Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research 13 (9): 1238-1244, 2013
ISSN 1990-9233

DOI: 10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2013.13.9.1027

Correlation Study and Regression Analysis of Drinking Water Quality in Kashan City, Iran

[1]*Mohammad Mehdi Heydari*, [1]*Ali Abasi*,
[1]*Seyed Mohammad Rohani* and [2]*Seyed Mohammad Ali Hosseini*

[1]Young Researchers Club, Kashan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kashan, Iran
[2]Kashan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kashan, Iran

Abstract: Water should be purified for a better life style. In this paper, a statistical regression analysis method of twenty one data points of drinking water at five fields (21wells) for Kashan city with hot and dry climate, in Isfahan, center of Iran was carried out. Samples were collected during October 2006 to May 2007.The temperature of the water Samples is in the range 25-30°C. This technique was based to study and calculate the correlation coefficients between various physicochemical parameters of drinking water at studied wells for Kashan city. Comparing the results with drinking water quality standards issued by World Health Organization (WHO), it is found that most of the water samples are not potable. Hydrochemical facies using Piper diagram indicate that in most part of this city, the chemical character of water is dominated by NaCl. All samples showed sulphate and Sodium ion higher and K^+ and F^- content lower than permissible limit. Highly positive correlation is observed between TDS and EC (R=0.995) and between Ca^{2+} and TH (R=0.948). The results showed that regression relations have the same correlation coefficients, as: (I) pH and TH, EC and TH (R=0.520), (II) NO_3^- and pH, TH and pH (R=0.520), (III) Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-}, TH and SO_4^{2-} , Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} (R=0.630). The results revealed that the systematic calculations of correlation coefficient between water parameters and regression analysis provide useful mean for rapid monitoring of water quality.

Key words: Kashan · Water quality parameters · Regression equation · Correlation coefficient · World Health Organization · Piper diagram

INTRODUCTION

Water is an elixir of life. It is an important component to human survival. Although three-fourth part of earth is being surrounded by water but a little portion of it can be used for drinking purpose. The physical and chemical parameters of water play a significant role in classifying and assessing water quality. It is the basic duty of every individual to conserve water resources [1]. Drinking water quality is affected by the presence of different soluble salts [2]. As the population increases, the water demand for industrial, domestic and agricultural uses increases too.When these demands exceed the naturally renewable supply, water shortage occurs in the area [3]. According to the World Health Organization drinking water must be free of chemicals and microbial contaminations which are risk to human health. Good drinking water quality is essential for the well being of all people. The natural water analysis for physical, chemical properties including trace element contents are very important for public health studies [4].

Also, investigations of the quality of drinking water samples have been continuously performed by researchers around the world. Therefore water quality control is very important. These studies are also a main part of pollution studies in the environment [5-6]. The data sets contain rich information about the behavior of the water resources. The classification, modelling and interpretation of monitoring data are the most important steps in the assessment of water quality.

Kashan is one of the biggest cities in the province of Isfahan, in center Iran. KASHAN is located 258 km (160 miles) south of TEHRAN. It had an estimated population of 290,000 in 2007 living on an area of 2,100 hectares.The Kashan is located between 33° 45' and 34° 23' latitude, and 51° 05' and 51° 54' longitude and Located in an altitude of 1,600 m above sea level (Fig. 1).

Corresponding Author: Mohammad Mehdi Heydari, Young Researchers Club, Kashan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kashan, Iran.



Fig. 1: The location of Kashan city on Iran map

This city is located between the Karkas mountain slope and Iran Central Desert, part of which constitutes Salt Lake [3]. As we get nearer to the central desert of Iran and sand hills and high grounds from the north and east, the climate will be hot and dry. In this region there is no permanent river but there are some dry streams which lead the floods of the neighboring mountains to the salt lake. The study area according to iso-precipitation map, is located between lines of 100 to 150 mm (mean of 130 mm) and the area's atmosphere temperature with respect of annually iso-therm map, is between 17.5-23, Annually mean evaporation of Kashan city is 2205.5mm.

21 Samples were collected during October 2006 to May 2007 [7-9]. This paper is a new study on water quality parameters using the correlation coefficient and regression method in analysing Kashan drinking water.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drinking Water Quality Data: Water samples were collected in clean polyethylene bottles from different sources viz. tube wells, hand pumps, open wells and other sources [10].

