

Evaluation of Some Potential Silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Hybrids in Different Locations under Temperate Conditions

Tahia A. Fouad and Rania S. Gad

Sericulture Research Department, Plant Protection Research Institute,
Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt

Abstract: Silkworms, *Bombyx mori* L. consider commercial insects due to their economic value as silk-producing insects. Hybrid vigour was discovered in silkworms. Silkworm breeding has made for improving the output of hybrids. So, all commercial eggs are hybrids. Six local silkworm hybrids were obtained from hybridizations of ten local races and evaluated in two Egyptian governorates (Giza and Daqahlyia). Fourteen biological and economic characters of mulberry silkworm take into consideration. Fifth larvae duration (FD), Total larvae duration (LD), and mortality percentage (MP) were recorded. Also, cocooning percentage (CP), pupation ratio (PR), double cocooning percentage (DCP), number of cocoons/Liter (C/L), cocoon crop by number (Crop/N), cocoon crop by weight (Crop/W), fresh cocoon weight (CW), cocoon shell weight (CSW), pupae weight (PW), cocoon shell ratio (CSR), and silk productivity (SP) parameters were evaluated. Data were analyzed by adopting modified equations of evaluation index and subordinate function. The results clarified that there isn't a single hybrid better for all studied characters altogether. The performance of the same hybrids differed according to the governorate. In addition, the evaluation index and subordinate function values differ with location.

Key words: Local hybrids • Mean performance • Evaluation index • Subordinate function • Silkworm • *Bombyx mori* L.

INTRODUCTION

The silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L., is an economical insect that produces natural silk and contributes to a large percentage of the world's natural silk production [1]. Successful cocoon production depends on rearing suitable silkworm breeds or hybrids recommended for a season /region.

Mulberry silkworm hybridization began in China and Japan in the 19th century. The main purpose of silkworm hybridization is to develop new strains resistant to diseases, with high productivity potential, and environment adaptive so sericulturists in all sericulture countries play an important role to improve the genetic potential through breeding [2, 3].

Silkworm breeding aims at the overall improvement of silkworm breeds for commercial exploitation by the natural and socio-economic situation of Egypt. The breeds tried to improve multiple quantitative and qualitative traits in silkworms. Sericulture activities are a potential sector of agriculture to raise the profit of the farmers and also generate foreign exchange for our country.

The success of the silk project depends on many factors such as mulberry yielding and silkworm varieties to get a high crop of cocoons. Genetic diversity in the silkworm populations is affected by improved economic characters and suitability for climatic conditions to make silk production sustainable [4]. The level of heterosis present in a cross-breed population can be influenced by environmental factors in every location [5]. Good cocoon crops depend on many factors biotic and abiotic factors that play an important role in silkworm productivity.

Temperature and humidity play a very important role in the life cycle of silkworms. Grown silkworm larvae (4th and 5th instar) are more sensitive to temperature [6, 7]. Jumagulov *et al.* [8] registered that temperature and relative humidity affect the duration and viability of the silkworm larvae and cocoon yields fall sharply and their quality does not meet demand. The quantitative characters of silkworms are not only controlled by genes but also influenced by environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, and photoperiod [9, 10].

Egypt imports its needs from silkworm eggs every year. The importation process faced many difficulties in

addition to the need for foreign currency, which may lead to the failure of importation, which happened in 2003 as mentioned by Ghazy [11]. Scientists of the Sericulture Research Department (SRD), Giza started the breeding program in 1994 to develop some high-yielding races of silkworms producing high quality and quantity of silk [12].

In the last few years, there has been a growing interest in determining the best silkworm hybrid under climate conditions for each governorate in Egypt [13-15]. Silkworm breeders have to pay more attention to the development of quality characters of silkworm, *B. mori* L., to identify hybrids for the best characters therefore, the research organizations and government should be given prime importance to the sericulture industry especially breeding silkworm races of our country to produce silk comparable to Seri-culturally advanced countries.

The main objective of this experiment is to test some local hybrids under two different governorate conditions and determine the best hybrid for each governorate by means of a modified evaluation index and subordinate function formulae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hybridizations: In this experiment ten races of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. collected from the breeding program of the Sericulture Research Department Plant Protection Research Institute Agriculture Research Center (G_{155} , H_{245} , J_{234} , J_{444} , K_{111} , L_{252} , O_{111} , $RBmch_3$, $RBmch_4$, and $RBPj_2$). The maternal egg races were reared to collect a decent weight of eggs throughout the Spring season. Egg cards are hatched through methods of artificial hatching in the Autumn season [16]. Hybridizations were made between selected races. Six hybrids were obtained from hybridization coded as follows:

1. $H_{245} \times G_{155}$ coded as Hy A.
2. $RBmch_3 \times L_{252}$ coded as Hy B.
3. $RBPj_2 \times G_{155}$ coded as Hy C.
4. $O_{111} \times J_{234}$ coded as Hy E.
5. $K_{111} \times RBmch_4$ coded as Hy F.
6. $J_{444} \times K_{111}$ coded as Hy H.

