

Enteropathogens of Public Health Importance in Imported Frozen Meat and Chicken

Fathi A. Elnawawi, Osama A. Attala and Samaa Saleh

Department of Food Hygiene and Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt

Abstract: A total of 170 random samples of imported frozen meat, imported frozen chicken and locally frozen chicken was collected from different markets in Cairo and Giza cities. The samples were transported to the laboratory where they were examined bacteriologically for determination of their sanitary status. Bacteriological examination of the samples revealed that 87, 80 and 72% of imported frozen meat, imported frozen chicken and locally frozen chicken were positive for coliforms. *E. coli* O₁₅₈ was isolated from 8, 6 and 2.86% of locally frozen chicken, imported frozen chicken and imported frozen meat respectively, while *E. coli* O₈₆ was isolated from 2 and 1.42% of imported frozen chicken and imported frozen meat respectively. Moreover, *E. coli* O₄₄ was isolated only from one sample (2%) of imported frozen chicken. In addition, other *E. coli* species were isolated from 5.71, 2 and 2% of imported frozen meat, imported frozen chicken and locally frozen chicken respectively. *E. coli* O₁₅₇:H₇ and *Salmonella* species failed to be isolated in all examined samples. *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter* and *Providencia stuarti* were isolated at different incidences from the examined samples. The public health hazard of these microorganisms as well as the recommended measures to improve sanitary quality status of imported frozen meat and chicken either imported or locally produced were discussed.

Key words: Frozen Meat • Frozen Chicken • Coliforms • Enteropathogens • *E. coli*

INTRODUCTION

In recent years Egypt imports great amounts of frozen meat every year not only to reflect their consumers local needs but also importation of meat was formed as a main part of the process of greater harmonization of trade in our market [1]. Imported meat is often much more heavily contaminated than home killed meat and contamination rates in excess of 20 % can be expected [2].

Contamination of carcass surfaces by food borne pathogens is a major public health problem, where a healthy animal may harbor pathogenic bacteria on its hide, hair, hooves and intestinal tract [3, 4].

Freezing of meat is based on the retardation of microbial growth to the point where decomposition due to microbial action does not occur [5, 6].

There are two types of enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), typical, which possess the EPEC adherence factor (EAF) plasmid and atypical, which do not possess the EAF plasmid. Currently, the EPEC isolated in industrialized countries are atypical while those from

developing countries are typical. Interestingly Cheasty [7] added that the only reservoir for typical EPEC is man, whereas for atypical EPEC both man and animals can be reservoirs. The traditional EPEC serogroups are: O₂₆, O₅₅, O₈₆, O₁₁₁, O₁₁₄, O₁₁₉, O_{125ac}, O₁₂₆, O₁₂₇, O_{128ab}, O₁₄₂ and O₁₅₈. Whereas the new serogroups were: O₈₈, O₁₄₅ and O₁₅₇. During the past 23 year, a large number of human illness outbreaks have been traced worldwide to consumption of undercooked ground beef and other beef products contaminated with Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC). Although several routes exist for human infection with STEC, beef remains a main source [3].

Infections with EPEC are a world-wide public health problem, related to consumption of contaminated ground beef [8]. *E. coli* is a normal and healthy part of the intestinal microflora of many warm blooded animals including humans. However some strains can cause diseases e.g. verocytogenic *E. coli* including serotype O₁₅₇: H₇ are among group causing chronic and potentially fatal illness, related to their ability to produce one or more toxins known as verotoxin or shiga - like toxin [9].

Salmonellosis is a worldwide health problem; Salmonella infections are the second leading cause of bacterial foodborne illness in the United States [10]. Food borne salmonellosis is still the most important food borne infection in man. Such contamination occurs within slaughterhouses and during further processing of meat where abattoirs have been demonstrated as the potential sources of contamination of meat destined for human consumption [11].

The present study was applied to determine the prevalence of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* in imported frozen meat and chicken and locally frozen chicken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling: Seventy random samples of frozen meat and fifty random samples of each of locally frozen chicken and imported frozen chicken were collected from different supermarkets in Cairo and Giza cities. At the laboratory each sample was subjected to the bacteriological investigations as follow:

Determination of Coliform Counts (MPN)

It Was Carried out According to APHA [12-14]

Conventional Recovery of *E. coli* Organisms: A loopful from each positive *Escherichia coli* broth tube (with turbidity and gas formation) was used in determination of fecal coliform bacteria (MPN) was streaked onto plates of Eosin methylene blue (EMB) (Oxoid CM 69) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Typical colonies (Greenish metallic with dark purple center) were picked up and transferred to Nutrient agar slants and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, for further identification.

