Academic Journal of Plant Sciences 12 (1): 13-22, 2019
ISSN 1995-8986

DOI: 10.5829/idosi.ajps.2019.12.1.13.22

Progress in Farming and Cultivation of Maize through Nitrogen Management

[1]Fahad Idrees, [2]Aneeq-ur-Rehman, [3]Muhammad Usman Manzoor,
[2]Mubashar Hussain, [3]Abdul Qadeer, [4]Ahmad Mukhtar, [1]Muhammad Haroon,
[3]Umar Habib, [3]Omer Abbas, [2]Muhammad Asad Shabbir, [4]Nauman Liaqat,
[2,5]Khuram Tanveer, [2,5]Muhammad Haseeb Tung and [2]Usman Ahmad

[1]National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China
[2]Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan
[3]Institute of Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan
[4]Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan
[5]National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Abstract: Currently, the population has been exceeded to 8 billion. To feed the individuals, today, it is the dire need to increase the production of crops. To get the maximum production of the crops, Nitrogen is one of the essential critical factors. Up to an optimum level, by increasing the nitrogen quantity, total output is also increased. Moreover, by volume, 78% Nitrogen is present in the air, but it is not assimilated directly by plants. To increase the production, it is necessary to use the synthetic nitrogenous fertilizers. Inorganic fertilizer's use has been increased to the dangerous level. Plants do not absorb all the applied nitrogen. Excessive application of nitrogen is leached down and it is the main reason to cause environmental problems, like eutrophication as well as human health problems. Hence, it is quite important to adopt efficient agricultural practices to increase nitrogen use efficiency.

Key words: Nitrogen Use Efficiency · Maize · Farming · Cultivation · Nitrogen Management

INTRODUCTION

In earth's air N is available 78% by volume; be that as it may, this can't be used specifically either by creatures or plants. Inorganic N fertilizer was acquainted with upgrade crops profitability during the 1950s after World War II to meet the demands of increasing worldwide populace. During the 1960s, by the presentation of high yielding and fertilizer responsive harvest assortments, it prompted B Green Revolution [1]. The multiplying of harvest yield is connected with seven creases ascend in N fertilizer use all-inclusive throughout the last 50 years. Nitrate manures are said to be effective and dependable nitrogen source. The most current assortments and good financial matters creation empowered the extraordinary utilization of fertilizer with outcomes [2]. There is little uncertainty that manufactured N manure contributes significantly to worldwide nourishment security. Be that as it may, vast N fertilizer input rates and low NUEs have made it "a lot of something worth being thankful for", bringing about upgraded misfortunes of responsive N to the environment [3]. Responsive N caused a progression of natural issues since it is extremely versatile and has, afiecting biodiversity, environment administrations, human wellbeing, feasible advancement and atmosphere change [4]. This requires another worldview of reasonable intensification in horticulture to all the while incrementing both creation and natural sustainability [5]. China represents over 30% of the world's N fertilizer utilization and now the world's biggest maker, customer and merchant of substance fertilizers [6]. Be that as it may, fumble of N fertilizer is basic in China 7and recuperation efficiency (RE) of N has been declining consistently from 37% in 1960 to 29% in 20078. Then again, per capita N impression in China has expanded 68% from 19 kg N yr-1 in 1980 to 32 kg N yr-1 in 2008 [7]. Nitrogen fertilizer related GHG outflows represent about 7% of aggregate GHG emanations in China and have surpassed soil carbon

Corresponding Author: Mubashar Hussain, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan.

increase identified with N fertilizer use by 700% [8]. Because of every one of these elements China added to the across the board surface and groundwater pollution 9. Studies led by Norse [9] demonstrate an immediate reliance of maize on mineral supplements, as extensive amounts connected consider decidedly grain yield. Work performed by Meira [10] exhibits that nitrogen is a restricting supplement for the harvest foundation and as indicated by Duete [11] the effectiveness of N assimilation and translocation to the grains is a factor of extraordinary significance since it specifically impacts the productivity[10]. Concentrate agronomic characteristics with nitrogen use, Cancellier [12] reasoned that nitrogen preparation enhanced grain quality expanding protein and mineral supplements content, mediating emphatically in the quantity of ears per plant, load of ears, as the mass of a thousand seeds expanded by the nitrogen dosages. Another vital factor for the assurance of nitrogen preparation in maize is the distinction in N use and digestion among hybrids. There are numerous wellsprings of nitrogenous manure accessible, in any case, in Brazil the most utilized are urea and ammoniumsulfate. The urea [CO(NH2)2] has a high nitrogen fixation, high dissolvability and lower cost/unit of supplement, yet higher volatilization[10]. Working with various nitrogen sources, Chen[13] found no critical contrasts for the assessed segments among sources. Onimisi [14] examined the impact of germination and beginning improvement of maize plants by utilizing distinctive portions of urea and ammonium sulfate. It was seen that urea is unfavorable to germination and introductory improvement when contrasted with ammonium sulfate. Krapp [15] announced lower grain yield when urea was utilized as manure contrasted with ammonium sulfate. Then again, Nacry [16] detailed the lower cost of urea when contrasted with other nitrogen sources. For the most part, nitrogen is available in the dirt arrangement on nitrate or ammonium frames, anyway the plants are physiologically responsive just to nitric nutrition. There were confirmed diverse reactions among hereditary materials with respect to on nitrogen usage efficiency. Maize represents more than one-third of producing in China. In the past 40 years, maize production has brought about great increments instill yield (GY) [17]. In the United States from the 1930s to the 1990s, maize GY per unit territory expanded by roughly 120%, while the GNC decreased by around 35% (determined from the aftereffects of Duvick and Cassman, 1999). In this way, how to accomplish high GNC and high GY simultaneously in maize is an essential issue confronting worldwide food security and dietary quality [18]. This audit is about the significance of N for harvest profitability likewise about the N use proficiency in maize and it's adequacy in maize.