All tested hybrids were reared in three replicates each replicate was counted of three hundred larvae for each hybrid in two selected governorates; Sericulture Research Department in Giza Governorate (G) and Sericulture station in El-Daqhalyia Governorate (D).

Rearing Young Instars: Young silkworm larvae mean first to third instar larvae were reared during the Spring

season under laboratory conditions at $27 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and $75 \pm 5\%$ temperature and relative humidity. Hatched larvae brushed in plastic trays and fed on chopped mulberry leaves *Morus alba* var canva-2 four times daily. Plastic sheets were used for the bottom and cover of the larvae, and larvae were surrounded with wet foam [17]. After each moult larvae were dusted with disinfectants as described by Hosny *et al.* [18].

Rearing Grown Instars: Grown silkworm larvae (4th and 5th instars) were fed with shoots of mulberry. Larvae were dusted with recommended disinfectant on particular days as described by [18]. Matured larvae were transferred in collapsible frames for montage then cocoons were collected after 7 days. The fifth larvae duration (FD), total larvae duration (LD), and mortality percentage (MP) were recorded for each replicate. From each hybrid, thirteen female and male cocoons were selected randomly to be evaluated by registered, cocooning percentage (CP), pupation ratio (PR), double cocooning percentage (DCP), number of cocoons/Liter (C/L), cocoon crop by number (Crop/N), cocoon crop by weight (Crop/W), fresh cocoon weight (CW), cocoon shell weight (CSW), pupae weight (PW), cocoon shell ratio (CSR) and silk productivity (SP) parameters.

- The mortality percentage was calculated by the following formula:

$$\text{Mortality Percentage} = \frac{\text{Number of dead larvae}}{\text{Total number of larvae}} \times 100$$

- The double cocoon percentage was measured according to Lea's [19] equation:

$$\text{Double cocoon percentage} = \frac{\text{Number of pupae made double cocoon}}{\text{Total number of pupae harvested}} \times 100$$

Hybrid Evaluation: The modified equation of the evaluation index and subordinate function by Ghazy [20] was used for evaluating all the tested hybrids.

A- The formulae of the evaluation index:

- 1- Formula of characters with positive direction:

$$\text{Evaluation index (EI)} = \frac{(A - B)}{(C \times 10)} + 50$$

- 2- Formula of characters with negative direction:

$$\text{Evaluation index (EI)} = 50 - \frac{(A - B)}{(C \times 10)}$$

Where:

A = Particular character value of the particular hybrid.

B = Mean value of the particular character of all the considered hybrids.

C = Deviation of Standard (n-1) for a particular character of all the considered hybrids.

10 = Unit of standard, 50 = Fixed value.

The superiority of hybrid genotypes impartially, a common of evaluation index is inevitably adopted giving equal emphasis to all the commercial economic characters. The average of evaluation index value fixed to select a hybrid genotype is over 50. Only the hybrids with an evaluation index value higher than 50 have been considered of good economic importance.

B - The formulae of the subordinate function:

$$\text{Subordinate function (XU)} = (X_i - X_{\text{worst}}) / (X_{\text{best}} - X_{\text{worst}})$$

Where:

XU = Subordinate function,

Xi = value of character for an examined genotype.

X_{worst} = The worst value of this parameter among all the tested genotypes.

X_{best} = The best value of this parameter amongst all the tested genotypes.

Hence, the worst value of parameter with positive directions is the lowest value and it is the highest value for parameters with negative directions. Also, the best value of positive direction parameters is the highest value, as well as in the same time it is the lowest value of the negative direction parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Performance of average values for six local hybrids reared in two different governorates Giza and Daqahliya:

The Data in Table 1 showed the average values of fourteen characters for six local hybrids of the silkworm, *B. mori* L. reared in the Giza governorate. It is clear that three hybrids have the best results for twelve characters together these hybrids are HyGA, HyGB, HyGF, and HyGH. And hybrid HyGA has the best results for eleven characters.

Table 2 appeared the average values of fourteen characters for six local hybrids of *B. mori* reared in the Daqahliya governorate. The results showed that HyDF has the highest results for thirteen characters and HyDC for eleven characters. Whereas, hybrids of HyDA and HyDB have good results for nine characters altogether simultaneously.

