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Abstract: It is known that Helicobacter pylori is a cause of chronic gastritis and peptic ulcer disease in humans. However, the origin and transmission of this bacterium has not been clearly explained. One of the suggested theories is transmission from animal sources such as milk from animals to human beings. In this study, the presence of Helicobacter spp and H. pylori was investigated in different animal samples such as milk, feces and abomasal contents of cow, sheep and goats. For this purpose, a total of 80 milk samples and 60 samples from feces and abomasal contents collected from the southwest regions of Iran were examined by specific cultural procedures and PCR. H. pylori was not isolated from any sample except one goat fecal sample as indicated by culture and PCR. It is suggested that H. pylori is not present in animal sources collected from different regions of Iran and transmission of H. pylori from animal sources such as milk is not a primary factor in infection related to this bacterium in humans, but the possibility of other modes of transmission from environmental samples to humans should be considered.
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INTRODUCTION

The Helicobacter genus consists of a group of microaerophilic, nonsporulating, Gram-negative rods that colonize the mucus layer covering the epithelial surface of the gastrointestinal tract of humans and a variety of animal species. There are currently 6 validated Helicobacter species isolated from gastric tissue and 16 validated entero hepatic species. Some Helicobacter species may be commonly (H. aurati) or occasionally (H. bilis and H. muridarum) isolated from both gastric and entero hepatic sites [1].

The type species of the genus, H. pylori is the principal cause of chronic gastritis and is strongly associated with peptic ulcer disease [2, 3]. Current knowledge implies that H. pylori infection occurs during childhood or adolescence both in developing and developed countries. Its occurrence may increase the risk of the development of gastric cancer [4]. Prevalence of H. pylori in children ranges from below 10% to more than 80% [5]. Prevalence is low in developed countries and high in developing countries. More than 50% of children in our country are infected with H. pylori [6].

Although H. pylori is present in the stomachs of about half of world’s population, the routes of transmission are still unclear and non human reservoirs have not been identified. The prevalence of H. pylori infection increases with age and is inversely related to socioeconomic and hygiene status, suggesting person-to-person transmission [7-9]. Several studies have shown high prevalence of antibodies against H. pylori in abattoir workers, such as veterinarians, butchers and slaughterers, suggesting that H. pylori might be transmitted from animals to man [10-12]. Isolation of H. pylori from domestic cats, obtained from a single commercial source of research animals, also raised the possibility that the infection may be transmitted from cats to humans (or vice versa) [13, 14]. Dogs and sheep have also been implicated in the transmission of Helicobacter infection [15, 16]. For example, Goodman et al. [16] observed an excess prevalence of H. pylori infection among children in the Columbian Andes who had contact with sheep. Dore et al. [17] observed a very high prevalence of H. pylori infection in school children, living in rural area as in Sardinia and multiple regression analyses revealed that contact with dogs was a powerful independent risk factor for H. pylori infection in that population.
Recently, the recovery of H. pylori from cow’s and sheep milk and gastric tissue samples has suggested that cow and sheep are natural ancestral hosts of H. pylori and H. pylori infection in humans that is associated with contact with cow and sheep [18-20].

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether or not Helicobacter spp and H. pylori are present in different animal samples such as milk, feces and abomasal contents of cow, sheep and goats in Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling Details: Sixty raw milk samples were collected from cows, sheep and goats (20 samples of each) in different geographical regions of south west of Iran. From each udder of each animal, approximately 5-10 ml of milk was pooled into sterile falcon tubes, after first cleaning and disinfecting the teats. All milk samples were transported to the laboratory ice cooled within 2h of the collection. These samples were frozen at -80°C until processing. Twenty commercial pasteurized and sterilized milk samples (10 of each) from 10 companies were also investigated immediately after shipping from the factories.

Also thirty samples of abomasal contents of cow, sheep and goats (10 for each) were collected from Shiraz slaughter house and 30 fresh fecal samples from cows, sheep and goats (10 for each) were collected from different regions around the Shiraz city. All samples were immediately transferred to Microbiology Laboratory in Shiraz Faculty of Veterinary Medicine. These samples were then stored at -20°C until use.