The drinking water quality depends on many physicochemical parameters and their concentrations, which are derived from laboratory tests on water samples.

21 Samples were collected during October 2006 to May 2007. Drinking water samples were collected from five different fields for Kashan city namely: (a) Kashan-North (3 wells), (b) Kashan-South (4 wells), (c) Kashan-West (5 wells), (d) Kashan-East (4 wells) and (e) Kashan-Center (5 wells) and analyzed during 2006-2007 at local water and sewage authority laboratories according to standard methods (Table 1).

Twelve parameters for the estimation of drinking water characteristics are adopted such as: pH, electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS), total hardness (TH) calcium (Ca^{+2}), magnesium (Mg^{+2}), sulphate (SO_4^{2-}), chloride (Cl^-), nitrate (NO_3^-), Sodium (Na^+), Potassium (K^+) and fluoride (F^-) by using standard techniques [11-13]. The temperature of the water Samples is in the range 25-30°C.

The important physico-chemical characteristics of analyzed water samples viz., Mean, Standard Deviation (SD), Standard Error (SE) and Coefficient of Variation (CV) have been presented in Table 2 and the values are compared with standard parameters in Table 3.

The Coefficient of Variation observed for Mg and NO_3 values found to be 54.83% and 67.88% respectively. Also the observed Coefficient of Variation in Mg and NO_3 are of very high.

The Coefficient of Variation for pH, TDS, EC, Cl, SO_4, F, Ca, Na, K and TH found to be 3.18%, 20.33%, 21.42%, 34.34%, 24.0%, 11.09%, 32.73%, 32.36%, 14.30% and 28.54%.

It shows that variation in these parameters among its measured values at different locations is not high and variation range is very narrow (Table 2).

In the present study, pH ranges from 7.12-7.92, which lies in the range prescribed by WHO [14]. All the samples show higher EC values than the permissible limit. All water samples showed sulphate and Sodium ion higher than permissible limit. All the water samples (100%) contained K^+ and F^- content lower than permissible limit**.** only 2% samples had NO_3^- concentration higher than limit (Table 3).

Table 1: Physicochemical parameters of drinking water at studied wells for Kashan city

Code No.	Name of well	pH	TDS (mg/l)	EC (µmhos/cm)	Cl^- (mg/l)	SO_4^{2-} (mg/l)	NO_3^- (mg/l)	F^- (mg/l)	Ca^{2+} (mg/l)	Mg^{2+} (mg/l)	Na^+ (mg/l)	K^+ (mg/l)	TH (mg/l)
1	Kashan-North 1	7.38	1592	2209	306	476	35.14	0.91	213	55.00	244	7.122	713
2	Kashan-North 2	7.41	1288	1779	297	375	8.31	0.91	172	30.00	216	6.921	516
3	Kashan-North 3	7.41	1511	2076	350	473	10.22	0.90	188	32.00	262	6.864	582
4	Kashan-South 1	7.92	2066	2975	674	676	46.27	0.76	212	67.00	382	8.740	805
5	Kashan-South 2	7.53	1748	2381	165	568	35.12	0.73	181	46.00	354	8.660	629
6	Kashan-South 3	7.14	1208	1629	287	433	14.38	0.70	88	31.00	288	8.850	244
7	Kashan-South 4	7.35	1258	1751	384	470	12.61	0.76	96	29.00	317	8.610	344
8	Kashan-West 1	7.32	1042	1407	188	292	16.35	0.70	126	31.00	127	7.850	471
9	Kashan-West 2	7.42	1027	1383	259	284	24.16	0.91	123	26.00	99	8.070	434
10	Kashan-West 3	7.14	950	1322	210	301	10.12	0.90	122	24.00	160	7.530	419
11	Kashan-West 4	7.78	1155	1579	364	407	52.12	0.92	162	43.00	272	7.350	530
12	Kashan-West 5	7.41	1103	1499	271	361	18.15	0.91	140	0.38	217	7.220	499
13	Kashan-East 1	7.82	1536	2039	389	379	31.25	0.71	118	0.41	264	9.925	442
14	Kashan-East 2	7.16	1428	1980	510	482	6.22	0.65	107	27.00	446	9.851	373
15	Kashan-East 3	7.16	1613	2215	439	415	16.13	0.70	119	24.00	315	10.060	436
16	Kashan-East 4	7.51	1307	1860	412	454	22.14	0.78	106	27.00	342	10.230	357
17	Kashan-Center 1	7.36	1040	1454	458	568	42.63	0.78	244	31.00	295	7.150	703
18	Kashan-Center 2	7.75	1476	2098	433	545	0.51	0.81	259	0.33	287	6.960	746
19	Kashan-Center 3	7.24	1394	1907	313	571	17.25	0.76	207	29.00	250	7.554	653
20	Kashan-Center 4	7.52	1306	1750	325	419	10.35	0.80	128	42.00	212	7.142	490
21	Kashan-Center 5	7.12	1199	1621	233	300	10.12	0.75	119	29.00	160	6.934	438