The results in Table 1 and Table 2 indicated that the performance of the same hybrids differed according to

Table 1: Average values for fourteen characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Giza Governorate.

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------------|-------|
| | CW (g) | | CSW(g) | | PW(g) | | CSR (%) | | SP (cg/day) | |
| | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ |
| Hy GA | 2.282 | 1.856 | 0.373 | 0.347 | 1.839 | 1.440 | 16.379 | 18.745 | 4.244 | 3.919 |
| Hy GB | 1.896 | 1.709 | 0.327 | 0.343 | 1.499 | 1.296 | 17.232 | 20.109 | 4.086 | 4.288 |
| Hy GC | 1.704 | 1.433 | 0.283 | 0.255 | 1.351 | 1.108 | 16.661 | 17.906 | 3.144 | 2.832 |
| Hy GE | 1.569 | 1.383 | 0.284 | 0.272 | 1.214 | 1.041 | 18.319 | 20.142 | 3.160 | 3.021 |
| Hy GF | 1.961 | 1.811 | 0.335 | 0.324 | 1.556 | 1.417 | 17.037 | 17.899 | 3.721 | 3.598 |
| Hy GH | 1.705 | 1.484 | 0.318 | 0.287 | 1.318 | 1.128 | 18.518 | 19.842 | 3.532 | 3.186 |

Where: G: Giza; Hybrids: (A,B,C,E,F and H); Cw: cocoon weigh; CSW: cocoon shell weight; CSR: cocoon shell ratio; SP: (silk productivity).

Table 1: Continued

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|------------|
| | FD (day) | LD (day) | MP (%) | CP (%) | PR (%) | DCP (%) | C/L (No) | Crop/N (No) | Crop/W (g) |
| Hy GA | 9.250 | 29.000 | 25.000 | 74.000 | 100.000 | 1.773 | 144.000 | 7400.000 | 14784.278 |
| Hy GB | 10.370 | 31.000 | 15.000 | 85.000 | 100.000 | 2.403 | 160.000 | 8500.000 | 15458.917 |
| Hy GC | 9.500 | 33.375 | 16.000 | 76.000 | 95.833 | 0.000 | 186.400 | 7600.000 | 11710.180 |
| Hy GE | 10.000 | 31.000 | 15.000 | 70.000 | 98.333 | 1.977 | 185.600 | 7000.000 | 10337.707 |
| Hy GF | 10.000 | 30.000 | 10.000 | 90.000 | 100.000 | 6.983 | 152.800 | 9000.000 | 17074.437 |
| Hy GH | 9.000 | 28.000 | 3.000 | 83.000 | 96.667 | 7.437 | 165.600 | 8300.000 | 13450.449 |

Where: G (Giza); Hybrids (A,B,C,E,F and H); FD (fifth duration); LD (larval duration); MP (mortality percentage); CP (cocooning percentage); PR (pupation ratio); DCP (double cocooning percentage); C/L (number of cocoon per liter); crop/N (cocoon crop by number) and crop/w (cocoon crop by weight).

Table 2: Average values for fourteen characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Daqahliya Governorate.

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------------|-------|
| | CW (g) | | CSW(g) | | PW(g) | | CSR (%) | | SP (cg/day) | |
| | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ |
| Hy DA | 1.831 | 1.791 | 0.336 | 0.345 | 1.425 | 1.377 | 18.738 | 19.443 | 3.633 | 3.726 |
| Hy DB | 1.985 | 1.964 | 0.374 | 0.395 | 1.540 | 1.499 | 18.917 | 20.206 | 3.609 | 3.814 |
| Hy DC | 2.005 | 1.964 | 0.346 | 0.355 | 1.588 | 1.540 | 17.369 | 18.266 | 3.647 | 3.736 |
| Hy DE | 2.120 | 2.110 | 0.374 | 0.396 | 1.677 | 1.644 | 17.500 | 18.710 | 3.738 | 3.965 |
| Hy DF | 2.124 | 2.104 | 0.429 | 0.460 | 1.625 | 1.573 | 20.199 | 21.717 | 4.290 | 4.604 |
| Hy DH | 2.336 | 2.260 | 0.397 | 0.428 | 1.869 | 1.762 | 17.014 | 19.054 | 4.410 | 4.753 |

Where: D (Daqahliya); Hybrids (A,B,C,E,F and H); Cw: cocoon weigh; CSW: cocoon shell weight; CSR: cocoon shell ratio; SP: (silk productivity).

