leaflets. 12: 586-90.

- Tsuchiya, H., M. Sato, T. Miyazaki, S. Fujiwara, S. Tanigaki, M. Ohyama, T. Tanaka and M. Iinuma, 1966. Comparative study on the antibacterial activity of phytochemical flavanones against methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Ethnopharmacol., 50: 27-34.
- Mason, T.L. and B.P. Wasserman, 1987.
 Inactivation of red beet betaglucan synthase by native and oxidized phenolic compounds.
 Phytochemis., 26: 2197-2202.
- Ya, C., S.H. Gaffney, T.H. Lilley and E. Haslam, 1988. Carbohydrate-polyphenol complexation. In: Hemingway, R.W. and Karchesy, J.J. (ed.), Chemistry and significance of condensed tannins. Plenum Press; New York. pp. 553.
- Scortichini, M. and M. Pia Rossi, 1991. Preliminary in vitro evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of terpenes and terpenoids towards Erwinia amylovora (Burrill) J. Applied Bacteriol., 71: 109-112.
- Goren, N. H. Woerdenbag and C. 1996. Bozok-Johansson, Cytotoxic and antibacterial activities of sesquiterpene lactones isolated from Tanacetum praeteritum subsp. praeteritum. Planta Med., 62: 419-422.