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#### Abstract

Many problems faced by women nowadays who use public transportations are mainly about trip fare, comfort and safety. By the increasing rate of violence and crimes happen to women in public and in public transportations, it is necessary to conduct a research about the needs of female public transportation. This research aims to create a model about the necessity of public transportation for women with a certainty of safety, comfort and affordable fare. The method used in this research is by surveying female passenger respondents about the characteristics of social economy, trip and stated preference. The result of this research is a model of female public transportation mode selection $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=0,419+0,104 \Delta \mathrm{X}_{6}$, where this equation close to the precision of the model.


$\underline{\text { Key words: Public transportation • Female } \cdot \text { Stated Preference }}$

## INTRODUCTION

Public transportation users in several metropolitan cities in Indonesia such as Jakarta and Surabaya, for the bus passengers in Jakarta, especially busway, the female passengers proportion takes $55 \%$, while the male passengers proportion takes $45 \%$ [5]. Female passengers are nominating the public transportations not only in Indonesia. Based on the data from world bank of South Africa, there are $29 \%$ female and $21 \%$ male workers who use public transportation [1]. In Pune-India, public transportation users are $50 \%$ female and $35 \%$ male, in Dhaka-Bangladesh public transportation users are 52\% female and $38 \%$ male, in Ashgabat-Turkmensitan public transportation users are $58 \%$ female and $50 \%$ male and in Lima-Peru public transportation users are $95 \%$ female and $85 \%$ male. In all over the Europe, female is lesser to use private transportation, whereas the majority who use public transportations is female, in Swedia 70\% of private transportation users are male and in France $60 \%$ male who lives outside Paris travel using private transportations. Meanwhile, two third of the public transportation passengers are female and in United States in 2007, 55\% of public transportation users are female [2].

Women with low income and especially unmarried depend on mass transportations, due to financial problem that is preventing them from owning a private vehicle [3]. Besides the trip fare problem, there are still more
constraints and problems for women to use the public transportation, such as about the policy and the most important thing is there are a lot of violence toward women especially at night. Besides, the culture norms or even traditional clothes prevent females to access public transportation modes and two-wheeled vehicles [4]. The priority and essential issue of creating the most appropriate transportation system for passenger is safety [5]. Women must be the central role and are accustomed to take this challenge, because they have to hold the responsible in pursuing women's right in public and public transportation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was conducted by surveying female respondents who take public transportations daily either to school, university or office. Majority of the respondents have outdoor activities such as school, university or office.

The main research locations were at the university and industrial areas due to the high demand of transportation activities. The survey was conducted in Malang and Yogyakarta as representative of the students and Muslims majority, while Batam represents industrial city which had the equal number of Muslims and Non-Muslims. The total respondents of this research were 1060 female with the characteristics as below:
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Fig. 1: Female Respondent Characteristic

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mode selection model from regression analysis of trip fare attribute between ordinary public transportations operating in the city without comparing any type of transportation and additional facilities, the equation is as below:
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=1,041-0.0000345 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}$

By substituting the value of $\Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}$ into the equation, the probability will become as shown in Table 1 and Figure 2.

Mode selection model from regression analysis of trip fare attribute with additional air conditioner (AC) facility in female public transportations, the equation as below [6]:
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}=}=0,004-0.0000251 \Delta \mathrm{X}_{2}$

By substituting the value of $\Delta X_{2}$ into the equation, the probability will become as shown in Table 2 and Figure 3

Mode selection model from regression analysis of trip fare attribute with additional particular seat for pregnant women, elderly and children facility in female public transportation, the equation is as below:
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=1,294-0 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{3}$

By substituting the value of $\Delta \mathrm{X}_{3}$ into the equation, the probability will become as shown in Table 3 and Figure 4 [7].

Mode selection model from regression analysis of trip fare attribute with additional security service facility in female public transportations, the equation is as below:
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=1,480-0 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{4}$

By substituting the value of $\Delta X_{4}$ into the equation, the probability will become as shown in Table 4 and Figure 5.

Mode selection model from regression analysis of trip period attribute, the equation is as below:
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=0,676-0,013 \Delta \mathrm{X}_{5}$
By substituting the value of $\Delta \mathrm{X}_{5}$ into the equation, the probability will become as shown in Table 5 and Figure 5.

