

## **A Survey of Problem-based Learning and Traditional Methods of Teaching Anatomy to 200 Level Pharmacy Students of the University of Lagos, Nigeria**

*O.O. Azu and A.A. Osinubi*

Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine, University of Lagos-Nigeria

---

**Abstract:** The introduction of the problem-based learning may be a very uncomfortable problem for the student originally exposed to the didactic methods of teaching and learning. Our medical and pharmaceutical curriculum is yet to adopt this new method of learning and as such this study looks forward to exposing some aspects of the traditional style as well as introducing to the students the new method using PBL. It is envisaged that in the five-year Pharmacy programme, the students would be exposed to and monitored as well as their academic performance vis-à-vis their professional adjudged competencies using this two styles. Results from this preliminary study show that the PBL method has strong positive impact on the general perception and knowledge built of the students in their 200 level studies despite the obvious challenges of the environment.

**Key words:** Pharmacy students % Traditional method % PBL

---

### **INTRODUCTION**

Human anatomy is a crucial element of modern medical and pharmaceutical curriculum in many medical colleges across the world. The teaching of medical anatomy has been changing since the Anatomy curriculum began playing an integral role in the pharmaceutical education. Effective pharmaceutical education should therefore be seen as a continuum. Anatomy is one of the first year subjects which are common for all the basic health science courses in the Faculty of Pharmacy of the University of Lagos, Nigeria.

The word “anatomy” originated from ‘anatomia’ a Greek meaning to ‘cut up’ or ‘cut open. It is a branch of Biology which deals with the structure of living things. From a science which was taught using sacrificial victims to the sophisticated analyses of the body performed by scientist, anatomy has come a long way [1].

Anatomy teaching as practised by most traditional medical schools has limitation in meeting the educational needs of students. Researchers in medical and pharmaceutical education are therefore becoming increasingly aware that using a variety of teaching methods may ultimately improve retention of material, as well as enhance students' adaptability in problem-solving situations [2]. Hence, problem-based learning (PBL) was introduced into pharmacy school curricula as an adjunct and/or alternative to the traditional didactic, classroom-based model of teaching [3,4]. This teaching method, firstly developed at McMaster University in the 1960s, uses problems or cases to stimulate the students to construct the most appropriate solution. The method has several major characteristics [5].

PBL is a student-centred educational approach which encourages students to explore, inquire, explain, analyze, exchange, debate and manage information using relevant content-related scenarios as triggers for learning in a small group environment [6]. The PBL method of learning helps to gain new knowledge with the attendant spin-off benefit of the acquisition of problem-solving skills [7]. PBL facilitates not only the acquisition and organization of knowledge but also

---

**Corresponding Author:** O.O. Azu, Department of Anatomy, College of Medicine,  
University of Lagos-Nigeria, email: amechi2@yahoo.com

the development of several other desirable attributes, such as communication skills, team work, problem-solving skill, self-directed learning, sharing information and identification of personal strengths and weaknesses [8].

Learning is student-centered and occurs in small groups which are led by facilitators. The organizing focus of learning is the problem, which is selected to enhance the overall curricula and ultimately becomes the stimulus for the learning process [9].

The first objective of this study was to compare the traditional method of teaching anatomy with the new PBL method in 200 level Pharmacy students of the University of Lagos. This objective was set, in part, to determine the appropriateness of this teaching method for the cohort of students who were tested and to anticipate possible adjustments to teaching styles/methods that might be required based on the student' preference of teaching style (as indicated by their learning-style scores). The second objective was to determine whether students' learning styles differed before and after exposure to a PBL course. This objective was based on the suggestion that students may prefer certain styles of learning, but these preferences may change according to class structures, demands of teachers, assignments, or evaluations [10]. This objective was also set to determine whether changes in learning-style scores occurred secondarily to changes in social interactive variables that occurred over the semester. The third objective was to set a baseline for developing the practise of PBL in our environment.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A total of one hundred and fifty 200 level Pharmacy students were randomly divided into fifteen groups of ten per group during their 2 semester course in Anatomy in the academic year 2007/8 session. The students were exposed to both the PBL and traditional methods of teaching anatomy alternately. The process in PBL sessions included initial brainstorming to formulate questions and setting objectives and subsequent searches for resources such as textbooks, journals and medical websites for relevant information. Students returned in the following session to discuss the information, making possible diagnosis (when applicable)/ or interpretation and developing a plan for further evaluation or action. The tutors, playing the role of facilitators or coordinators, communicated the rules and goals of the course to the students, instructed students' learning processes instead of to impart factual knowledge during tutorial sessions [11].