Table 2: Statistical analysis of drinking water samples for Kashan city

Parameters	Max	Min	Range	Mean	SD	SE	CV %
pH	7.92	7.12	0.8	7.421	0.236	0.036	3.18
TDS	2066	950	1116	1345	273.5	42.2	20.33
EC	2975	1322	1653	1853	396.9	61.24	21.42
Cl^-	674	165	509	346	118.8	18.34	34.34
SO_4^{2-}	676	284	392	440.4	105.7	16.31	24
NO_3^-	52.12	0.51	51.61	20.93	14.21	2.192	67.88
F^-	0.92	0.65	0.27	0.798	0.088	0.014	11.09
Ca^{2+}	259	88	171	153.8	50.33	7.767	32.73
Mg^{2+}	67	0.33	66.67	29.72	16.3	2.514	54.83
Na^+	446	99	347	262.3	84.9	13.1	32.36
K^+	10.23	6.864	3.366	8.076	1.155	0.178	14.3
TH	805	244	561	515.4	147.1	22.7	28.54

Table 3: Comparison of drinking water quality with drinking water standards

Parameters	WHO	USPH	European standard	ISIRI1053	Present study
pH	6.9-9.2	6.0-8.5	6.5-8.5	6.5-9.0	Report
TDS	500-1500 mg/l	500	500	1500	7.12-7.92
EC	300 µmhos/cm	300	400	300	950-2066
Cl^-	200-600 mg/l	250	250	250	1322-2975
SO_4^{2-}	200-250 mg/l	250	-	250	165-674
NO_3^-	40-50 mg/l	-	-	50	284-676
F-	1-1.5 ppm	-	-	1.5	0.51-52.12
Ca^{2+}	75-200 mg/l	100	100	300	0.65-0.92
Mg^{2+}	30-150 mg/l	30	-	30	88-259
Na^+	50-60 mg/l	-	-	200	0.33-67
K^+	20 mg/l	-	-	20	99-446
TH	100-500 mg/l	500	-	500	6.86-10.23

USPH - United States Public Drinking water Standard

WHO - World Health Organisation

ISIRI1053 - Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran, Drinking water



Fig. 2: Piper diagram of 21 drinking water samples

Total hardness was higher in 26% Samples whereas 74% samples contained TH within optimum limit (Table 3). The level of TDS is one of the characteristics, which decides the quality of drinking water.The analyzed data show that 29% samples had more than the maximum permissible limit.

In this study area, the chloride values of drinking water were in the range of 165–674, indicating salty water. In the present study, Magnesium content for all samples has high value low and within the WHO standards limit. Calcium content 24% samples had more than the maximum permissible limit.

According to Tables 1, the hydrochemical facies of waters in most area of this city was sodium-chloride type. The Piper diagram of these sampling waters is shown in Fig. 2. According to this diagram, the dominant anion is chloride, which dominates the concentration of sulfate and bicarbonate in more than 60% sampling samples.

Linear Regression Model: The mathematical models used to estimate water quality require two parameters to describe realistic water situations. Correlation analysis measures the closeness of the relationship between chosen independent and dependent variables. If the correlation coefficient is nearer to +1 or –1, it shows the probability of linear relationship between the variables x and y. The correlation between the parameters is characterized as strong, when it is in the range of +0.8 to 1.0 and -0.8 to -1.0, moderate when it is having value in the range of +0.5 to 0.8 and -0.5 to -0.8, weak when it is in the range of +0.0 to 0.5 and-0.0 to -0.5 [15]. This analysis attempts to establish the nature of the relationship between the variables and thereby provides a mechanism for prediction or forecasting [16].