Nitrogen as an Essential Nutrient: Nitrogen is the key component for plant generation i.e. there is need of 20-50 g of nitrogen take up by roots to deliver 1 kg dry biomass. Nitrogen in the chlorophyll of plants and is in charge of vegetative development. The leaves of plants that get adequate nitrogen have a dim, blue-green shading, which advances photosynthesis. It influences various plant capacities like growth, improvement, digestion and asset allotment. Nitrogen is one of the basic plant supplement from the three noteworthy supplements N, P, K. Nitrogen is accessible in the majority of the full-scale atoms auxiliary and flagging particles, for example, cell divider, nutrients, hormones, nucleic acids including a few proteins also [19]. It is viewed as nitrogen is accessible for plants in inorganic forms, NO3- and NH4+17. Nitrogen-containing fertilizer that contain substantial amounts of ammonium and amine nitrogen have a more prominent acidifying impact on soil than nitrate containing manures. Ammonium sulfate contains just ammonium nitrogen and sulfur that quickens the procedure of soil fermentation [20]. It is utilized particularly in water system zones where the pH is high and the acidifying impact consequently has a killing effect. Nitrogen is the primary ordinarily rare supplement in harvest generation. A few nitrogen sources are accessible for use in providing nitrogen to crops. Nitrogen is the most vital mineral supplement that plants take up from the soil in various development stages. Proper accessibility and use of nitrogen is a constraining element in significant products [21]. With the expansion in the creation in current farming it is the most extreme test to deliver with less or no nitrogen. Appropriate use of nitrogen is an imperative factor for the generation of enough sustenance for developing populace of humanity. Sufficient utilization of nitrogen from sowing to reaping guarantees better yield and creation. From the most recent forty years nitrogen application builds multiple times and twofold the nourishment creation through agricultural [22].Non-agribusiness neighboring human, creature, miniaturized scale living beings and plant environment has decayed by escalated utilization of compost nitrogen [23]. In this way, avert biosphere together with an enhancement of trimming framework require legitimate utilized of compost, have accommodating in nourishment, feed and fiber.

Importance of Nitrogen Fertilization in Agriculture: For expanding a product yield, the upkeep or rebuilding of soil supplements is essential one most vital as nitrogen. Amid plant development arranges, the N fertilizer in solvent is a huge to its simple take-up and digestion. Along these lines, mineral fertilizer is the significant wellspring of N connected to crops by domesticated animal's fertilizer. Cooperative N2 obsession by vegetable knobs is additionally the other wellspring of N. The little measure of the environmental N changed over to an accessible shape to use for yield creation, however it was not the same as place to put due soil properties [24]. Normally, mineral fertilizer applied to the soil in various accessible shape are urea, anhydrous, ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate. The harvests can acclimatize it effortlessly and rapidly. Contingent upon atmosphere and soil conditions together alkali and urea are changed over to nitrate at different rates. In this manner likewise have diverse misfortunes types e.g. volatilization, No2 generation, overflow and filtering [25].