Table 2: Continued

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|-------------|------------|
| | FD (day) | LD (day) | MP (%) | CP (%) | PR (%) | DCP (%) | C/L (No) | Crop/N (No) | Crop/W (g) |
| Hy DA | 9.250 | 30.000 | 11.000 | 90.000 | 90.000 | 0.737 | 154.417 | 9000.000 | 16279.661 |
| Hy DB | 10.370 | 32.370 | 15.000 | 88.000 | 95.278 | 2.274 | 159.472 | 8800.000 | 17376.280 |
| Hy DC | 9.500 | 30.000 | 13.330 | 90.000 | 95.556 | 0.000 | 165.200 | 9000.000 | 17865.130 |
| Hy DE | 10.000 | 31.620 | 16.660 | 79.000 | 85.000 | 0.000 | 180.600 | 7900.000 | 16712.558 |
| Hy DF | 10.000 | 31.000 | 13.000 | 90.000 | 98.333 | 3.600 | 164.000 | 9000.000 | 19024.238 |
| Hy DH | 9.000 | 28.830 | 25.000 | 85.000 | 99.167 | 3.773 | 167.800 | 8500.000 | 19532.680 |

Where: D (Daqahliya); Hybrids (A, B, C, E, F and H); FD (fifth duration); LD (larval duration); MP (mortality percentage); CP (cocooning percentage); PR (pupation ratio); DCP (double cocooning percentage); C/L (number of cocoon per liter); crop/N (cocoon crop by number) and crop/w (cocoon crop by weight).

the governorate. Hence HyGH, HyGF, and HyGB showed high results for twelve characters while in the Daqahliya governorate HyDF and HyDC represented the best results.

These results are in correspondence with those found by Ghazy [21] who evaluated sixteen hybrids and clarified that none of the sixteen hybrids acquired the best performance for all tested traits. Mahmoud and Ghazy [13] evaluate some imported hybrids and different local hybrids they stated that the same hybrids have different genetic expressions in different locations as well as the genetic expression of local hybrids depends on location. In addition, thirteen single local hybrids were reared in Qalubiya and Alexandria governorates, and the performance of the thirteen hybrids under the Qalubiya conditions is better than the performance of the same hybrid reared in the Alexandria governorate [14, 22]. Ghazy *et al.* [15] have also, noted that silkworm larvae are very sensible insects for environmental conditions fluctuations in every location. So, silkworm hybrids should be adaptable to climatic conditions and fluctuations in every location. As well as, as suggested by Fouad [23] characters of silkworm rendering vary in performance depending on environmental conditions. Therefore, it is very much important to provide the optimum environmental conditions because the economic

characters are greatly influenced by the environmental conditions than the silkworm genotype.

The performance of the breed itself will be the best indicator in a given environment [24]. The biological and economic characters of silkworms are influenced by different factors such as genetic control and environmental conditions. Therefore, to enhance the productivity of silkworms must obtain a suitable hybrid with improving environmental conditions like nutrition and rearing conditions [25, 26].

B. Evaluation Index Values of Six Local Hybrids for Silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Estimate in Giza and Daqahliya Governorates:

Values of the evaluation index for fourteen traits of six local hybrids silkworm, *B. mori* L. reared in the Giza governorate were found in Table 3. It is obvious that no single hybrids earned the best values for all characters under this investigation. From the six hybrids, only four hybrids were better (HyGH, HyGA, HyGB, and HyGC). Hybrids of HyGH and HyGA were better values for eleven and eight, characters together, while hybrids of HyGB and HyGC were the best average evaluation index for seven traits only.

Table 4 showed the average values of evaluation index for all traits of six local hybrids of silkworm *B. mori* L. reared in Giza governorate. The data cleared that

Table 3: Evaluation index values for fourteen characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Giza Governorate.

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | CW (g) | | CSW(g) | | PW(g) | | CSR (%) | | SP (cg/day) | |
| | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ |
| Hy GA | 65.110 | 49.674 | 55.853 | 46.864 | 66.416 | 50.411 | 36.544 | 44.944 | 55.006 | 45.102 |
| Hy GB | 49.421 | 48.595 | 49.917 | 49.381 | 49.340 | 48.436 | 50.087 | 51.573 | 49.922 | 49.472 |
| Hy GC | 53.942 | 48.824 | 54.566 | 46.494 | 53.629 | 49.463 | 51.655 | 44.319 | 53.819 | 47.344 |
| Hy GE | 52.495 | 46.588 | 52.231 | 47.278 | 52.439 | 46.517 | 48.952 | 48.429 | 51.865 | 47.938 |
| Hy GF | 49.223 | 49.549 | 51.592 | 46.261 | 48.749 | 50.414 | 53.072 | 43.164 | 51.332 | 47.167 |
| Hy GH | 53.956 | 41.864 | 62.450 | 50.387 | 51.949 | 39.913 | 64.355 | 69.109 | 60.411 | 50.294 |

Where: G: Giza; Hybrids: (A, B, C, E, F and H); Cw: cocoon weigh; CSW: cocoon shell weight; CSR: cocoon shell ratio; SP: (silk productivity).

