

## Effects of Feed Type With/Without Nanosil on Cumulative Performance, Relative Organ Weight and Some Blood Parameters of Broilers

*Mohammad Amiri Andi, Mohsen Hashemi and Farhad Ahmadi*

Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran

**Abstract:** In a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design, the effect of Nanosil [ionic silver and  $H_2O_2$ ] in broiler diet on cumulative performance, relative organs weight [liver, spleen and bursa of fabricius] and blood uric acid, serum-glutamate-oxaloacetate transaminase (SGOT) and serum-glutamic pyrovic transaminase (SGPT) were investigated. The first factor was feed type (mash or pellet) and the second was Nanosil (with or without). Main effect of feed type on weight gain was significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Main effects of Nanosil on weight gain, in days of 1-35 and 1-42, were significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Interaction effects of feed type and Nanosil on feed intake, in days of 1-7 and 1-42, were significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Main effects of feed type on feed intake, in days of 1-14 and 1-35, were significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Interaction effect of feed type and Nanosil on feed conversion ratio in total period (days of 1-42), was significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Main effects of feed type on feed conversion ratio, in days of 1-7, 1-14, 1-35 and 1-42, were significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Interaction effects of feed type and Nanosil on relative weights of liver, spleen and bursa of fabricius were significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Treatments had no effects on SGOT and SGPT activity. Main effect of Nanosil on blood uric acid was significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Based on the results of this investigation, pellet had suitable effects on broiler performance and it seems that Nanosil in this case had negative effects on relative organ weight and blood uric acid and may be used in lower concentration in the broiler's diet.

**Key words:** Nanosil • Feed Type • Broiler • Cumulative Performances • Relative Organ Weight And Blood Parameters

### INTRODUCTION

At this time, the poultry are very susceptible to pathogens [1]. Under such conditions, antimicrobial additives such as antibiotics are often used to suppress or eliminate harmful microorganisms in the intestine and to develop growth and efficiency of feed [2]. It has been shown that the continuous usage of antimicrobials (especially antibiotics) as growth promoters encourage the retention in animal tissues and that the human consumption of such animal products would potentially increase processes of antibiotic resistance, movements of social pressure towards food security were claiming for a strict control and against their usage in animal nutrition, reaching the banning of using antibiotics as growth promoters from 2006 in the European Community (CE 1831/2003). Then, because of the public concern over possible antibiotic residual effects and the development of drug resistant bacteria, using of antibiotics as routine feed additives has been banned in the recent years [3].

This subject has led to the application of non-antibiotics substances [4] and among the alternative replacement are silver compounds in the forms of ionic and nanoparticles [5].

Compounds of silver have been historically used to inhibit microbial proliferation [6]. The inhibitory effect of ionic silver is due to several biological events such as attachment to cell membranes, its adsorption to the negatively charged bacterial cell wall, changes of membrane permeability, generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) and de-activating cellular enzymes [7].

Traditionally, silver has been applied as salts (ionic form), mainly nitrate, sulphate or chloride. Cation of silver, however, is converted into the less effective silver chloride in the stomach or bloodstream and can make complexes with various ligands. Unstable silver nitrate can be toxic to tissues [7]. These features may be having negative effects on health and leading to high toxicity of silver [8].

Therefore, the purpose of the present investigation is to evaluate the effects of Nanosil (solution containing silver nitrate and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) in pellet or mash diet on cumulative performance, relative organ weight and some blood parameters of broiler.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Management of Birds and Experimental Design:

One hundred and sixty Ross Unsexed day old 308 strain chicks were purchased from a commercial hatchery and were randomly divided into four groups with 4 replicates (1.5 m × 1.0 m, floor pen) in each treatment on equal body weight (42±0.3 g) basis having 10 chicks. Chicks were fed corn soybean meal based diet (with sunflower oil) as per standards of nutritional requirement recommended by NRC [9]. Ingredients and chemical composition of basal diet were presented in Table 1. All factors such as temperature, light, water, ventilation and vaccination were similar for all treatments.