Effects of Imbalance Application of Nitrogen: To sustain a developing population of more than 7 billion individuals, it is very mysterious that people have significantly changed the worldwide nitrogen (N) cycle in an exertion since nitrogen is a basic plant and creature supplement. Because of the less use of mineral fertilization in immature zones low yield and lacking nourishment supply happens insufficiency of nitrogen likewise happens in such manner. Insufficiency of Nitrogen impacts the product yield definitely. It's a pivotal factor to give the required measure of nitrogen at the best time. At the ideal time of use, there is a tremendous distinction between the interest and supply of the nitrogen. Proficient utilization of nitrogen can diminish the impacts of intemperate use of nitrogen and furthermore increment the harvest profitability [26]. In this way, use of nitrogen at various stages effect the yield. The grain yield and quality can be expanded by appropriate utilization of nitrogen at the regenerative stage. So use of nitrogen in two parts at various phases of development guarantees greater accessibility of nitrogen to increase at later stages. Just 30-50 % nitrogen up taken by yield plants remaining nitrogen filtered down as nitrate (NO3 -) or transmitted to climate in the frame of nitrogen oxides (NxOy) or alkali (NH3). It was additionally observed that NO3 driven the van of contaminants, with 52% of a 94,600 network water frameworks testing positive to perceivable focuses and 1.2% surpassing the drinking water standard of 10 mg NO3-N per liter (10 ppm). The long haul impact of overabundance utilization of N regularly results in the eutrophication of surface water, an issue prominently known to be exceptionally toxic to water-bodies. Waste waterway have been a vehicle for the transport of nitrate and Phosphate effluents from paddy fields into streams and lakes [27]. It is generally observable while paddling apportions ponded water. Despite the fact that permeation has cleansing propensities through soil layers, not withstanding, filtering moves out and demonstrates a monstrous obstruction of the framework. In spite of the fact that nitrogen compost contributes significantly to yield upgrade, however over the top utilization of this fertilizer has presented genuine dangers to condition and human wellbeing. Despite the fact that nitrogen fertilizer contributes generously to yield improvement, however over the top utilization of this excrement has presented genuine dangers to condition and human wellbeing. The expansion in the utilization of nitrogen (N) fertilizer for improving the agrarian generation has been under thought for more than last 50 years. Ammonia (NH3) contains most astounding total of nitrogen around 82% and it very well may be connected straight forwardly to plants. There salts (NH3) would be changed over to ammonium (NH4) and nitrates before plant could utilize it as nitrogen source. Nitrate leach down in soil water and transported as water stream through plant attaches and leach down to groundwater. At the point when over the top nitrogen fertilizer is applied, the Phyto availability of nitrate from vegetables rises, which presents potential hazard to human health. In a test, it was seen that just 4% maize yield upgrade was recognized on use of 30% unreasonable nitrogen fertilizer application while the nitrate misfortune was expanded by 53%. While simply 10% yield was decreased by applying 30% less N fertilizer, however the nitrate misfortune through filtering diminished generously around 37%27. Inordinate utilization of nitrogen fertilizer to upgrade the yields productivity does not necessarily contribute to yield enhancement rather is for the most part lost through denitrification, filtering, or volatilization. The ealuation in the quality of emanations or outflow rates from point and non-point sources has pulled in a great deal of consideration by scientists in the created nations. However, the precise measurement of these discharges is troublesome since such huge numbers of components (Season and day, temperature, dampness, wind speed, sun powered force and other climate conditions and compost properties or qualities) in show assume jobs in the age and scattering of these outflow. Most nitrogenous fertilizer fabricating offices radiate air ordinarily comprising of ozone harming substances (GHGs – regularly CO2 and NO), sundry emanations and different vaporous inorganic mixes, particularly particulate issue under 10 microns in streamlined measurement (PM10) from boring are viewed as exceptionally poisonous to the air. Thus, legitimate application can help in expanding crop yield, either low or high application can impact edit efficiency definitely [28].

Improve Nitrogen Use Efficiency: Nitrogen use efficiency is the efficiency with which plants use and hold the nitrogen in the soil. The inclination of a plant to discharge nitrogen as nitrous oxide into the air after ingestion, as opposed to taking up the nitrogen and use for growth. NUE estimates how much nitrogen a plant takes-up and also the amount of this nitrogen take-up is lost by means of nitrous oxide outflows from the plants [29]. This estimates how efficiently plants use and hold nitrogen. The majority of the nitrogen a plant gains is considered as soil nitrogen and all nitrous oxide outflows is considered to start in the soil before a plant assimilates the nitrogen used to produce the nitrous oxide, since plants can retain and use ammonium and nitrate types of nitrogen through their roots. NUE is the efficiency with which nitrogen connected to soils, through common or artificial implies, is taken up by plants and not utilized for different purposes, for example, bolstering anaerobic microscopic organisms that reason denitrification or siphoning by means of nitrogen disintegration in water [30]. The executives of nitrogen (N) is a testing assignment and a few strategies separately and in blend are being used to deal with its efficiency. In any case, nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) has not been enhanced to a dimension, just 33%, as anticipated by the analysts while creating nitrogen the executive's devices and techniques. Researchers' uses hereditary qualities and biotechnological procedures to enhance the nitrogen use effectiveness by enhancing the product varieties [31]. NUE estimates the measure of nitrogen in developing soil toward the beginning of a season, through soil tests and lab investigation, the amount of this nitrogen was fixed, what amount was lost by means of filtering and denitrification and how much nitrogen is staying in the soil. The more nitrogen the product's take-up contrasted with the sum left in the soil or lost, the more nitrogen uses efficient the framework is. This is particularly essential while considering the utilization of composts since the perfect circumstance is for no fertilizer to be squandered and for every last bit of it to be retained to the benefit of the products the fertilizer is utilized on [32]. Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) has been generally utilized as a measurement to relate N take-up with the amount of N applying. One approach to clarify NUE is in regard to the mass of grain yield contrasted with the mass of N application. On account of changeability in yield potential, N misfortune potential inside fields and instability in N compost and corn costs after some time, it is critical to create preparation rehearses that can streamline the N fertilizer rates. NUE is an intricate attribute. Nitrogen use efficiency is viewed as very low all things considered in ordinary farming frameworks around the globe, including created countries. Around the world, nitrogen fertilizer use has expanded radically, from a little more than 79 million pounds in 2002 to around 99 million pounds in 2012 [33]. Notwithstanding, world product yields have barely expanded in extent to the nitrogen fertilizer connected to these harvests. This shows a huge inefficiency of nitrogen fertilizer use. The NUE for world grain creation is low with appraisals averaging 33% of compost nitrogen (N) recuperated by the crop 31. Depending on soil and climate conditions, pre-plant N could filter underneath the harvest establishing zone from the get-go in the season before pinnacle N take-up. Consequently, vast pre-plant N applications result in large amounts of accessible N in the soil profile before genuine dynamic plant take-up, which is in danger of misfortune more than a little while. The efficiency of a solitary pre-plant N application diminishes with the rate of N fertilizer connected. Then again, in-season N application results in enhanced NUE when contrasted with pre-plant N application [34]. Providing N as the product requires could build NUE. Soil N availability, crop N uptake and N responses contrast spatially inside fields. Therefore, a lot of N application as pre-plant into the field at a uniform rate is in danger for natural misfortune in zones of over-application or soils in danger for misfortune. Another explanation behind low NUE is obsolete N suggestions that advance over-utilization of N [35]. Actualizing the best N the executives rehearses together with product hereditary upgrades adjusted for every nation can generously lessen overabundance N fertilizer applications without bargaining crop yields [36].