Mode selection model from regression analysis of frequency attribute, the equation is as below:
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=0,419+0,104 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{6}$

By substituting the value of $\Delta \mathrm{X}_{6}$ into the equation, the probability will become as shown in Table 6 and Figure 6.

Equation Models: The equations which are defined by several attributes are given in Table 7.

From Table 7, it is stated that the fifth equation is the closest model since it has the highest value of determination coefficient ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) and correlation coefficient ( R ) compared with the other models [8].
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Probability of mode selectionbased on trip fare difference between ordinary public transport and female public transport.


Fig. 2: Probability of mode selection based on trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations

Probability of transportation choices based on the trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations with air conditioner (AC)
facility


Fig. 3: Probability of transportation choices based on the trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations with air conditioner (AC) facility

Probability of transportationchoices based on the trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations with additional particular seats for pregnant women, elderly, and children


Fig. 4: Probability of transportationchoices based on the trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations with additional particular seats for pregnant women, elderly and children
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Fig. 5: Probability of transportation choices based on the trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations with additional security service

Probability of transportation choice based on travel time difference between ordinary
public transp ortations and female public transportations.


Fig. 5: Probability of transportation choice based on trip period difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations


Fig. 6: Probability of public transportation choice based on departure frequency difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations
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Table 1: Probability of mode selectionbased on trip fare difference between ordinary public transport and female public transport

| Opsi | X1 | X1.0 | Utility of Female | Probability of Female | Probability of Ordinary Public Transportation Choices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female Public Transportation Fare Difference | Ordinary Public Transportation Fare | Public Transportation Choises | Public Transportation Choices |  |
| 1 | -2000 | 0 | 1.110 | 0.752 | 0.248 |
| 2 | -1500 | 0 | 1.093 | 0.749 | 0.251 |
| 3 | -1000 | 0 | 1.076 | 0.746 | 0.254 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1.041 | 0.739 | 0.261 |
| 5 | 1000 | 0 | 1.006 | 0.732 | 0.268 |
| 6 | 1500 | 0 | 0.989 | 0.729 | 0.271 |
| 7 | 2000 | 0 | 0.972 | 0.725 | 0.275 |

Source: Analysis Result
Table 2: Probability of transportation choices based on the trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations with air conditioner (AC) facility

| Opsi | X2 | X2.0 | Utility of Female Public Transportation Choises | Probability of Female Public Transportation Choices | Probability of Ordinary Public Transportation Choices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female Public Transportation | Ordinary Public |  |  |  |
|  | Fare Difference | Transportation Fare |  |  |  |
| 1 | -2000 | 0 | 1.178 | 0.765 | 0.235 |
| 2 | -1500 | 0 | 1.151 | 0.760 | 0.240 |
| 3 | -1000 | 0 | 1.124 | 0.755 | 0.245 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1.071 | 0.745 | 0.255 |
| 5 | 1000 | 0 | 1.018 | 0.735 | 0.265 |
| 6 | 1500 | 0 | 0.991 | 0.729 | 0.271 |
| 7 | 2000 | 0 | 0.964 | 0.724 | 0.276 |

Source: Analysis Result
Table 3: Probability of transportation choices based on the trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations with additional particular seats for pregnant women, elderly and children

| Qpsi | X3 | X3.0 | Utility of Female Public Transportation Choises | Probability of Female Public Transportation Choices | Probability of Ordinary Public Transportation Choices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female Public Transportation | Ordinary Public |  |  |  |
|  | Fare Difference | Transportation Fare |  |  |  |
| 1 | -2000 | 0 | 1.160 | 0.761 | 0.239 |
| 2 | -1500 | 0 | 1.133 | 0.756 | 0.244 |
| 3 | -1000 | 0 | 1.106 | 0.751 | 0.249 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1.053 | 0.741 | 0.259 |
| 5 | 1000 | 0 | 1.000 | 0.731 | 0.269 |
| 6 | 1500 | 0 | 0.973 | 0.726 | 0.274 |
| 7 | 2000 | 0 | 0.946 | 0.720 | 0.280 |