In a 2 × 2 factorial design (based on completely randomized design (CRD)), the effect of Nanosil in broiler diet on cumulative performance, relative organ weight and blood uric acid, SGOT and SGPT were investigated. The first factor was feed type (pellet or mash) and the second was Nanosil (with or without). Birds and feeds were weighed weekly. Feed conversion ratio was calculated by dividing feed consumed to weight gain in each cumulative period.

**Feed Preparation:** Two litters of Nanosil solution stock (from Kimia Faam Co, Ltd. Iran, contain 500 ppm ionic silver as silver nitrate and 50% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) were dissolved in 98 litters of water and twenty litters of later solution mixed with 1 ton of mash diet. Next, this mash diet was pelleted or not. This process applied to starter and grower diet.

**Biochemical Analysis:** At days of 42, all male birds from each replicate were selected for blood samples collection for estimate the serum uric acid, SGOT and SGPT. After blood collection, samples were placed in a room temperature and then serum was separated and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min in centrifuge machine. Serum samples were frozen and stored at -20°C and analyzed subsequently. Serum uric acid, SGOT and SGPT analyzed by using appropriate laboratory kits (Pars Azmon Co, Ltd. Tehran, Iran).

Table 1: Composition of experimental diets (% diet).

Ingredient	Starter (0-21)	Grower (22-42)
Corn	59.17	63.81
Soybean meal	35.97	30.24
Sunflower oil	1.25	2.5
DCP	1.42	1.38
dl-methionine	0.11	0.07
Oyster	1.26	1.25
Salt	0.32	0.25
Vitamin/mineral premix #	0.5	0.5
Calculated composition **		
ME (kcal/kg diet)	2900	3000
CP	20.84	18.52
CF	2.7	2.3
Ca	0.9	0.88
P (available)	0.41	0.4
Na	0.18	0.18
Lys	1.12	1.10
Met+Cys	0.81	0.75
Analyzed composition		
CP	20.52	18.33
Ca	0.92	0.89
P (total)	0.52	0.49

# Provided per kg of diet: vitamin A, 8000 IU; cholecalciferol, 2000 ICU; vitamin E, 30 mg; manadione, 2 mg; riboflavin, 5.5 mg; pantothenic acid, 13 mg; niacin, 36 mg; choline, 500 mg; vitamin B12, 0.02 mg; folic acid, 0.5 mg; thiamin, 1 mg; pyridoxine, 2.2 mg; biotin, 0.05 mg; ethoxiquin, 125 mg; Mn, 65 mg; Fe, 55 mg; Cu, 6 mg; Zn, 55 mg.

\*\* Based on NRC [9]

**Relative Organ Weight:** At 42 days of age, four birds per replicate (2 male and 2 female), were slaughtered and liver, spleen and bursa of fabricius were separated and weighed.

**Statistical Analysis:** All data were analyzed using GLM model of SAS [10] for analysis of variance. Significant differences among the treatments were identified at 5% level by Duncan's multiple range tests.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Performance:** In Table 2, the effects of treatments on weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion rate were shown. There were no interaction effects of feed type and Nanosil on gain. Main effect of feed type on weight gain was significant (p≤0.05), except in the period of 1-21 days. Advantages of using of pellet diet in broiler nutrition were discussed in previous investigations [11, 12]. Main effects of Nanosil on weight gain, in days of 1-35 and 1-42, were significant (p≤0.05).

Table 2: Effects of feed type and nanosil (and their interactions) in broiler diet on cumulative performance.