Need for Implementation of Nue: Nitrogen is one the costliest supplements to supply, in this manner one of the goals of yield enhancement projects ought to be to quantify and augment supplement use effectiveness

(NUE). Agronomic productivity (AE) is the result of physiological proficiency and clear recuperation and NUEg is the result of take-up productivity and use efficiency[37].Clearly, the suitable method to assess NUE relies upon the yield, its reap item and whether the analyst needs to examine explicit physiological procedures associated with NUE. It is essential to enhance the supplement use effectiveness (NUE) of harvest plants for two reasons. In the first place, the utilization of business fertilizer is one of the real expenses related with the creation of high-yielding products and, in spite of the fact that these expenses are significant for all makers, they are regularly restrictive for subsistence agriculturists. Second, the natural harm related with the utilization of nitrogen-based fertilizer is getting to be significant [38]. Connected N not taken up by the yield or immobilized in soil natural N pools-which incorporate both microbial biomass and soil natural issue is defenseless against misfortunes from volatilization, denitrification and draining. The general NUE of an editing sys-tem can along these lines be expanded by accomplishing more prominent take-up effectiveness from application of N contributions, by decreasing the measure of N lost from soil natural and inorganic N pools, or both [39]. In many product ping frameworks, the span of the natural and inorganic N pools has achieved relentless state or is changing gradually and the N in-puts from organic N2 obsession and air affidavit are moderately steady. For instance, investigation of the N balance in long haul probes flooded rice in Asia proposes that a large number of these frameworks have achieved consistent state and comparative proof recommends that some maize-based editing frameworks in the USA corn belt are likewise close relentless state. As opposed to frameworks at relentless state, reception of new administration practices or harvest pivots that influence the dirt carbon (C) parity will likewise influence the N balance in light of the fact that the C/N proportion of soil natural issue is moderately consistent. In such trimming frameworks, the general NUE of the editing framework must incorporate changes in the measure of soil natural and inorganic N pools notwithstanding the REN. At the point when soil-N content is expanding, the measure of sequestered N adds to a higher NUE of the trimming framework and the measure of sequestered N got from connected N adds to a higher REN. On the other hand, any decline in soil-N stocks will decrease NUE and REN. Product N request is controlled by biomass yield and the physiological prerequisites for tissue N. Harvest the board practices and atmosphere have the best effect on yield [40]. Atmosphere shifts impressively from year to year, which causes huge contrasts in yield potential. In inundated frameworks, the yield capability of a given harvest cultivar is to a great extent represented by sun-based radiation and temperature. In dry land frameworks, precipitation sum and transient dissemination additionally impact yield potential [41]. While sun-based radiation, temperature and dampness routines decide the hereditary yield roof, real harvest yields accomplished by ranchers are commonly far underneath this edge since it is neither conceivable, nor monetary, to remove all confinements to development from problematic supplement supply, weed rivalry and harm from creepy crawlies and sicknesses. Thus, the association of atmosphere and the executives makes colossal year variety in on-cultivate yields and product N necessities. Harvest physiological N necessities are con-trolled by the productivity with which N in the plant is changed over to biomass and grain yield. Since oat crops are collected for grain, the most applicable proportion of physiological N effectiveness (PEN) is the adjustment in grain yield per unit change in N aggregation in over-the-ground biomass. Yield PEN is to a great extent administered by 2 factors: I) the hereditarily decided method of photosynthesis either the C3 or C4 photosynthetic pathway; and ii) the grain N fixation likewise under hereditary control yet influenced by N supply too. Both rice and wheat are C3 plants while maize is a C4 plant. The C4 plants will in general have more noteworthy PEN than C3 plants on the grounds that the C4 way has a higher photosynthetic rate for each unit leaf-N content, which results in more prominent biomass generation per unit of plant-N gathering. Over a wide scope of generation conditions and the board rehearses, maize will in general have a bigger increment in grain yield per unit N take-up than rice since it is a C4 plant. This advertisement vantage in PEN is apparent in the inclines of relapse lines. Rice has a lower proficiency than maize since it is a C3 plant al-however its lower grain N fixation incompletely balances this disadvantage [42].