Bacterial Growth Conditions: From each milk sample 100µL were cultured onto Brucella agar plates containing 7% defibrinated horse blood containing Skirrow’s supplement (Vancomycin, 0.01%, Trimethoprim, 0.05% and Polymyxin B, 2.5IU/ml, Oxoid). Plates were incubated at 37°C with 95–99% relative humidity under microaerophilic conditions (5% O2, 15% CO2 and 80% N2) with an anaerobic jar system and a Microbiology Anaerocult C (Merck, Germany) [2, 3, 21]. A high degree of humidity during incubation, obtained by placing wet paper towel in anaerobe jars. Plates were observed daily for 5-7 days and growth suggestive of a Helicobacter spp. was identified either as small (1 mm or less in diameter), clear, dome-shaped colonies or as a fine, translucent lawn [1].

Growing colonies were examined for H. pylori on the basis of colony morphology and positive biochemical reactions for catalase, urease, oxidase (although many entero hepatic species are urease negative) and negative Gram stain [2, 3]. To confirm the isolation techniques, 5 clinical isolates of H. pylori were obtained by biopsy from patients with suspected gastritis at the time of endoscopic examination at the Namazi Hospital, in Shiraz, Iran. Biopsy tissue was used to inoculate brucella agar plates containing 7% horse blood and Skirrow’s supplement and the plates were cultured at the above conditions and checked for the growing colonies as above.

For isolation of entero hepatic Helicobacter species we used media and antibiotic supplements similar to those used for isolation of gastric Helicobacter. When entero hepatic Helicobacter were isolated from fecal samples or abomasal contents, 2 g of each fecal sample suspended in 3ml of sterilized phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 and slurry of fecal samples or abomasal contents were inoculated by the modified filter technique of Steele and McDermott [22]. Briefly, a sterile cellulose acetate membrane filter (0.45µm) was applied with a sterile pair of tweezers directly onto the surface of the agar. When the filter was totally absorbed on the selective agar, ~500 µl of the mixture was placed in the middle of the filter. The plates were incubated at 37°C for approximately two hours in a 5% CO2, the filter was removed with a sterile pair of tweezers and the filtrate was streaked on the agar with a loop and the plates were incubated under the appropriate conditions for 5-7 days.

Genomic DNA Extraction: For extraction of genomic DNA from milk samples; 2 ml of each milk sample were centrifuged in a micro centrifuge (13000rpm/5min) and the precipitated cells were extracted using Accuprep Genomic DNA extraction kit (Bioneer, Korea).

For extraction of DNA from fecal samples and abomasal contents with high concentrations of PCR inhibitors, the following protocol was used; 100mg amount of feces or abomasal contents was thoroughly suspended in 1ml of lysis buffer (50mM EDTA, 50mM Tris-HCl, 0.5% Tween 20, 0.5% Triton X-100 [pH 8.0]) and the suspension was centrifuged (3000rpm/2min). Debris was discarded. The supernatant was boiled (10min) to ensure complete lysis and was centrifuged (8000rpm/10min) to remove particles. The DNA solution was incubated (30min, 37°C) in the presence of RNase A (0.2mg/ml) and proteinase K (0.5mg/ml). Then, a one-third volume of guanidine-HCl buffer (3M guanidine-HCl, 20% Tween 20 [pH 5.5]) was added and the specimen was incubated for 30min at 50°C. DNA was purified by column chromatography (Genomic Tip 20/G) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Fermentas, Germany), total
RESULTS

Despite prolonged incubation for up to 10 days under microaerophilic conditions, no Helicobacter species were grown from the raw, pasteurized or sterilized milk and from abomasal content samples. But 4 of 5 cultures of biopsies from patients with suspected gastritis were grown as small, clear, dome-shaped colonies. Further analysis of this isolate by PCR with Helicobacter genus-specific rRNA primers and H. pylori species-specific primers amplified the expected 374bp and 411bp fragments respectively (Fig. 1 and 2).

In the other hand we were able to identify just one isolate from goat fecal samples as Helicobacter on the basis of growth as a thin watery film and positive biochemical reactions for catalase, urease, oxidase and negative Gram stain. Further analysis of this isolate by PCR with Helicobacter genus-specific rRNA primers amplified the expected 374bp fragment (Fig. 1).

Also DNA extracted from all milk sediment samples and homogenates of abomasal content were tested by Helicobacter genus-specific 16S rRNA primers and were negative. These data indicate the absence of H. pylori in freshly collected non-pasteurized and pasteurized and sterilized milk and suggest that milk could not be an intermediate transmission vehicle of H. pylori infection in Iran.