Table 3: Continued

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | FD | LD | MP | CP | PR | DCP | C/L | Crop/N | Crop/W |
| Hy GA | 50.000 | 50.000 | 50.000 | 54.512 | 50.000 | 50.014 | 44.640 | 51.608 | 42.676 |
| Hy GB | 73.740 | 55.801 | 57.715 | 55.639 | 50.000 | 49.203 | 48.660 | 54.823 | 49.347 |
| Hy GC | 46.043 | 38.398 | 53.086 | 51.128 | 53.321 | 50.000 | 50.268 | 51.608 | 51.007 |
| Hy GE | 26.260 | 44.199 | 42.285 | 27.442 | 56.643 | 50.432 | 51.072 | 46.785 | 49.970 |
| Hy GF | 42.087 | 50.000 | 46.914 | 44.361 | 50.001 | 50.338 | 59.916 | 48.392 | 49.524 |
| Hy GH | 65.827 | 55.801 | 53.086 | 53.384 | 63.285 | 50.027 | 51.072 | 51.608 | 48.998 |

Where: G (Giza); Hybrids (A, B, C, E, F and H); FD (fifth duration); LD (larval duration); MP (mortality percentage); CP (cocooning percentage); PR (pupation ratio); DCP (double cocooning percentage); C/L (number of cocoon per liter); crop/N (cocoon crop by number) and crop/w (cocoon crop by weight).

Table 4: Average of evaluation index for all characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Giza Governorate.

| Hybrid | Average of Evaluation Index |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| Hy GA | 48.072 |
| Hy GB | 47.359 |
| Hy GC | 47.935 |
| Hy GE | 45.951 |
| Hy GF | 46.477 |
| Hy GH | 49.687 |

Where: G (Giza); Hybrids (A, B, C, E, F and H).

there is no hybrid over 50 from all hybrids under this investigation. But hybrids of HyGH followed by HyGA, HyGB, and HyGC have the highest average evaluation index for all parameters under study.

The results in Table 5 summarized the evaluation index values of fourteen characters for six local hybrids of the silkworm, *B. mori* L. reared in the Daqhliya governorate. No hybrid has the best values of the evaluation index for all tested characters. Hybrids of HyDH and HyDE have better results for ten characters together. While HyDA has the best results for seven characters under the experiment.

Recorded data in Table 6 showed the average evaluation index of all characters in Daqhaliya governorate. The highest averages of the evaluation index were registered for the hybrid of HyDA, HyDE, HyDH, and HyDC. The results of Tables 3 and 5 reveal that there is not a single superior hybrid for all the studied

traits. Also, the evaluation index is differing with the location.

As mentioned in Tables 4 and 6 it is clear that the total averages of the evaluation index were changed according to the location of the same hybrid. But mostly the evaluation index differed along with the location of the hybrid. These results are coincidental this was found by Ghazy *et al.* [27] who found that local hybrids of Giza A, C, D, and F earned the best evaluation index values. Also, Ghazy [28] determined the superiority of eleven local hybrids for silkworm *B. mori*, there is no hybrid that has the best evaluation index values for all tested characters altogether. Evaluation index values resulted that seven hybrids being superior to fifteen tested hybrids [15]. The results offered by Fouad [23] estimated the regional effect and evaluation index function of three mulberry silkworms, *B. mori* hybrids in three different locations Giza, Qalubia, and Sohag Governorates. The results approved that, the evaluation index depends on the hybrids which represented the genetic factor, the locations that represented the environmental factors, and the interactions between genetics and environment. In similarity, Bajwa *et al.* [29] who estimated the heterosis of ten bivoltine hybrids and their parents by using eleven biological and quantitative traits using multiple evaluation index and cumulative sub-ordinate function. All hybrids produced the best evaluation index for filament length,

Table 5: Evaluation index values for fourteen characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Daqahliya Governorate.