At the end of the session, the students were asked to fill out questionnaires that were administered to them to assess their overall perception of the PBL method with the traditional method. One hundred and thirty three returned the questionnaires which were analysed statistically.

## **RESULTS**

The student demographic data are listed in Table 1. The Majority of the students were females between ages 15-20 years old. The majority of our students in the 200 level Pharmacy programme typically have completed only their first-year undergraduate general sciences prior to proceeding into full programmes of the Faculty. In addition, most of the students do not have any higher education or experience in retail or hospital pharmacy.

The students were predominantly single (81.2%) with over 70% entering the programme with the Senior School Certificate (Table 1).

More than half of the students (58.1%) scored over 200 in their universities matriculation examination (UME) and 48.1% had distinction (Table 2). Forty seven percent of these students said they have been taught Anatomy by both the PBL and traditional methods combined while 22.6% said by only the traditional method and 21.1% by the PBL method only. On comparison of their preference of both methods of teaching, the results were 43.6% the PBL, 23.3% traditional and 22.6% both methods respectively. A greater number of the students (69.2%) felt that the PBL method would better prepare them for the Pharmacy profession as against 9% for the traditional method. A sharp contrast was observed when students were asked which method was better to prepare them for examination, 63.2% said the traditional and 14.3% said PBL while 11.3% agreed on both methods equally.

Table 1: Demographic distribution of the surveyed students

Variable		n (percent)
Gender	Female	80 (60.2)
	Male	52 (39.1)
	None	1 (0.8)
Age	15-20	77 (57.9)
	21-25	42 (31.6)
	26-30	4 (3.0)
	31-35	1 (0.8)
	None	9 (6.8)
Marital status	Single	108 (81.2)
	Married	3 (2.3)
	Divorced	20 (15.0)
	None	2 (1.5)
Previous academic results	SSCE	94 (70.7)
	GCE	24 (18.0)
	NECO	10 (7.5)
	Others	2 (1.5)
	No response	3 (2.3)

Legend: SSCE-senior secondary certificate examination; GCE-general certificate of education; NECO-national examination council of Nigeria.

Table 2: Students aptitude and perception of the PBL method

Variable		n (percent)
UME score	< 150	2 (1.5%)
	150-200	29 (1.5%)
	201-250	35 (26.5%)
	> 250	42 (31.6%)
	No response	52 (39.1%)
Results	Distinction	64 (48.1%)
	Average	42 (31.6%)
	Below average	2 (1.5%)
	None	25 (18.8%)
Previous knowledge of anatomy teaching	Traditional method	30 (22.6%)
	PBL only	28 (21.1%)
	Both	62 (46.6%)
	Others	3 (2.3%)
	None	10 (7.5%)
Which method is preferred?	Traditional	31 (23.3%)
	PBL	58 (43.6%)
	Both	30 (22.6%)
	None	14 (10.5%)
Which method better prepares students for profession?	Traditional	12 (9.0%)
	PBL	92 (69.2%)
	Both	12 (9.0%)
	None	17 (12.8%)
Which method prepares students for examination?	Traditional	84 (63.2%)
	PBL	19 (14.3%)
	Both	15 (11.3%)
	None	14 (10.5%)
	Group discussion	1 (0.8%)

Table 3: Some advantages of the PBL method over traditional

Variable	Response	N (percent)
PBL has helped student outside academics	Yes	116 (87.2%)
	No	7 (5.3%)
	None	14 (7.5%)
Skills are learnt in order to solve problems	Strongly agree	68 (51.1%)
	Agree	56 (42.1%)
	Undecided	4 (3.0%)
	Disagree	1 (0.8%)
	None	4 (3.0%)
Problems motivate the learning	Strongly agree	80 (60.2%)
	Agree	51 (38.3%)
	Undecided	1 (0.8%)
	Disagree	1 (0.8%)
Integrated learning not limited by rigid curriculum	Strongly agree	34 (25.6%)
	Agree	61 (45.9%)
	Undecided	17 (12.8%)
	Strongly disagree	3 (2.3%)
	Disagree	9 (6.8%)
	None	9 (6.8%)
'Ownership' of the problem allows learners to give their own meaning to a problem	Strongly agree	39 (29.3%)
	Agree	71 (53.4%)
	Undecided	12 (9.0%)
	Strongly disagree	2 (1.5%)
	Disagree	3 (2.3%)
	None	6 (4.5%)
Collaborative work utilising group-based work to encourage a stimulating environment for learning	Strongly agree	75 (56.4%)
	Agree	46 (34.6%)
	Undecided	4 (3.0%)
	Strongly disagree	1 (0.8%)
	Disagree	4 (3.0%)
	None	3 (2.3%)