Trait	Feed Type				SEM	ANOVA (probability)		
	Pellete		Mash			Feed Type	Nanosil	Feed Type* Nanosil
	+	-	+	-				
<b>Weight Gain, (g)</b>								
Days 1-7	109 <sup>a</sup>	119 <sup>a</sup>	94 <sup>b</sup>	86 <sup>b</sup>	5.1	0.0005	ns	ns
Days 1-14	350 <sup>a</sup>	329 <sup>a</sup>	268 <sup>b</sup>	269 <sup>b</sup>	11.9	≤0.0001	ns	ns
Days 1-21	592	552	577	542	19.1	ns	ns	ns
Days 1-28	789 <sup>a</sup>	759 <sup>ab</sup>	753 <sup>ab</sup>	728 <sup>b</sup>	13.4	0.02	ns	ns
Days 1-35	1533 <sup>a</sup>	1468 <sup>b</sup>	1381 <sup>c</sup>	1350 <sup>c</sup>	15.3	≤0.0001	0.008	ns
Days 1-42	2342 <sup>a</sup>	2189 <sup>b</sup>	1690 <sup>c</sup>	1654 <sup>c</sup>	38.9	≤0.0001	0.03	ns
<b>Feed Intake, (g)</b>								
Days 1-7	117 <sup>a</sup>	119 <sup>a</sup>	111 <sup>b</sup>	103 <sup>c</sup>	1.6	≤0.0001	ns	0.007
Days 1-14	373 <sup>a</sup>	377 <sup>a</sup>	354 <sup>b</sup>	338 <sup>b</sup>	5.3	0.0002	ns	ns
Days 1-21	736	731	724	704	9.9	ns	ns	ns
Days 1-28	1320	1312	1302	1298	14.3	ns	ns	ns
Days 1-35	2306 <sup>a</sup>	2292 <sup>a</sup>	2259 <sup>a</sup>	2153 <sup>b</sup>	29.9	0.008	ns	ns
Days 1-42	3558 <sup>a</sup>	3564 <sup>a</sup>	3517 <sup>a</sup>	3382 <sup>b</sup>	27.9	0.001	0.03	0.02
<b>Feed Conversion Ratio, (g/g)</b>								
Days 1-7	1.06	1.00	1.19	1.19	0.05	0.009	ns	ns
Days 1-14	1.07 <sup>c</sup>	1.15 <sup>bc</sup>	1.32 <sup>a</sup>	1.25 <sup>ab</sup>	0.04	0.003	ns	ns
Days 1-21	1.24	1.32	1.25	1.30	0.04	ns	ns	ns
Days 1-28	1.67	1.72	1.72	1.78	0.02	ns	ns	ns
Days 1-35	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.56 <sup>ab</sup>	1.63 <sup>a</sup>	1.59 <sup>a</sup>	0.02	0.009	ns	ns
Days 1-42	1.51 <sup>c</sup>	1.63 <sup>b</sup>	2.08 <sup>a</sup>	2.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.03	≤0.0001	ns	0.04

<sup>a-c</sup> Means in each row with different superscript are significant different (p≤0.05).

ns: Not significant.

Table 3: Effects of feed type and nanosil (and their interactions) in broiler diet on relative organ weight and blood uric acid, SGOT and SGPT.

Trait	Feed Type				SEM	ANOVA (probability)		
	Pellete		Mash			Feed Type	Nanosil	Feed Type* Nanosil
	+	-	+	-				
Liver, (% BW)	2.36 <sup>b</sup>	2.01 <sup>c</sup>	2.51 <sup>a</sup>	2.52 <sup>a</sup>	0.07	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Spleen, (% BW)	0.077 <sup>a</sup>	0.071 <sup>b</sup>	0.073 <sup>b</sup>	0.052 <sup>c</sup>	0.002	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Bors, (% BW)	0.039 <sup>a</sup>	0.042 <sup>a</sup>	0.041 <sup>a</sup>	0.028 <sup>b</sup>	0.002	<0.0001	0.0007	<0.0001
Uric Acid, (mg/dl)	6.55 <sup>a</sup>	3.65 <sup>ab</sup>	5.17 <sup>ab</sup>	3.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.93	ns	0.03	ns
SGOT, (IU/L)	200.00	249.25	185.25	227.75	23.0	ns	ns	ns
SGPT, (IU/L)	5.00	3.25	1.75	2.75	2.02	ns	ns	ns

<sup>a-c</sup> Means in each row with different superscript are significant different (p≤0.05).

ns: Not significant.

Contrary to the findings of the present study, Ahmadi, [13] and Ahmadi and Kurdestani [14] reported that silver in the form of nanoparticles had no effect on weight gain of broilers. However, in our investigation, ionic silver was used.