Source: Analysis Result
Table 4: Probability of transportation choices based on the trip fare difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations with additional security service

| Opsi | X4 | X4.0 | ity of Female | Probability of Female | Probability of Ordinary Public Transportation Choices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female Public Transportation Fare Difference | Ordinary Public Transportation Fare | Public Transportation Choises | Public Transportation Choices |  |
| 1 | -2000 | 0 | 1.241 | 0.776 | 0.22 |
| 2 | -1500 | 0 | 1.223 | 0.773 | 0.227 |
| 3 | -1000 | 0 | 1.205 | 0.769 | 0.231 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1.170 | 0.763 | 0.237 |
| 5 | 1000 | 0 | 1.135 | 0.757 | 0.243 |
| 6 | 1500 | 0 | 1.117 | 0.753 | 0.247 |
| 7 | 2000 | 0 | 1.099 | 0.750 | 0.250 |

Source: Analysis Result
Table 5: Probability of transportation choice based on travel time difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportations.

| Opsi | X1 | X2 | Utility of Female Public Transportation Choises | Probability of Female Public Transportation Choices | Probability of Ordinary Public Transportation Choices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female Public Transportation Travel time Difference | Ordinary Public Transportation Travel time |  |  |  |
| 1 | -15 | 0 | 0.871 | 0.705 | 0.295 |
| 2 | -10 | 0 | 0.806 | 0.691 | 0.309 |
| 3 | -5 | 0 | 0.741 | 0.677 | 0.323 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.676 | 0.663 | 0.337 |
| 5 | 5 | 0 | 0.611 | 0.648 | 0.352 |
| 6 | 10 | 0 | 0.546 | 0.633 | 0.367 |
| 7 | 15 | 0 | 0.481 | 0.618 | 0.382 |

Source: Analysis Result

Table 6: Probability of public transportation choices based on departure frequency difference between ordinary public transportations and female public transportation

| Opsi | X1 | X2 | Utility of Female Public Transportation Choises | Probability of Female Public Transportation Choices | Probability of Ordinary Public Transportation Choices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female Public Transportation Frequency Difference | Ordinary Public Transportation Frequency |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10 | 0 | 1.459 | 0.811 | 0.189 |
| 2 | 8 | 0 | 1.251 | 0.777 | 0.223 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 1.043 | 0.739 | 0.261 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0.835 | 0.697 | 0.303 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.419 | 0.603 | 0.397 |

Source: Analysis Result

| Table 7: Equation Models |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| No | Equation Models | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | R |  |
| 1 | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=1,041-0.0003 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 0,061 | 0,004 |  |
| 2 | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=1,071-0.00005341 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0,098 | 0,010 |  |
| 3 | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=1,053-0.00005327 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{3}$ | 0,097 | 0,009 |  |
| 4 | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=1,170-0.00003531 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{4}$ | 0,077 | 0,277 |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=0,676-0,013 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{5}$ | 0,016 | 0,127 |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=0,419+0,104 . \Delta \mathrm{X}_{6}$ | 0,743 | 0,551 |  |

Source: Analysis Result

## CONCLUSION

- Survey indicates that the characteristic from 1060 respondents consisted of $47 \%$ students and $31 \%$ female workers, $30 \%$ respondent with income less than Rp 1.000 .000 /month and $19 \%$ with no income, with the purpose of the trip is $40 \%$ to school and $26 \%$ to the office.
- The probability of female public transportation mode selection is based on the cheaper fare ( Rp 2.000 ) with several different attributes. $75 \%$ female passengers agree without any additional facilities, $76 \%$ demand air-conditioned facility, $76 \%$ demand particular seats for pregnant women, elderly and children, $77 \%$ demand security officers. In short, among 100 respondents, more than $70 \%$ respondents need female public transportations. Based on the trip period attribute; 15 minutes shorter, shows that the probability of female public transportations demand is $92 \%$ whereas the trip frequency difference 10 times of the probability of female public transportation choices is $86 \%$.
- From several model equations from the attributes, we have selected an attribute model based on the frequency difference with the equation
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AUP}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{AU}}=0,419+0,104 \Delta \mathrm{X}_{6}$,
where this equation is the closest to the precision of the model.
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