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | CW (g) | | CSW(g) | | PW(g) | | CSR (%) | | SP (cg/day) | |
| | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ |
| Hy DA | 60.797 | 65.328 | 50.625 | 60.788 | 61.857 | 65.474 | 40.379 | 49.485 | 50.639 | 64.772 |
| Hy DB | 51.962 | 49.039 | 51.327 | 48.592 | 51.876 | 49.250 | 49.030 | 48.964 | 51.210 | 51.477 |
| Hy DC | 41.830 | 55.001 | 44.760 | 52.487 | 42.121 | 55.360 | 51.545 | 47.047 | 44.783 | 72.158 |
| Hy DE | 51.008 | 52.358 | 51.484 | 51.191 | 50.780 | 52.522 | 51.547 | 49.522 | 51.403 | 57.386 |
| Hy DF | 52.414 | 47.273 | 37.398 | 47.338 | 55.573 | 47.470 | 34.423 | 48.686 | 38.082 | 42.614 |
| Hy DH | 53.350 | 52.710 | 56.220 | 52.330 | 52.30 | 52.600 | 53.920 | 50.720 | 56.540 | 64.770 |

Where: G: Giza; Hybrids: (A,B,C,E,F and H); Cw: cocoon weigh; CSW: cocoon shell weight; CSR: cocoon shell ratio; SP: (silk productivity).

Table 5: Continued

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | FD | LD | MP | CP | PR | DCP | C/L | Crop/N | Crop/W |
| Hy DA | 35.228 | 61.262 | 47.746 | 45.794 | 50.000 | 49.905 | 49.587 | 44.655 | 62.498 |
| Hy DB | 48.523 | 44.369 | 38.730 | 56.309 | 45.029 | 50.545 | 53.163 | 52.673 | 52.194 |
| Hy DC | 27.842 | 72.524 | 54.508 | 47.897 | 40.057 | 50.000 | 56.311 | 47.327 | 46.594 |
| Hy DE | 42.614 | 44.369 | 45.492 | 52.103 | 67.897 | 50.000 | 56.559 | 52.673 | 53.566 |
| Hy DF | 57.386 | 44.369 | 54.508 | 71.031 | 44.034 | 52.641 | 52.475 | 41.982 | 44.446 |
| Hy DH | 35.230 | 44.370 | 45.490 | 52.103 | 51.491 | 50.310 | 49.130 | 52.670 | 55.380 |

Where: D (Daqahliya); Hybrids (A,B,C,E,F and H); FD (fifth duration); LD (larval duration); MP (mortality percentage); CP (cocooning percentage); PR (pupation ratio); DCP (double cocooning percentage); C/L (number of cocoon per liter); crop/N (cocoon crop by number) and crop/w (cocoon crop by weight).

Table 6: Average of evaluation index for all characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Daqahliya Governorate.

| Hybrid | Average of Evaluation Index |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| Hy DA | 53.517 |
| Hy DB | 49.698 |
| Hy DC | 50.008 |
| Hy DE | 51.814 |
| Hy DF | 48.113 |
| Hy DH | 51.665 |

Where: D (Daqahliya); Hybrids (A, B, C, E, F and H).

while seven hybrids earned a good evaluation index for fecundity, larval body weight, pupation rate, cocoon shell ratio, and cocoon yield. So, there is no hybrid better for all traits altogether. The results of the present study indicate that the evaluation index about each hybrid is a very important tool that explained the manifestation of hybrid vigour in respect of each of the traits independently and in conjugation with the other. This is in agreement with the observations of Gowda *et al.* [30] who evaluate 21 hybrids, six promising cross hybrids are promising, evaluation index values, and heterosis for the majority of the traits in three different seasons (climatic conditions). In similarity, ranking of the breeds based on dependent traits and multiple trait evaluation index system are found to be more useful in evaluating the performance of silkworms [31].

C. Subordinate Function Values of Six Local Hybrids of Silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Reared in Giza and Daqahliya Governorates: Data illustrated in Table 7 showed the subordinate function for fourteen characters of six silkworms, *B. mori* L. local hybrids reared in the Giza governorate. Results revealed that HyGA and HyGB have the best results for twelve characters while hybrid HyGF has better results for eleven characters only. The cumulative subordinate function of all parameters for six silkworm local hybrids reared in the Giza governorate are illustrated in Table 8 both hybrids of HyGA, HyGF, and HyGB have the highest cumulative subordinate function values.

The recorded data in Table 9 clarified the subordinate function values for fourteen characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Daqahliya Governorate indicating that, hybrids of HyDF followed by HyDC have best results for twelve and ten characters altogether respectively. Where HyDA and HyDH have the best data for eight characters.

Data that appeared in Table 10 illustrated cumulative subordinate function for all characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *B. mori* L. reared in the Daqahliya governorate it is obvious that the highest values were earned by HyDF followed by HyDH and HyDC. No single hybrid was better for all traits together. The best results of

Table 7: Subordinate function values for fourteen characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Giza Governorate.