Importance of Nitrogen for Maize Crop: Among a few capacities, the nitrogen assumes a key job on plants digestion, in various metabolic way methods for incredible significance to plants amalgamation. Both from an agronomic and monetary perspective, the primary driver for product enhancement throughout the only remaining century has been yield [43]. Maize is perceived as a noteworthy harvest as well as a model varieties that is all around adjusted for major research, particularly to comprehend the hereditary premise of yield performance.

Under suitable dimensions of different supplements in the dirt, nitrogen gives the best addition to maize yield. Because of a few changes the N is oppressed in the dirt, this supplement is viewed as a dynamic and complex component creating discussions and debates in regard to on its best source and snapshot of utilization in maize. Elements that may impact the nitrogen preparation reaction are the hereditarily altered half and halves, which spoke to 42% of the popularized seeds in the 2010 off-season. This is reflected in the development of maize yield through usage of half breed cultivars of more noteworthy hereditary potential and the expansion of manure applications [44]. A critical factor in maize nitrogen the board is the amount connected, maize efficiency ranges as per the expansion of N dosages. Contemplating nitrogen sources and application timing in maize found no distinction for timing yet watched an expansion in profitability among sources. Negative impacts on profitability when urea was connected in a solitary time at the eight leaves organize, be that as it may, they found no distinctions among nitrogen sources. Results found in the writing are clashing for nitrogen application timing in maize, accordingly the theory fundamental this work is the part of use and the wellspring of nitrogen may influence certain plant parameters and the last grain yield, thinking about various reactions among hereditarily changed crossovers. Also, the present suggestion depends on column dividing of 80-90 cm, anyway lessening the separating will straightforwardly impact on compost use by maize plants. As per [45] N has impact on the nature of corn silage. They insist that grain quality is decidedly influenced when nitrogen is connected, too fed plants produce a silage of higher wholesome value. Improving NUE is especially significant for maize, as a lot of N compost are required to get the greatest yield and for which worldwide NUE, similarly as with different products, has been evaluated overall to be under 50%. Late investigations have shown that there are substantial contrasts in maize lines and half and halves in their capacity to develop and yield well on soils with low mineral supplement accessibility, which relies upon both N-take-up productivity and N-use effectiveness [46].

Effectiveness of Nue in Maize: Over-use of N fertilizer has been a typical issue in escalated cultivating frameworks of quickly creating nations like China, contributing significantly to surface and ground water contamination and GHG outflows [47]. A usually embraced functional technique is to create RONM strategies and apply them across are going to bring N application closer to the reasonable rates. The consequences of this investigation confirmed past examinations that RONM can possibly enhance N the board in China [48] estimated that if the RONM techniques were embraced the nation over, N composts and GHG emanations could be diminished by more than 1.4 MT and 18.6MT per year. The MGWNM system performed superior to RONM for summer maize, however performed correspondingly to RONM for winter wheat. For the entire winter wheat-summer maize framework, MGWNM decreased aggregate obvious N misfortunes by 27% in respect to RONM. In view of these outcomes, RONM can be utilized for winter wheat and MGWNM can be utilized for summer maize [49]. This methodology does not require any exceptional gear and is moderately simple to actualize. Be that as it may, its more extensive applications still face a few difficulties. In China, huge numbers of the fields are as of now little and agriculturists may not include a few small plots in each field. If small test plots are not included in each field, the exactness of the MGWNM approach would be flawed due to the significant field to field fluctuation in soil ripeness conditions [50]. This investigation showed that the CS-PNM methodology could additionally diminish N compost applications by 32– 36% (57 kg N ha-1 and 65 kg N ha-1 for winter wheat and summer maize, separately) contrasted and RONM, enhance NUE by 20– 44% (For winter wheat) and 39– 61% (For summer maize) and decrease add up to N2O discharge, GHG outflow and receptive N misfortune forces by 20– 31% (For winter wheat) and 36– 42% (For summer wheat). Over the 4-year examine period, as contrasted and FNP, the more exact N the board systems (RONM, MGWNM, IRNM and CSPNM) diminished N application rates by a normal of 37– 59% for winter wheat and 38– 62% for summer maize, individually. Over the years, the CS-PNM technique connected the most minimal N rates, which was 32% and 36% lower with respect to the RONM procedure in winter wheat and summer maize, individually. It was the main system that reliably performed superior to the RONM methodology for both crops. The grain yield of the RONM, MGWNM, IRNM, CS-PNM and FNP was not significantly different, aside from the 2008– 2009 winter wheat season and 2009 summer maize season [51]. The grain yield of the IRNM strategy for the 2008– 2009 winter wheat season was significantly. Lower than different medicines aside from the RONM technique, while the IRNM methodology was just significantly lower than FNP for the 2009 summer maize season. The grain yield in the N0 treatment was significantly lower than the various medicines every year, with a normal of 2.8Mg ha-1 for winter wheat and 5.8 Mg ha-1 for summer maize. The RONM, MGWNM, IRNM and CS-PNM techniques all expanded NUE over FNP for both winter wheat and summer maize. Dinnes [52] found that the sensor-based variable rate N the board diminished aggregate compost use by 11%, was anticipated to lessen soil N2O discharges by 10%, volatilization NH3 misfortune by 23% and NO3--N filtering by 16% with respect to customary uniform N applications for corn in Missouri, USA41. Missouri maker's uniform application practice is similar to the RONM methodology in China because of the general abnormal state of the board in created nations like the USA. Demonstrated that soil NO3--N content in the best 90 cm of the dirt profile ought to be kept up inside the scope of 87– 180 kg Nha-1 for high return maize production [53]. The basal N use of 60 kg N ha-1 for both winter wheat and summer maize may be unreasonably low for such low fruitfulness soil and did not give enough N to the early harvest development, which couldn't be repaid by a topdressing N application at stem prolongation stage or side-dressing at the V10 organize. Under such circumstances, the basal N application ought to be expanded. In rundown, yield decrease chance is commonly low under typical creation circumstances while receiving CS-PNM methodologies. At the point when soil fruitfulness was low, basal composts can be expanded to diminish the danger of yield decrease for the first 1 or 2 years, which could then be modified for later developing season. Not with standing soil mineralization, upgraded N statement can be another essential N source [54]. Although the CS-PNM technique can possibly enhance NUE and diminish the ecological impression of N composts and along these lines advancing maintainable advancement, the upgrades in yield benefit still show up questionable. Future examinations are expected to additionally enhance sensor-based accuracy N the board techniques and direct more on-cultivate analyses to assess them under different on-cultivate conditions. It is additionally vital for future examinations to coordinate accuracy N the board procedures into high return edit the board frameworks to accomplish high return and NUE and in addition low negative ecological effects at the same time for sustenance security and reasonable development [55].