Table 4: Students suggestions/attitude about PBL and traditional method

Variable	Response	N (percent)
Should PBL be combined with traditional method?	Yes	129 (97%)
	No	4 (3.0%)
	None	-
Do you prefer?	Female and male	128 (96.2%)
	None	5 (3.8%)
Should gender be considered in PBL?	Yes	34 (25.6%)
	No	95 (71.4%)
	None	4 (3.0%)

Table 5: Some demerits of PBL system seen by students

Variable	Response	N (percent)
Ill-specified problem, i.e. there is not necessarily a single 'correct' answer to the problem	Strongly agree	26 (19.5)
	Agree	44 (33.1)
	Undecided	23 (17.3)
	Strongly disagree	9 (6.8)
	Disagree	21 (15.8)
	No response	10 (7.5)
Recognition of prior learning whereby students enter a course with variety of skills, experience and conceptions.	Strongly agree	34 (21.8)
	Agree	39 (29.3)
	Undecided	17 (12.8)
	Strongly disagree	14 (10.5)
	Disagree	31 (23.3)
	No response	3 (2.3)
Breadth of content covered is narrow because PBL centres' on specific problem	Strongly agree	29 (21.8)
	Agree	39 (29.3)
	Undecided	17 (12.8)
	Strongly disagree	14 (10.5)
	Disagree	31 (23.3)
	No response	3 (2.3)
Traditional method is adjudged better in coverage of Anatomy contents	Strongly agree	26 (19.5)
	Agree	48 (36.1)
	Undecided	26 (19.5)
	Strongly disagree	5 (3.8)
	Disagree	21 (15.8)
	No response	7 (5.3)

A survey of the students' opinion about some possible advantages of the PBL over the traditional method of teaching Anatomy showed that though an overwhelming majority supports a combination of both methods (Table 4), 87.2% agreed that PBL method has helped them in other areas other than academics (Table 3). Some of the identified strengths of the PBL includes that skills are length in order to solve problems (93.2%), the problems motivate the learning (98.5%) of students, integrated learning does not limit to a rigid curriculum (71.5%), there is 'ownership' of the problem which allows the students to give their own meaning to a problem (82.7%) and collaborative work utilises group-based work that encourages a stimulating environment for learning (91.0%).

The students agreed that gender should not be considered in assigning groups during PBL sessions while a majority accepted a mixed group (96.2%) (Table 4).

In coverage of Anatomy contents, 19.5% and 36.1% of the students strongly agreed and agreed respectively that the traditional method was better than the PBL method. The breadth of contents covered by the PBL method was adjudged to be narrow by the students and more than half (52.6%) of the students said PBL problems were ill-defined (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

Medical schools worldwide are moving away from didactic lectures to a more integrated course, where basic science and clinical skills are taught simultaneously. To Emphasis on changing the Pharmacy curricula recognising the importance of problem solving, critical thinking and self-directed learning as vital skills required to address the type of clinical

presentations that occur in today's pharmaceutical practise environment have been rife over the past decades [12]. As expert teachers in anatomy, we get to see the students not just for one course but repeatedly throughout the entire duration of their program. It becomes all too apparent how much information is forgotten and how little is retained.

However, there has been growing concern among medical educators that convectional modes of teaching students (lecture-based curricula) neither encourage the right qualities in students nor impart a life-long respect for learning [13]. In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, undergraduate medical education, as with any other educational programme, needs ongoing improvements to meet the changing demands. Although the complexities of medical and pharmaceutical care have increased dramatically over the last century, the methods of teaching have changed little.

In our university curricula, this is the first time the PBL method would be introduced and run concurrently with the traditional method of teaching Anatomy. We surveyed 200 level Pharmacy students in a two-semester course hence setting a baseline for further development/adaptation of the PBL practise in our curricula. Variables monitored in Tables 2 and 3 shows that the PBL component of the 200 level programmes in Anatomy is a valuable part of the programme. This is not only evident by their greatest positive acceptance of the role of PBL in preparing them for their profession but also confirmed by the strong impact of the various advantages of PBL method.

Colliver [14] is of the belief that the PBL is better than the traditional method. Recent evidence indicates that there is a discrepancy between pharmacy education and the actual environment in which the pharmacist will eventually practice. Therefore, there is the need for pharmacy educators to place more emphasis on preparing students for problem solving, critical thinking, ethics, communication and self-directed learning [12].

While the work of Kassab *et al.* [15] showed that students' overall productivity as a group was more effective in female compared with male student-led tutorial groups, our results have shown that gender was not considered as a significant contributor to group's performance. The students sampled preferred a mixed group in the various sessions.