Interaction effects of feed type and Nanosil on feed intake, in days of 1-7 and 1-42, were significant (p≤0.05). Main effects of feed type on feed intake, in days of 1-14 and 1-35, were significant (p≤0.05). Interaction effect of

feed type and Nanosil on feed conversion ratio in total period (days of 1-42), was significant (p≤0.05). Main effects of feed type on feed conversion ratio, in days of 1-7, 1-14, 1-35 and 1-42, were significant (p≤0.05). Birds consume more feed in pellet form than mash form [11, 12]. Broilers consuming pellet diet with Nanosil had better feed conversion ratio than other treatments and interaction was significant. Probably, this action is due to the effect of ionic silver on harmful bacteria in intestine

and resulted in healthy hindgut and better absorption of nutrients in broilers receiving pellet diet with Nanosil. It seems that all positive effects of silver on performance is related to recent action on gut microflora [15, 16] that encourages with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [17, 18].

**Relative Organ Weight and Blood Parameters:** Data of relative organs' weight and blood parameters were seen in Table 3. Treatments had negative effects on relative liver weight to live body weight. Absorbed silver ions from gastrointestinal tract enter liver through the portal vein and might have impact on the liver since the liver serves as the first checkpoint for everything absorbed before becoming systemic. Liver is able to actively remove compounds from the blood and transform them to chemical forms that can easily be excreted. It is a logical assumption that ingested silver nano-particles might have impact on the liver. Significant amounts of silver in the liver were observed after inhalation [19]. Its renal excretion via the urine has been detected [20]. The results of researches showed that silver (especially in the form of nano-particles) can damage to different organs and tissue such as liver cells [20, 21].

In our study pellet and ionic silver resulted in bigger bursa and spleen that are contrary with investigations of Ahmadi and Kurdestani [14], Grodzik and Sawosza [22] that used silver nanoparticles in their studies.

Nanosil had negative effects on serum uric acid but no effects on SGOT and SGPT (Table 3). This is a sign of kidney destruction since uric acid is a metabolite that synthesis in kidney. This finding is parallel with those of Drake and Hazelwood [23] and Susan et al [24]. It is showed that, even though silver nanoparticles and silver ions in form of silver nitrate have a similar action mechanism, their effective concentrations are at nanomolar and micromolar levels, respectively [25].

In conclusion, based on the findings of this investigation, diet of broiler chicks in the form of pellet had better effects on performance than mash diet and the concentration of silver with applying Nanosil in the broiler diet have to decrease.

## REFERENCES

1. Adams, C.A., 2004. Nutricines in poultry production: focus on bioactive feed ingredients. *Nutr. Abstr. Rev.*, (B): 1-12.
2. Jin, L.Z., Y.W. Ho, N. Abdullah and S. Jalaludin, 1997. Probiotics in poultry: modes of action. *Worlds' poultr. Sci. J.*, 53: 351-368.
3. Leeson, S., 2007. Butyrate lancing science versus societal issues in poultry nutrition. *Nutr. Abstr. Rev.* (B): 71: 1-5.
4. Yang, P., P.A. Iji and M. Choct, 2007. Effects of different dietary levels of mannan oligosaccharide on growth performance and gut development of broiler chickens. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 20: 1084-1091.
5. Ahmadi, F. and F. Rahimi. 2011. The effect of different levels of nano silver on performance and retention of silver in edible tissues of broiler. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 12(1): 1-4.
6. Wadhera, A. and M. Fung, 2005. Systemic argyria associated with ingestion of colloidal silver. *Dermatology Online Journal* 11, 12 (<http://dermatology.cdlib.org/111>).
7. Atiyeh, B.S., M. Costagliola, S.N. Hayek and S.A. Dibo, 2007. Effect of silver on burn wound infection control and healing: review of the literature. *Burns.*, 33: 139-148.
8. El-ansary, A. and S. Al-Daihan, 2008. On the Toxicity of Therapeutically Used Nanoparticles: An Overview. *Journal of Toxicology Volume 2009*, Article ID 754810, 9 pages doi:10.1155/2009/754810 2008.
9. National Research Council, 1994. *Nutrient Requirement of Poultry*. 9<sup>th</sup> Edn., National Academy Press, Washington DC. USA.
10. SAS Institute., 2008. *Software and services: system for windows*. Version 9.2 software. Cary, North Carolina, USA.
11. Munt, R.H.C., J.G. Dingle and M.G. Sumpa, 1995. Growth, carcass composition and profitability of meat chickens given pellets, mash or free choice diet. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 36: 2-5.
12. Salari, S., H. Kermanshahi and H. Nasiri Moghaddam, 2006. Effect of sodium bentonite and comparison of pellet vs. mash on performance of broiler chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 5: 31-34.
13. Ahmadi, J., 2009. Application of different levels of silver nanoparticles in food on the performance and some blood parameters of broiler chickens. *Wasj. Org.*, 7(Supplement 1): 24-27.
14. Ahmadi, F. and A.H. Kurdestani, 2010. The impact of silver nano particles on growth performance, lymphoid organs and oxidative stress indicators in broiler chicks. *Global Veterinaria*, 5(6): 366-370.
15. Sondi, I. and B. Salopek-Sondi, 2004. Silver nanoparticles as antimicrobial agent: a case study on *E. coli* as a model for Gram-negative bacteria. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 275: 177-182.