Fig. 1: PCR amplification products from DNAs of various samples with Helicobacter genus-specific rRNA primers. Lanes: M, 100bp DNA ladder, 1, negative control, no genomic DNA, 2-5 DNA isolated from gastric biopsies, 6, goat fecal isolate.
Fig. 2: PCR amplification products from DNAs of various samples with H. pylori species-specific primers. Lanes: M, 100bp DNA ladder, 1-4, DNA isolated from gastric biopsies growth samples, 5, DNA of goat fecal isolate, 6, negative control, no genomic DNA.

DISCUSSION

H. pylori infection is one of the most common infections worldwide. However, it is not known how H. pylori is transmitted and where in the natural environment the organism resides [27]. It is likely that raw sheep’s milk could be an intermediate transmission vehicle of H. pylori infection [18]. It has been reported that H. pylori is almost always acquired in childhood [28]. In the other hand Sasaki et al. [29] reported that H. pylori was detected in cow’s feces and soil and the bacteria could invade the teat channel of a cow when it was sprawled on ground including cow’s feces and soil [30]. As reported by Fujimura et al. [20] that H. pylori gene is frequently detected in cow’s milk samples, the samples might have been contaminated with the organism from contaminated soil. Furthermore, they have shown the possibility that H. pylori survives in raw milk.

In this study, we failed to culture H. pylori from different raw milk samples and pasteurized and sterilized cow’s milk. Comparing our study results to those obtained by Fujimura et al. [20] who found a high occurrence of H. pylori in cow’s feces (50%), raw milk (72.2%) and in commercial pasteurized milk samples (55%). This apparent discrepancy could be due to cross-contamination with animal and human sources during milk processing. H. pylori may survive in cow’s milk for a short time after delivery to the factory but in Iran, many manufacturers sterilize cow’s milk at 120-140°C for 2-3s and this sterilization procedure apparently is effective against H. pylori. Also our results in some parts resembled to the work of Turutoglu and Mudul [31] as they could not isolated any Helicobacter from sheep milk samples. The absence of H. pylori in milk samples might be as a result of the geographical spread of the bacteria. In the other hand the presence of H. pylori in sheep milk has not been reported form anywhere, except Sardinia [18, 19]. H. pylori may not be cultured in pasteurized cow’s milk because it may change to a coccoid form with sterilization [20]. In this study, we failed to culture H. pylori in pasteurized cow’s milk. However, it has been shown that H. pylori survived in pasteurized skim milk up to 5 days after inoculation [32].

Thus our data suggest that sheep, goat and cow’s milk can not play an important role in transmission of H. pylori infection in our study area.

H. pylori has been cultured from stool and has been identified in feces by PCR [33, 34]. H. pylori can survive in milk for several days, suggesting that milk contaminated with H. pylori-containing feces could be potentially infectious to humans [35]. Alternatively, animals might transmit the infection to humans through the environment contaminated by feces containing H. pylori or the coccoid forms [36]. But in the current study we failed to detect Helicobacter spp. by culture and PCR technique in feces of different animal except one goat’s fecal sample.

In general, Iranian people in urban area frequently drink pasteurized and sterilized cow’s milk but in rural area people drink heated sheep and goat’s milk. However, the H. pylori prevalence in Iranian children is high [6]. It may be unreasonable to understand milk to be the major factor in H. pylori transmission. According to the report of Nouraie et al. [37] that low education of the study subjects; low father’s and mother’s education; poor tooth brushing habit; crowded families in childhood and lack of household bath, hygienic drinking water and swage disposal facility in childhood were determined as possible risk factors for transmission of H. pylori in human population in Iran. In addition, since the host factor is
important in colonization of *H. pylori* in the human, the infection may be established in a subset of individuals who drink cow’s milk.

As a conclusion, it is suggested that *H. pylori* is not present in animal sources collected from different region of Iran and transmission of *H. pylori* from animal sources such as milk is not a primary factor in infection related to this bacterium in humans, but the possibility of other modes of transmission from environmental samples to humans should be considered.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was supported by the Shiraz University, Ministry of Science, Research and Technology of I. R. Iran. The authors are thankful for kind helps of Dr. N. Ghaffari and Z. Esmaeilnezhad faculty of veterinary medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran.

**REFERENCES**