CONCLUSION

From all above discussion, it is concluded that by adopting proper farming management and using latest techniques for nitrogen application we can improve the nitrogen use efficiency for maize crop to increase its overall yield and achieve our ultimate goal. As nitrogen is one of the important elements and the nitrogen assumes a key job on plants digestion, in various metabolic way methods for incredible significance to plants amalgamation.

REFERENCES

1. Han, M., J. Wong, T. Su, P.H. Beatty and A.G. Good, 2016. Identification of nitrogen use efficiency genes in barley: searching for QTLs controlling complex physiological traits. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7: 1587.
2. Cao, Q., Y. Miao, G. Feng, X. Gao, B. Liu, Y. Liu and F. Zhang, 2017. Improving nitrogen use efficiency with minimal environmental risks using an active canopy sensor in a wheat-maize Cropping System. Field Crops Research, 214: 365-372.
3. Erisman, J.W., J.N. Galloway, S. Seitzinger, A. Bleeker, N.B. Dise, A.R. Petrescu and W. de Vries, 2013. Consequences of human modification of the global nitrogen cycle. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 368(1621): 20130116.
4. Zhang, X., E.A. Davidson, D.L. Mauzerall, T.D. Searchinger, P. Dumas and Y. Shen, 2015. Managing nitrogen for sustainable development. Nature, 528(7580): 51.
5. Zhao, G., Y. Miao, H. Wang, M. Su, M. Fan, F. Zhang and D. Ma, 2013. A preliminary precision rice management system for increasing both grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency. Field crops research, 154: 23-30.
6. Zhang, W. F., Z.X. Dou, P. He, X.T. Ju, D. Powlson, D. Chadwick and X.P. Chen, 2013. New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(21): 8375-8380.
7. Miao, Y., B.A. Stewart and F. Zhang, 2011. Long-term experiments for sustainable nutrient management in China. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 31(2): 397-414.
8. Conant, R. T., A.B. Berdanier and P.R. Grace, 2013. Patterns and trends in nitrogen use and nitrogen recovery efficiency in world agriculture. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 27(2): 558-566.
9. Norse, D. and X. Ju, 2015. Environmental costs of China's food security. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 209: 5-14.