In this study, the relationship of water quality parameters on each other in data of water analyzed was determined by calculating Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient, R, by using the formula as given:

$$R = \frac{\sum (X-\bar{X})(Y-\bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum (X-\bar{X})^2 \sum (Y-\bar{Y})^2}} \quad (1)$$

Where, x (x =values of x-variable, $\bar{x}$ =average values x) and y (y =values of y-variable, $\bar{y}$ =average values y) represents two different water quality parameters. If the values of correlation coefficient 'R' between two variables X and Y are fairly large, it implies that these two variables are highly correlated.

To determine the straight linear regression, following equation of straight line can be used:

$$Y = a + bX \quad (2)$$

Where, y and x are the dependent and independent variable respectively. a is the slope of line, b is intercept on y axis.

The value of empirical parameters 'a' and 'b' are calculated with the help of the following equation:

$$b = \frac{\sum XY - \bar{X}\sum Y}{\sum X^2 - \bar{X}\sum Y} \quad (3)$$

$$a = \bar{Y} - b\bar{X} \quad (4)$$

In statistics, correlation is a broad class of statistical relationship between two or more variables. The correlation study is useful to find a predictable relationship which can be exploited in practice. It is used for the measurement of the strength and statistical significance of the relation between two or more water quality parameters [17].

To study the correlation between various water quality parameters, the regression analysis was carried out using computer software SPSS, version–7.5.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The systematic calculation of correlation coefficient between water quality variables and regression analysis provide indirect means for rapid monitoring of water quality. The correlation coefficient measures the degree of association that exists between two variables, one taken

Table 4: Correlation coefficients among various water quality parameters

Parameters	pH	TDS	EC	Cl^-	SO^{42-}	NO_3^-	F^-	Ca^{2+}	Mg^{2+}	Na^+	K^+	TH
pH	1											
TDS	0.455	1										
EC	0.466	0.995	1									
Cl^-	0.423	0.528	0.576	1								
SO_4^{2-}	0.379	0.692	0.724	0.63	1							
NO_3^-	0.52	0.249	0.254	0.248	0.34	1						
F^-	0.179	-0.287	-0.265	-0.249	-0.246	0.121	1					
Ca^{2+}	0.407	0.347	0.38	0.265	0.63	0.306	0.29	1				
Mg^{2+}	0.1	0.4	0.417	0.168	0.392	0.51	0.034	0.19	1			
Na^+	0.216	0.628	0.649	0.686	0.751	0.195	-0.473	0.127	0.205	1		
K^+	0.021	0.33	0.319	0.364	0.107	0.089	-0.647	-0.518	-0.077	0.556	1	
TH	0.52	0.492	0.52	0.304	0.63	0.412	0.249	0.948	0.325	0.126	-0.449	1

Strong 2 Moderate 16 Weak 39 Negative 9

Table 5: Linear correlation coefficient R and regression equation for some pairs of parameters which have significant value of correlation

Pairs of parameters	R value (n=21)	Regression equation
pH - TH	0.520	pH = 0.0008 (TH) + 7.0064
TDS - EC	0.995	TDS = 0.6855 (EC) + 74.92
TDS - Cl^-	0.528	TDS = 1.2147 (Cl^-) + 924.75
TDS - SO_4^{2-}	0.692	TDS = 1.7897 (SO_4^{2-}) + 556.84
TDS - Na^+	0.628	TDS = 2.0237 (Na^+) + 814.22
EC - Cl^-	0.576	EC = 1.9242 (Cl^-) + 1187.20
EC - SO_4^{2-}	0.724	EC = 2.7185 (SO_4^{2-}) + 655.76
EC - Na^+	0.649	EC = 3.0353 (Na^+) + 1056.80
EC - TH	0.520	EC = 1.404 (TH) + 1129.40
Cl^- - SO_4^{2-}	0.630	Cl^- = 0.7032 (SO_4^{2-}) + 36.322
Cl^- - Na^+	0.686	Cl^- = 0.9607 (Na^+) + 94.027
SO_4^{2-} - Ca^{2+}	0.630	SO_4^{2-} = 1.3336 (Ca^{2+}) + 235.32
Na^+ - SO_4^{2-}	0.751	Na^+ = 0.6029 (SO_4^{2-}) -3.2171
TH - SO_4^{2-}	0.630	TH = 0.8874 (SO_4^{2-}) +124.59
Mg^{2+} - NO_3^-	0.510	Mg^{2+} = 0.5732 (NO_3^-) +17.723
F^- - K^+	-0.647	F^- = -0.0495 (K^+) + 1.1977
Ca^{2+} - K^+	-0.518	Ca^{2+} = -22.583 (K^+) + 336.19
Ca^{2+} - TH	0.948	Ca^{2+} = 0.3243 (TH) - 13.360
K^+ - Na^+	0.556	K^+ = 0.0076 (Na^+) + 6.0916
NO_3^- - pH	0.520	NO_3^- = 31.586 (pH) -213.480