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------------|-------|
| | CW (g) | | CSW(g) | | PW(g) | | CSR (%) | | SP (cg/day) | |
| | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ |
| Hy GA | 0.681 | 0.960 | 0.865 | 0.921 | 0.661 | 0.926 | 0.524 | 0.588 | 0.869 | 0.848 |
| Hy GB | 0.581 | 0.708 | 0.692 | 0.814 | 0.581 | 0.652 | 0.403 | 0.746 | 0.913 | 0.950 |
| Hy GC | 0.272 | 0.171 | 0.293 | 0.104 | 0.304 | 0.192 | 0.290 | 0.419 | 0.277 | 0.082 |
| Hy GE | 0.151 | 0.141 | 0.357 | 0.235 | 0.153 | 0.123 | 0.584 | 0.819 | 0.338 | 0.185 |
| Hy GF | 0.657 | 0.877 | 0.707 | 0.734 | 0.665 | 0.875 | 0.319 | 0.442 | 0.669 | 0.579 |
| Hy GH | 0.273 | 0.478 | 0.343 | 0.276 | 0.295 | 0.514 | 0.331 | 0.323 | 0.324 | 0.218 |

Where: G: Giza; Hybrids: (A, B, C, E, F and H); Cw: cocoon weigh; CSW: cocoon shell weight; CSR: cocoon shell ratio; SP: (silk productivity).

Table 7: Continued

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|-------------|------------|
| | FD (day) | LD (day) | MP (%) | CP (%) | PR (%) | DCP (%) | C/L (No) | Crop/N (No) | Crop/W (g) |
| | Hy GA | 0.818 | 0.761 | 0.172 | 0.533 | 1.000 | 0.769 | 0.819 | 0.261 |
| Hy GB | 0.000 | 0.522 | 0.517 | 0.778 | 1.000 | 0.687 | 0.529 | 0.739 | 0.709 |
| Hy GC | 0.635 | 0.239 | 0.483 | 0.578 | 0.583 | 1.000 | 0.051 | 0.348 | 0.231 |
| Hy GE | 0.270 | 0.522 | 0.517 | 0.444 | 0.833 | 0.743 | 0.065 | 0.087 | 0.056 |
| Hy GF | 0.270 | 0.642 | 0.690 | 0.889 | 1.000 | 0.092 | 0.659 | 0.957 | 0.915 |
| Hy GH | 1.000 | 0.881 | 0.931 | 0.733 | 0.667 | 0.033 | 0.428 | 0.652 | 0.453 |

Where: G (Giza); Hybrids (A, B, C, E, F and H); FD (fifth duration); LD (larval duration); MP (mortality percentage); CP (cocooning percentage); PR (pupation ratio); DCP (double cocooning percentage); C/L (number of cocoon per liter); crop/N (cocoon crop by number) and crop/w (cocoon crop by weight).

Table 8: Cumulative subordinate function for all characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Giza Governorate.

| Hybrid | Cumulative of Subordinate function |
|--------|------------------------------------|
| Hy GA | 13.599 |
| Hy GB | 12.521 |
| Hy GC | 6.552 |
| Hy GE | 6.623 |
| Hy GF | 12.638 |
| Hy GH | 9.153 |

Where: G: Giza; Hybrids: (A, B, C, E, F and H).

cumulative subordinate function were recorded for HyDF followed by HyDH, HyDC, HyDB, and HyDA, respectively.

From the results of Tables 7 and 9 it was noticed that there is no single hybrid was the best for all parameters together for both governorates. These results are in agreement with Ghazy [28] who estimated the subordinate function in twelve silkworm hybrids. Who stated that

seven hybrids acquired the best data of subordinate function values.

Registered data in Tables 8 and 10 showed the cumulative subordinate function for six hybrids in the two governorates. It is clear that the same hybrid has different values in different governorates. These results are in good agreement with studies by Fouad [32] which have evaluated five local and two imported hybrids. Hybrid Hy A registered the highest value of the subordinate function for most traits. Highest cumulative of subordinate function registered for G₂, Hy A, G₁, and Hy B hybrids.

D. Ranking of Local Cross Hybrids of Silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Reared in Giza Governorate: The ranking of local cross silkworm hybrids averages of evaluation index and cumulative subordinate function reared in Giza and Daqahliya Governorates was founded in Tables 11 and 12

Table 9: Subordinate function values for fourteen characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Daqahliya Governorates.