10. Meira, F.D.A., S. Buzetti, M. Andreotti, O. Arf, M.E. de Sa and J.D.C. Andrade, 2009. Sources and times of nitrogen application on irrigated corn crop. Semina: Ciencias Agrárias (Londrina), 30(2): 275-283.
11. Duete, R.R.C., T. Muraoka, E.C.D. Silva, E.J. Ambrosano and P.C.O. Trivelin, 2009. Nitrogen (15N) accumulation in corn grains as affected by source of nitrogen in Red Latosol. Bragantia, 68(2): 463-472.
12. Cancellier, L.L., F.S. Afférri, E.V. de Carvalho, M.A. Dotto and F.F. Leão, 2011. Eficiência no uso de nitrogênio e correlação fenotípica em populações tropicais de milho no Tocantins. Revista Ciência Agronômica, 42(1): 139-148.
13. Chen, Y., C. Xiao, D. Wu, T. Xia, Q. Chen, F. Chen and G. Mi, 2015. Effects of nitrogen application rate on grain yield and grain nitrogen concentration in two maize hybrids with contrasting nitrogen remobilization efficiency. European Journal of Agronomy, 62: 79-89.
14. Onimisi, P.A., J.J. Omage, I.I. Dafwang and G.S. Bawa, 2009. Replacement value of normal maize with quality protein maize (Obatampa) in broiler diets. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 8(2): 112-115.
15. Krapp, A., 2015. Plant nitrogen assimilation and its regulation: a complex puzzle with missing pieces. Current opinion in Plant Biology, 25: 115-122.
16. Nacry, P., E. Bouguyon and A. Gojon, 2013. Nitrogen acquisition by roots: physiological and developmental mechanisms ensuring plant adaptation to a fluctuating resource. Plant and Soil, 370(1-2): 1-29.
17. Gastal, F., G. Lemaire, J.L. Durand and G. Louarn, 2015. Quantifying crop responses to nitrogen and avenues to improve nitrogen-use efficiency. In Crop physiology, (pp: 161-206). Academic Press.
18. Hirel, B., J. Le Gouis, B. Ney and A. Gallais, 2007. The challenge of improving nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants: towards a more central role for genetic variability and quantitative genetics within integrated approaches. Journal of Experimental Botany, 58(9): 2369-2387.
19. Foyer, C. and H. Zhang, 2011. Annual Plant Reviews, Nitrogen Metabolism in Plants in the Post-genomic Era (Vol. 42). John Wiley & Sons.
20. Sutton, M.A., C.M. Howard, J.W. Erisman, G. Billen, A. Bleeker, P. Grennfelt and B. Grizzetti, 2011. The European nitrogen assessment: sources, effects and policy perspectives. Cambridge University Press.
21. Abrol, Y. P., S.R. Chatterjee, P.A. Kumar and V. Jain, 1999. Improvement in nitrogen use efficiency: physiological and molecular approaches. Current Science-Bangalore, 76: 1357-1364.
22. Tilman, D., K.G. Cassman, P.A. Matson, R. Naylor and S. Polasky, 2002. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature, 418(6898): 671.
23. Waqar, A., K. Hira, B. Ullah, A. Khan, Z. Shah, F.A. Khan and R.M.M. Naz, 2014. Role of nitrogen fertilizer in crop productivity and environmental pollution. International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 4(3): 201-206.
24. Sharifi, M., B.J. Zebarth, J.J. Miller, D.L. Burton and C.A. Grant, 2014. Soil nitrogen mineralization in a soil with long-term history of fresh and composted manure containing straw or wood-chip bedding. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 99(1-3): 63-78.
25. Donner, S.D. and C.J. Kucharik, 2003. Evaluating the impacts of land management and climate variability on crop production and nitrate export across the Upper Mississippi Basin. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 17(3).
26. Choi, J.H., S. Maruthamuthu, H.G. Lee, T.H. Ha and J.H. Bae, 2009. Nitrate removal by electro-bioremediation technology in Korean soil. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 168(2-3): 1208-1216.
27. Mahajan, G., N.K. Sekhon, N. Singh, R. Kaur and A.S. Sidhu, 2010. Yield and nitrogen-use efficiency of aromatic rice cultivars in response to nitrogen fertilizer. Journal of New Seeds, 11(4): 356-368.
28. Kant, S., M. Peng and S.J. Rothstein, 2011. Genetic regulation by NLA and microRNA827 for maintaining nitrate-dependent phosphate homeostasis in Arabidopsis. PLoS genetics, 7(3): e1002021.
29. Raun, W.R. and G.V. Johnson, 1999. Improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal production. Agronomy Journal, 91(3): 357-363.
30. Sharma, L. and S. Bali, 2017. A review of methods to improve nitrogen use efficiency in agriculture. Sustainability, 10(1): 51.
31. Good, A.G., A.K. Shrawat and D.G. Muench, 2004. Can less yield more? Is reducing nutrient input into the environment compatible with maintaining crop production?. Trends in Plant Science, 9(12): 597-605.
32. Vitousek, P.M., J.D. Aber, R.W. Howarth, G.E. Likens, P.A. Matson, D.W. Schindler and D.G. Tilman, 1997. Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences. Ecological applications, 7(3): 737-750.