as dependent variable. The greater the value of regression coefficient, the better is the fit and more useful the regression variables [16]. Correlation is the mutual relationship between two variables. Direct correlation exists when increase or decrease in the value of one parameter is associated with a corresponding increase or decrease in the value of other parameter [18].

In this study, the numerical values of correlation coefficient, R for the twelve water quality parameters are tabulated in Table 4.

From Table 4 it is shown that the idea of bearing a single parameter analyzed has relationship with other parameters. Highly positive correlation is observed between TDS and EC (R=0.995) (Fig 3.) and between Ca^{2+} and TH (R=0.948) (Fig 4.).

Hardness plays role in heart diseases in human. Hardness above approximately 200 mg/liter may cause scales in water pipes and distribution systems. According to this table, drinking water in this city is hard for all samples.

The TDS concentrations more than 1000 mg/liter can make scales in water pipes, heaters, boilers and household appliances.

No significant correlation among most of the parameters was observed in the water of kashan city. However, some of the parameters having correlation coefficients with p<0.05 were. (Table 5).

However some Very poor correlation were observed between F^- and Mg^{2+} (0.034) so that F^- is weakly depend on Mg^{2+} While low negatively correlation observed between K^+ and Mg^{2+} (-0.077).



Fig. 3: Correlation between total dissolved solids TDS and electrical conductivity EC



Fig. 4: Correlation between calcium Ca^{2+} and total hardness TH

The linear regression Analyses have been carried out for the water quality parameters which are found to have better and higher level of significance in their correlation coefficient (R>0.50). The regression equations obtained from the analysis are given in the Table 5. The different dependent characteristics of water quality were calculated using the regression equation and by substituting the values for the independent parameters in the equations.

These correlations suggested that the heavy and trace metals behaved independently of physical parameters, anions and major cations in the water of kashan city while some of the major cations, anions and physical parameters were found interrelated.

In current study, it is evident that distribution of Sodium Na^+, electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS), sulphate ($SO4^{2-}$) and chloride (Cl^-), were significantly correlated (R > 0.6).

NO_3^- and pH are positively correlated with all of the water parameters and F^- is negatively correlated with most of the water parameters. Highly negative correlation coefficient is found between F^- and K^+ (R = -0.647) and Ca^{2+} and K^+ (R = -0.518).

The results showed that regression relations have the same correlation coefficients, as: (I) pH and TH, EC and TH (R=0.520), (II) NO_3^- and pH, TH and pH (R=0.520), (III) Ca^{2+} and SO_4^{2-}, TH and SO_4^{2-} , Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} (R=0.630).

Interrelationship studies between different variables are very helpful tools in promoting research and opening new frontiers of knowledge. The study of correlation reduces the range of uncertainty associated with decision making [19].

Finaly, it can be concluded that the correlation studies of the water quality parameters have great significance in the study of water resources. According to these results, in most parts of this city, these values exceeded the prescribed limit of WHO.