Conventional Recovery of *Salmonella* Organisms

[15-19]: From each sample, 25 grams were pre-enriched in 225 ml 1% buffered peptone water and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Then one ml of the pre-enriched broth was transferred into a tube containing 10 ml of Rappaport Vassiliadis (Oxoid CM 669) broth and incubated at 40°C for 48 hours. A loopful from the enriched broth was streaked onto Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate (XLD) and S.S agar (Oxoid) and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

The isolated strains were serotyped in the Central laboratories of the Ministry of Health, Cairo, Egypt.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The coliform group of bacteria is a reliable indicator of fecal pollution and general unsanitary condition of meat and meat products. Presence of coliforms in food is indicative of possible presence of enteropathogenic and /or toxigenic microorganisms which could constitute a public health hazard [20].

The results given in Table 1 indicated that imported frozen meat samples were the most frequently contaminated by coliforms (87%), followed by imported frozen chicken (80%) and while locally frozen chicken samples were the least frequently contaminated (72%).

The data given in Table (1) also indicated that the MNP of coliforms ranged from (0.48 to 5.04 log₁₀ CFU/g), (0.47 to 5.04 log₁₀ CFU/g) and (0.6 to 4.04 log₁₀ CFU/g) for imported frozen meat, imported frozen chicken and locally frozen chicken respectively with mean values of 2.4±0.11, 2±0.16 and 1.8±0.11 respectively.

The obtained results are nearly similar to that reported by Varkony [21] who mentioned that the average count of coliforms in quick frozen meat rolls was 2.6 log₁₀ cfu/g, while higher than that average was reported by Morshidy and Roushdy [22] and Chamber *et al.* [23] who mentioned that the mean MPN of coliforms was 3.5 and 2.9 log₁₀ CFU/g respectively.

Regarding the frequency distribution of coliforms in examined samples, the data given in Table (2) indicated that the highest presence of coliforms was in imported frozen meat samples (34%) and locally frozen chicken samples (40%) in the range of 10² - 10³, while in imported frozen chicken (28%) was within the range of 10-10².

Considering the total incidence of *E. coli* species isolated from the different types of samples investigated, the results given in Table (3) indicated that 7(10%), 6(12%) and 5(10%) of imported frozen meat, imported frozen chicken and locally frozen chicken respectively were contaminated with *E. coli*. the obtained results are higher than that reported by [24] and [25] who isolated *E. coli* from 1.8 and 2.51% of frozen meat samples examined respectively.

Regarding the chicken samples lower results were reported by Moharum [26]. Mansour [27] recovered *E. coli* with the same serotypes of the present study from (8%) of locally frozen chicken, followed by imported frozen chicken(6%), while imported frozen meat was least contaminated by these strain (2.86 %).

Table 1: Most probable number of coliforms (log₁₀ CFU/g) of examined samples

Samples Items		Imported Frozen meat (n=70)	Imported Frozen chicken (n=50)	Locally Frozen chicken (n=50)
Positive samples	No	61	40	36
	%	87%	80%	72%
Min. (CFU/gm)		0.48	0.47	0.6
Max. (CFU/gm)		5.04	5.04	4.04
Mean (CFU/gm)		2.4	2	1.8
S. E.M ±		0.11	0.16	0.11

*S.E.M = Standard Error Mean

Table 2: The frequency distribution of coliforms in examined samples

Intervals	Frozen meat (N=70)		Imported Frozen chicken (N=50)		Locally Frozen chicken (N=50)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
<10	11	16%	13	26%	17	34%
10-10 ²	10	14%	14	28%	5	10%
10 ² -10 ³	24	34%	9	18%	20	40%
10 ³ -10 ⁴	15	21%	8	16%	6	12%
10 ⁴ -10 ⁵	8	11%	3	6%	2	4%
10 ⁵ -10 ⁶	2	3%	3	6%	0	0
Total	70	100%	50	100%	50	100%

Table 3: Incidence of *E. coli* species in examined samples

Serotypes isolated	Imported frozen meat (N=70)		Imported frozen chicken (N=50)		Locally frozen chicken (N=50)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
<i>E. coli</i> (O ₁₅₈)	2	2.86%	3	6%	4	8%
<i>E. coli</i> (O ₈₆ , K ₆₁)	1	1.42%	1	2%	0	0
<i>E. coli</i> (O ₄₄ , K ₇₄)	0	0	1	2%	0	0
Untypical <i>E. coli</i> species	4	5.71%	1	2%	1	2%
Total incidence of <i>E. coli</i> species	7	10%	6	12%	5	10%

The obtained results also indicated that *E. coli* (O₁₅₇:H₇) had been failed to be isolated from all the investigated samples. Similar results were reported by several investigators [24, 28-29] while Kihal and Barka [30] isolated one strain of *E. coli* (O157:H7) from 251 imported frozen meat samples with an incidence of 0.44%. Regarding the isolation of Salmonella during the present investigation, the obtained results proved that all the different types of samples weren't contaminated with salmonellae; however Moharum [28] Isolated one strain of Salmonella from 1082 samples of frozen boneless beef and Vanderlinde [31] reported that 3 out of 787 frozen meat samples were contaminated with salmonellae.