33. Cassman, K.G., S.K. De Datta, D.C. Olk, J. Alcantara, M. Samson, J. Descalsota and M. Dizon, 1995. Yield decline and the nitrogen economy of long-term experiments on continuous, irrigated rice systems in the tropics. Soil management: Experimental basis for sustainability and Environmental Quality, pp: 181-222.
34. Cassman, K.G., A. Dobermann and D.T. Walters, 2002. Agroecosystems, nitrogen-use efficiency and nitrogen management. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment, 31(2): 132-141.
35. Kappes, C., O. Arf and J.A.D.C. Andrade, 2013. Maize grain yield in response to different soil management and nitrogen rates. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, 37(5): 1310-1321.
36. Cui, Z., X. Chen and F. Zhang, 2013. Development of regional nitrogen rate guidelines for intensive cropping systems in China. Agronomy Journal, 105(5): 1411-1416.
37. Cao, Q., Z. Cui, X. Chen, R. Khosla, T.H. Dao and Y. Miao, 2012. Quantifying spatial variability of indigenous nitrogen supply for precision nitrogen management in small scale farming. Precision Agriculture, 13(1): 45-61.
38. Li, A., B.D. Duval, R. Anex, P. Scharf, J.M. Ashtekar, P.R. Owens and C. Ellis, 2016. A case study of environmental benefits of sensor-based nitrogen application in corn. Journal of Environmental Quality, 45(2): 675-683.
39. Cui, Z., F. Zhang, Y. Miao, Q. Sun, F. Li, X. Chen and C. Liu, 2008. Soil nitrate-N levels required for high yield maize production in the North China Plain. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 82(2): 187-196.
40. Diacono, M., P. Rubino and F. Montemurro, 2013. Precision nitrogen management of wheat. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 33(1): 219-241.
41. Lu, Y., A. Jenkins, R.C. Ferrier, M. Bailey, I.J. Gordon, S. Song and Z. Feng, 2015. Addressing China's grand challenge of achieving food security while ensuring environmental sustainability. Science Advances, 1(1): e1400039.
42. Ahmar, S., N. Liaqat, M. Hussain, M.A. Salim, M.A. Shabbir, M.Y. Ali and M. Rizwan, 2019. Effect of Abiotic Stresses on Brassica Species and Role of Transgenic Breeding for Adaptation. Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science, pp: 1-10.
43. Hussain, M., M.Y. Ali, M. Umer, N. Ejaz, M. Bilal, M.A. Salim and M. Rizwan, 2018. Study of Paddy Stem Borers Population Dynamics and Influencing Environmental Factors through Light Trap. Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science, pp: 1-10.
44. Hussain, M., N. Liaqat, M. Bilal and H.A. Noushahi, 2018. Comprehensive Analysis of Effect of Submergence on Rice Grain Quality. Curr. Inves. Agri. Curr. Res., 5(5). DOI: 10.32474/CIACR.2018.05.000223.
45. Hussain, M., U. Niaz, M. Bilal and N. Liaqat, 2018. Phenotypic Response of Rice Genotypes Under Submergence Conditions at Seedling Stage. Curr Inves Agri Curr Res 5(4). DOI: 10.32474/CIACR.2018.05.000220.
46. Noushahi, H.A., M. Hussain, M. Bilal, M.A. Shabbir, F. Idrees, M. Jawad, M. Rizwan, B. Atta and K. Tanveer, 2019. Improving Phosphorus Use Efficiency by Agronomical and Genetic Means. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 15(2): 47-53. DOI:10.5829/idosi.wjas.2019.48.54
47. Tanveer, K., M.H. Tung, R. A.-ur, U. Ahmad and M. Hussain, 2019. Priming Induction in Neighbouring Plants of Gossypium hirsutum under Salt Stress. Asian Plant Research Journal, 2, 3(Mar. 2019): 1-9.
48. Noushahi, H.A. and M. Hussain, 2019. A Review of Farming System, Irrigation, Intercropping and Nitrogen Management in Maize. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 19(1): 43-47. DOI: 10.5829/idosi.aejaes.2019.43.47
49. Bilal, M., M. Hussain, M. Umer, N. Ejaz, H.A. Noushahi, B. Atta and M. Rizwan, 2019. Population Incidence and Efficacy of Chemical Control against Rice Leaffolder (Cnaphnalocrocis medinalis Guenee) (Pyralidae: Lepidoptera). Asian Plant Research Journal, 2(2): 1-7.
50. Robertson, G. P. and P.M. Vitousek, 2009. Nitrogen in agriculture: balancing the cost of an essential resource. Annual review of Environment and Resources, 34: 97-125.
51. Lory, J.A. and P.C. Scharf, 2003. Yield goal versus delta yield for predicting fertilizer nitrogen need in corn. Agronomy Journal, 95(4): 994-999.
52. Dinnes, D.L., D.L. Karlen, D.B. Jaynes, T.C. Kaspar, J.L. Hatfield, T.S. Colvin and C.A. Cambardella, 2002. Nitrogen management strategies to reduce nitrate leaching in tile-drained Midwestern soils. Agronomy Journal, 94(1): 153-171.

53. Mamo, M., G.L. Malzer, D.J. Mulla, D.R. Huggins and J. Strock, 2003. Spatial and temporal variation in economically optimum nitrogen rate for corn. Agron. J, 95:958-964. doi:10.2134/agronj2003.0958
54. Zhang, F., Z. Cui, X. Chen, X. Ju, J. Shen and Q. Chen, 2012. Integrated nutrient management for food security and environmental quality in China. Adv. Agron, 116:1-40. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-394277-7.00001-4.
55. Garnett, T., M. Appleby, A. Balmford, I. Bateman, T. Benton, P. Bloomer, B. Burlingame, M. Dawkins, L. Dolan and D. Fraser, 2013. Sustainable intensification in agriculture: premises and policies. Science, 341: 33-34.