16. Yoon, K.Y., J.H. Byeon, J.H. Park and J. Hwang, 2007. Susceptibility constraints of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* to silver and copper nanoparticles. *Science of the Total Environment*, 373: 572-575.
17. Khan, M.Y., T.N. Pasha, A. Khalique, A. Zulfiqar and H. Rehman, 2002. Effect of feeding hydrogen peroxide treated defatted rice polishing on performance of broiler chicks. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 1(6): 193-196.
18. Neighmor, N.K., L.A. Newberry, G.R. Bayyari, J.K. Skeeles, J.N. Beasley and R.W. McNew, 1994. The effect of microaerosolized hydrogen peroxide on bacterial and viral poultry pathogens. *Poult Sci.*, 1994. 73:1511-1516. doi:10.3382/ps.0731511
19. Ji, J.H., J.H. Jung, S.S. Kim, J.U. Yoon, J.D. Park, B.S. Choi, Y.H. Chung, I.H. Kwon, J. Jeong, B.S. Han, J.H. Shin, J.H. Sung, K.S. Song and I.J. Yu, 2007. Twenty-eight-day inhalation toxicity study of silver nanoparticles in Sprague-Dawley rats. *Inhal. Toxicol.*, 19: 857-871.
20. Trop, M., M. Novak, S. Rodl, B. Hellbom, W. Kroell and W. Goessler, 2006. Silver coated dressing acticoat caused raised liver enzymes and argyria-like symptoms in burn patient. *J. Trauma Injury Infect. Crit. Care.*, 60: 648-652.
21. Djeddi, A.N., M.A. Akrami Mogaddam, F. Ahmadi, L. Akradi and J. Ashrafi Helan, 2008. Evaluation of the effectiveness of different levels of silver in (Nano scale) on weight gain and Bursa Fabricius development and on its histopathological lesions in broiler chicks, First International Veterinary Poultry Congress Tehran- Iran, pp: 127.
22. Grodzik, M. and E. Sawosz, 2006. The influence of silver nano particles on chicken embryo development and bursa of Fabricius morphology. *J. Animal and Feed Sci.*, 15(Suppl 1): 111-114.
23. Drake, P.L. and K.J. Hazelwood, 2005. Exposure-related health effects of silver and silver compounds: A Review. *Ann. Occup. Hyg.*, 49: 575-584.
24. Susan, W., P. Wijnhoven, J.G.M. Willie, C.A. Peijnenburg, A. Herberts, I. Werner, A. Hagens, G. Oomen, H.W. Heugens, R. Boris, J. Bisschops, I. Gosens, D.V.D. Meent, S. Dekkers, H. Wim, D. Jong, M.V. Zijverden, J.A.M. Sips and R.E. Geertsma, 2009. Nanosilver a review of available data and knowledge gaps in human and environmental risk assessment. *Nanotoxicology*, 3(2): 109-138.
25. Lok, C.N., C.M. Ho, R. Chen, Q.Y. He, W.Y. Yu, H. Sun, P.K.H. Tam, J.F. Chiu and C.M. Che, 2006. Proteomic analysis of the mode of antibacterial action of silver nanoparticles. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 5: 916-924.