The constraints of inadequate power supply, large size of class vis-à-vis the groups and other challenges of our environment have been some of the greatest limitations some protagonist of the PBL method have advocated why it should not be used in our environment. However, we have demonstrated that despite the large class size which is one of the common denominator the various faculties and the inadequacy of research materials as well as power fluctuations, the PBL method can be adapted for improvement in the learning curricula of our Pharmacy programme. This, by extension can be extrapolated to the other professional courses run in the College of Medicine of the University of Lagos, Nigeria.

## **CONCLUSION**

In recent years, colleges of pharmacy have recognized the need to use alternative teaching methods to help students develop the abilities they require to practise pharmacy and provide pharmaceutical care. Our studies have shown that the PBL method can be effectively combined with the traditional style of teaching Anatomy to the Pharmacy students for better and more effective learning and practise.

## **REFERENCES**

2. Vaughn, L. and R. Baker, 2001. Teaching in the medical setting: balancing teaching styles, learning styles and teaching methods. *Med. Teach.*, 23: 610-2.
3. Haworth, I.S., S.P. Eriksen, S.H. Chait, *et al.*, 1998. A problem based learning, case study approach to pharmaceuticals: faculty and student perspectives. *Am. J. Pharm. Edu.*, 62: 398-405.
4. Borrego, M., R. Rhyne, L.C. Hansbarger, Z. Geller, P. Edwards, B. Griffin, L. McClain and J.V. Scaletti, 2000. Pharmacy student participation in rural. *Am. J. Pharm. Educ.*
5. Wilkerson, L. And W.H. Gijsselaers, 1996. Concluding comments. In: Wilkerson L, Gijsselaers WH, editors. *Bringing Problem-Based Learning to Higher Education: Theory and Practice*. San Francisco, Ca: Jossey-Bass Inc., Publishers, pp: 101-4.

6. Savery, J.R. and T.M. Duffy, 1995. Problem-based learning: an instructional model and its constructive framework. *Educational Technique*, 35: 31-5.
8. Neville, A.J., 1999. The problem-based learning tutor: Teacher? Facilitator? Evaluator? *Medical Teacher*, 21: 393-401.
10. Grasha, A.F., 1996. *Teaching with Style: A Practical Guide to Enhancing Learning by Understanding Teaching and Learning Styles*. San Bernardino, Calif: Alliance Publishers; An integrated model of teaching and learning style.
11. Wilkerson, L., 1998. Tutors and small groups in problem-based learning: Lessons from the curricula. *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 129: 328-30.
12. Cisneros, R.M., J.D. Salisbury-Glennon and H.M. Anderson-Harper, 2002. Status of problem-based learning research in pharmacy education: A call for future research. *American J. Pharmaceutical Edu.*, 66: 19-26.
13. Nandi, P.L., J.N.F. Chan, C.P.K. Chan, P. Chan and L.P.K. Chan, 2000. Undergraduate medical education: comparison of problem-based learning and conventional teaching. *H.K.M.J.*, 6: 301-6.
14. Colliver, J.A., 2000. Effectiveness of problem-based learning curricula: research and theory. *Acad. Med.*, 75: 259-266.
00. Duncan-Hewitt, W.C., 1996. A focus on process improves problem-based learning outcomes in large classes. *Am. J. Pharm. Edu.*, 60:408-16.
00. Cassidy, S., 2004. Learning styles an overview of theories, models and measures. *Edu. Psychol.*, 24: 419-44.
00. Butler, R., D. Inman and D. Lobb, 2005. Problem-based learning and the medical school: a case of the emperor's new clothes? *Adv. Physiol. Edu.*, 29: 194-196.
00. Borrego, M.E., R. Rhyne and L.C. Hansbarger, 2000. Pharmacy student participation in rural interdisciplinary education using problem based learning (PBL) case tutorials. *Am. J. Pharm. Edu.*, 64: 355-63
00. Wallace, C. and K.L. Franson, 1996. Incorporation of ability-based outcome education into pharmacotherapeutics using an expanded soap format. *Am. J. Pharm. Edu.*, 60: 87-93.
00. Monk-Tutor, M.R., 2001. Development of a problem-based learning course in human resources management. *Am. J. Pharm. Educ.*, 65: 64-73.
00. Pungente, M.D., K.M. Wasan and C. Moffett, 2002. Using learning styles to evaluate first-year pharmacy student's preferences toward different activities associated with the problem-based learning approach. *Am. J. Pharm. Edu.*, 66: 119-24.
00. Austin, Z., 2004. Learning styles of pharmacists: impact on career decisions, practice patterns and teaching method preferences. *Pharm. Edu.*, 4: 13-22.