During the trials for isolation of *E. coli* and salmonella the following organisms *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter* and *Providencia stuarti* were recovered at different incidences from the examined samples as shown in Table (4).

These results are higher than those detected by Rashwan [32] and lower than those recorded by Nassar and Fathi [33] and Banwart [34].

Proteus mirabilis causes 90% of proteus infection and can be considered a community- acquired infection, both proteus and pseudomonas organisms are Gram negative bacilli and can cause endotoxin induced sepsis, resulting in systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) [35].

Klebsiella and *Enterobacter* species are considered as potential health hazard members of the coliform group and can cause food spoilage [36, 37].

From these results presence of coliforms and *E. coli* species in chicken and meat products might be indicative of defective techniques applied during preparation, handling, processing and storage which may lead to economic loses [29]. From the given results in Table 5 it is observed that 70% of the samples of imported frozen meat were unaccepted according to the limits of codex almintares while 50 % and 58 % of the samples of imported and locally frozen chicken examined during this investigation should be considered unaccepted samples on the other hand E.S.S. (1991) revealed no limites about presence of coliform counts.

Table 4: Incidence of other pathogenic microorganisms isolated from examined samples

Isolates	Imported frozen meat (N=70)		Imported frozen chicken (N=50)		Locally frozen chicken (N=50)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
Proteus Mirabilis	6	9%	5	10%	1	2%
Pseudomonas	4	6%	3	6%	2	4%
Klebsiella spp.	1	1%	0	0	2	4%
Enterobacter	0	0	0	0	1	2%
Providencia stuarti	1	1 %	0	0	0	0

Table 5: The acceptability of the examined imported frozen meat, imported frozen and locally frozen chicken based on the coliform limit of Codex Alimentares (n=170)

Samples	Accepted samples		Unaccepted samples	
	Number	%	Number	%
Imported Frozen meat (n=70)	21	30%	49	70%
Imported frozen chicken (n=50)	26	52%	24	48%
locally frozen chicken (n=50)	25	50%	25	50%
Total number of samples	72	42%	98	58%

*Acceptable limits of coliforms according to Codex Alimentarius [18] should not exceed than 10² /g

* There is no limit mentioned to coliforms in the E.S.S. [19]

CONCLUSION

The study displayed that high percent of imported frozen meat, imported frozen chicken and locally frozen chicken were positive for coliforms. *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter* and *Providencia stuarti* were isolated at different incidences from the examined samples. Such contamination occurs within slaughterhouses and during further processing of meat where abattoirs have been demonstrated as the potential sources of contamination. Therefore, it is advisable to improve sanitary quality status of imported frozen meat and chicken either imported or locally produced.

REFERENCES

- General Organization for Veterinary Service, 2004. General Management for slaughtering and General Management for Quarantine and Inspection.
- Hayes, P.R., 1992. Food Microbiology and Hygiene. 2nd edition. London and New York.
- Hussein, H.S., 2007. Prevalence and pathogenicity of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in beef cattle and their products. *Animal Science Journal*, 85: 63-72.
- Korsak, N., G. Daube, Y. Ghafir, A. Chahed, S. Jolly and H. Vindevogel, 1998. An efficient sampling technique used to detect four food borne pathogens on pork and beef carcasses in nine Belgain Abattoirs. *Journal Food Protein*, 61: 535-541.
- Christophersen, J., 1968. Effect of freezing and thawing on microbial population of food stuff. Low temperature biology of food stuffs J. Hawthorn and T.J. Rolfe, Eds, Bergamen oxford.
- ICMSF (Put the Meaning of the Abbrev.), 1978. Microorganisms in foods. Vol. I, 2nd ed. Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, Canada.
- Cheasty, T., 2008. Non - verocytotoxin - producing Enterovirulent *Escherichia coli*. *Oxid*, 29(2): September 2008 ISS No 965 -0989.
- Alexandre, M., V. Prado, M.T. Ulloa, C. Arellano, M. Rios, 2001. Detection of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in meat foods using DNA probes, enzyme - linked immuno sorbent assay and polymerase chain reaction. *Veterinary Medicine Journal*, 48: 321-330.
- Uhital, S., S. Jaksic, T. Petrak and P.K. Botka, 2001. Presence of *Escherichia coli* O₁₅₇: H₇ in ground beef and ground baby beef meat. *Journal Food Protein*, 64: 862-864.
- Foley, S.L. and A.M. Lynne, 2008. Food animal-associated salmonella challenges: pathogenicity and antimicrobial resistance. *J. Animal Sci.*, 86(14 Suppl): 173-87.
- Adesiyun, A.A. and O.O. Ani, 1989. Prevalence and antibiograms of salmonellae in Slaughter cattle, Slaughter areas and effluents in Zaria Abattoir. Nigeria. *Journal Food Protein*, 52(4): 232-235.
- APHA "American Public Health Association" 1992. Compendium of methods for microbiological examination of foods 3rd ed., Academic Press, Wastington, U.S.A.
- Elmer, W., D. Stephen, M. William, C. Paul and C. Washington, 1992. Color Atlas and Text - book of Diagnostic Microbiolgy. 4th Edition, J.B. Lippincott Company.
- Cruickshank, R., J.P. Dugud, B.P. Marmion and R.H.A. Swain, 1957. Medical. Microbiology 12th Ed., Edinburgh, London and New York.
- Edwards, P.R. and W.H. Ewing, 1972. Identification of Enterobacteriaceae 3rd. Ed. Burgess Publ. Co., Minneapolis, Minnesota.