CONCLUSION

Drinking water is most essential for livelihoods and for other consumptions in Kashan city with hot and dry climate. A new study was carried out on water quality parameters using the correlation coefficient and regression method in analysing Kashan drinking water. Comparing the results with drinking water quality standards issued by World Health Organization (WHO), it is found that most of the water samples are not potable. Hydrochemical facies using Piper diagram indicate that in most part of this city, the chemical character of water is dominated by NaCl. The statistical analysis of the experimentally estimated water quality parameters on water samples yielded the range of the variation, mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation. Since the correlation coefficient gives the interrelationship between the parameters, correlation coefficients were calculated. A linear regression analysis technique has been proven to be a very useful tool for monitoring drinking water and has a good accuracy. In the correlation regression study, we can conclude that with most of the parameters are more or less correlated with each other.

This study showed or proved that all the physicochemical parameters of drinking water in Kashan city are more or less correlated with each other. Present study may be treated as one step towards the drinking water quality management.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Mr. Jafarzadeh, the head of Kashan Regional Water Organization, and Mr. Sadraeiyeh, the head of Kashan Water and Wastewater Company, for their assistance.

REFERENCES

1. Jothivenkatachalam, K., A. Nithya and S. Chandra Mohan, 2010. Correlation analysis of drinking water quality in and around Perur block of Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu, India, Rasayan Journal Chemistry, 3(4): 649654.
2. Sonawane, V.Y. and A.M. Khole, 2010. Water quality of some drinking waters inParbhani city: a case study, Journal of Chemical Pharmaceutical Research, 2(5): 104107.
3. Jamshidzadeh, Z. and S.A. Mirbagheri, 2011. Evaluation of groundwater quantity and quality in the Kashan Basin, Central Iran, J. Desalination, 270: 23-30.
4. Anonymous, Report on UN Conf. On Environ. & Development, 1992, A/CONF. 151/26., 1, 277.
5. Kot, B., R. Baranowski and A. Rybak, 2000. Analysis of mine waters using X-ray fluorescence spectrometry, Polish J.Environ. Stud., 9: 429.
6. Soylak. M., F. Armagan Aydin, S. Saracoglu, L. Elci and M. Dogan, 2002a. Chemical analysis of drinking water samples from Yozgat, Turkey. Polish J. Environ. Stud., 11(2): 151-156.
7. Study of water resources for Kashan, 1977, Iranian ministry of power.
8. Study of water resources for Kashan, 2006. Iranian ministry of power.
9. Baghvand, A., T. Nasrabadi, G.N. Bidhendi, A. Vosoogh, A.R. Karbassi and N. Mehrdadi, 2010. Groundwater quality degradation of an aquifer in Iran central desert, J. Desalination, pp: 1-12.
10. Sharma, J.D., P. Sharma, P. Jain and D. Sohu, 2005. Chemical Analysis of Drinking Water of Sanganer Tehsil, Jaipur District, International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 2(4): 373-379.
11. Anonymous, Guidelines for drinking water quality (2), 1996,231, World Health Organization (WHO).
12. Standard Organization and Iran Industrial Research, Water treatment physicochemical Specification of Iran (Tehran), 1997, Edition 5, Standard, pp: 1053.
13. APHA, 1998. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th ed., American Public Health Association, Washington DC, pp: 2005-2605.
14. World Health Organization (WHO), 1993. Guidelines for drinking water quality, Recommendations, 1: 1308, Geneva.
15. Achuthan Nair, G., Abdullan I. Mohamad and Mahamoud Mahdy Fadiel, 2005, Poll Res., 24(1): 1-6.
16. Kumar, N. and D.K. Sinha, 2010. Drinking water quality management through correlation studies among various physicochemical parameters: a case study, International Journal of Environmental Sciences, 1(2): 253259.
17. Mehta, K.V., 2010. Physicochemical characteristics and statistical study of groundwater of some places of Vadgam taluka in Banaskantha district of Gujarat state (India), Journal of Chemical Pharmaceutical Research, 2(4): 663670.
18. Patil, V.T. and P.R. Patil, 2011. Groundwater quality of open wells and tube wells around Amalner town of Jalgaon, district, Maharashtra, India, Electronic Journal of Chemistry, 8(1): 5378.
19. Shyamala, R.T., M. Shanthi and P. Lalitha, 2011. Physicochemical Analysis of Borewell Water Samples of Telungupalayam Area in Coimbatore District, Tamilnadu, India, Electronic Journal of Chemistry, 5(4): 924-929.