16. ISO (International organization for standardization), 1993. ISO 6579: 1993 (E). General Guidance on Methods for the Detection of Salmonella.
17. Difco, 1997. Rose. 2001 isolation and identification of salmonella from meat, chicken and egg products Microbiology laboratory guide book, 3rd ed., rev. 1. Food safety and inspection service U.S Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. Difco Lab. Detroit Michigan U.S.A.
18. Diliello, L.R., 1982. Methods in food and dairy microbiology. A laboratory Training Manual for Quality Control Tests. Hutoioper, 27: 105.
19. Varkony, I.T., 1980. Microbiological quality of quick frozen meat rolls. Complete.
20. Morshidy, A. and S. Roushdy, 1983. Studies on imported Frozen Meat. Zgazig Veterinary Journal, 7: 394-403.
21. Chamber, J.V., D.O. Brechbill and D.A. Hill, 1976. A microbiological survey of raw ground beef in Ohio. J. Milk and Food Technol., 39: 530-535.
22. Phillips, D., D. Jordan, S. Morris, I. Jenson and J. Sumner, 2006. A national survey of the microbiological quality of beef carcasses and frozen boneless beef in Australia. Journal Food Protein, 69(5): 1113-1117.
23. Goda, E.F.M., N.A. Wassef, Z. Saad and H. El Sawah, 1981. Microbial Contamination of imported frozen meat. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc., 41(4): 89 -97.
24. Tolba, K., 2000. Sanitary status of marketed frozen chicken products exhibited in presentation freezers. Complete.
25. Biswas, A.K., N. Kondaiah, K.N. Bheilegaonkar, A.S.R. Anjaneyulu, S.K. Mendiratta, C. Jana, H. Singh and R.R. Kumar, 2008. Microbial profiles of frozen trimmings and silver sides prepared at Indian buffalo meat packing plants. Meat Sci., 80: 418-422.
26. Moharum, A., 2005. Quality of locally produced and imported frozen beef. M.V. Sc. thesis, Meat Hygiene Dep. Fac., Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
27. Mansour, A.F. and O.A. Basha, 2009. Hygienic status of imported frozen beef in Alexandrian market. Assuit Veterinary Medicine Journal, 55: 121.
28. Kihal, M. and M.S. Barka, 2010. Prevalence of Escherichia Coli Enterohemorrhagic O₁₅₇: H₇ in Frozen Bovine Meat in Algeria. J. Applied Sciences Res., 6(11): 1576-1580.
29. Vanderlinde, P.B., B. Shay and J. Murray, 1998. Microbial quality of Australian beef carcass meat and frozen bulk packed beef. Journal Food Protein, 61: 437.
30. Rashwan, A.M., 1997. Bacteria of public health hazard in imported Frozen Meat. B.V. SC. thesis, Meat hygiene Dep. Fac. Vet. Med, Alexandria Univ.
31. Nassar, A. and S. Fathi, 1997. Prevalence of Psychotropic microorganisms in imported frozen meat, Flisch Wirtschaft.
32. Banwart, J.G., 1981. Basic food microorganisms, 2nd Ed., New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, pp: 11-84.
33. Twedt, R.M. and B.K. Boutin, 1978. Potential health significance of non - *E. coli* forms in food. Journal Food Protein, 42(2): 101-103.
34. Valero, A., M. Rodríguez, E. Carrascoa, F. Pérez-Rodríguez, R.M. García-Gimenoa and G. Zurera, 2010. Studying the growth boundary and subsequent time to growth of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* serotypes by turbidity measurements. Food Microbiol., 27(6): 819-828.
35. Kelley Struble, D.O., Resident Physician and Michael Stuart Bronze, 2009. Proteus Infections. Medicine Journal Specialties, pp: 31.