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------------|-------|
| | CW (g) | | CSW(g) | | PW(g) | | CSR (%) | | SP (cg/day) | |
| | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ | ♀ | ♂ |
| Hy DA | 0.272 | 0.344 | 0.062 | 0.336 | 0.295 | 0.327 | 0.481 | 0.425 | 0.234 | 0.458 |
| Hy DB | 0.473 | 0.565 | 0.370 | 0.600 | 0.461 | 0.522 | 0.518 | 0.606 | 0.216 | 0.645 |
| Hy DC | 0.499 | 0.566 | 0.146 | 0.389 | 0.529 | 0.588 | 0.206 | 0.146 | 0.246 | 0.500 |
| Hy DE | 0.650 | 0.752 | 0.366 | 0.605 | 0.656 | 0.755 | 0.232 | 0.251 | 0.315 | 0.583 |
| Hy DF | 0.655 | 0.744 | 0.811 | 0.936 | 0.582 | 0.642 | 0.775 | 0.964 | 0.738 | 0.583 |
| Hy DH | 0.932 | 0.944 | 0.552 | 0.768 | 0.933 | 0.944 | 0.135 | 0.333 | 0.830 | 0.417 |

Where: D (Daqahliya); Hybrids (A, B, C, E, F and H); Cw: cocoon weigh; CSW: cocoon shell weight; CSR: cocoon shell ratio; SP: (silk productivity).

Table 9: Continued

| Hybrid | Character | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|-------------|------------|
| | FD (day) | LD (day) | MP (%) | CP (%) | PR (%) | DCP (%) | C/L (No) | Crop/N (No) | Crop/W (g) |
| Hy DA | 0.542 | 0.500 | 0.941 | 0.545 | 0.571 | 0.816 | 0.941 | 0.800 | 0.206 |
| Hy DB | 0.355 | 0.204 | 0.706 | 0.455 | 0.798 | 0.431 | 0.785 | 0.667 | 0.429 |
| Hy DC | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.804 | 0.545 | 0.810 | 1.000 | 0.609 | 0.800 | 0.529 |
| Hy DE | 0.417 | 0.298 | 0.608 | 0.045 | 0.357 | 1.000 | 0.135 | 0.067 | 0.294 |
| Hy DF | 0.417 | 0.375 | 0.824 | 0.545 | 0.929 | 0.100 | 0.646 | 0.800 | 0.765 |
| Hy DH | 0.583 | 0.646 | 0.118 | 0.318 | 0.964 | 0.057 | 0.529 | 0.467 | 0.869 |

Where: D (Daqahliya); Hybrids (A, B, C, E, F and H); FD (fifth duration); LD (larval duration); MP (mortality percentage); CP (cocooning percentage); PR (pupation ratio); DCP (double cocooning percentage); C/L (number of cocoon per liter); crop/N (cocoon crop by number) and crop/w (cocoon crop by weight).

Table 10: Cumulative subordinate function for all characters of six local hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Daqahliya Governorate.

| Hybrid | Cumulative of Subordinate function |
|--------|------------------------------------|
| Hy DA | 9.096 |
| Hy DB | 9.806 |
| Hy DC | 9.912 |
| Hy DE | 8.386 |
| Hy DF | 12.831 |
| Hy DH | 11.339 |

Where: D (Daqahliya); Hybrids (A, B, C, E, F and H).

Table 11: Ranking of local cross hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Giza governorate.

| Hybrid | Average of Evaluation Index | Serial number of Evaluation Index | Serial Number of Subordinate Function | Cumulative of Subordinate function |
|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Hy GA | 48.072 | 2 | 1 | 13.599 |
| Hy GB | 46.477 | 5 | 3 | 12.521 |
| Hy GC | 47.359 | 4 | 6 | 6.552 |
| Hy GE | 49.687 | 1 | 5 | 6.623 |
| Hy GF | 45.951 | 6 | 2 | 12.638 |
| Hy GH | 47.935 | 3 | 4 | 9.153 |

Table 12: Ranking of local cross hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. reared in Daqahliya governorate.

| Hybrid | Average of Evaluation Index | Serial number of Evaluation Index | Serial Number of Subordinate Function | Cumulative of Subordinate function |
|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Hy DA | 48.113 | 6 | 5 | 9.096 |
| Hy DB | 51.665 | 3 | 4 | 9.806 |
| Hy DC | 50.008 | 4 | 3 | 9.912 |
| Hy DE | 49.698 | 5 | 6 | 8.386 |
| Hy DF | 53.517 | 1 | 1 | 12.831 |
| Hy DH | 51.814 | 2 | 2 | 11.339 |

it was explained that hybrids reared in Giza governorate HyGH and HyGA have the nearest order. While in Daqahliya Governorate, HyDH and HyDF have the same order of evaluation index and subordinate function while the rest hybrids have the nearest orders. A similar approach is used for thirty new hybrid combinations and assessed by adopting evaluation index and subordinate function methods, five hybrid combinations would regard as promising and chosen for further laboratory evaluation [33]. Thirty-one lines were reared in different seasons to

be evaluated by using the evaluation index and subordinate function methods. Ten top-ranked lines were ascertained as potential parental strains [34].

CONCLUSION

This experiment was carried out because it was very necessary to produce new lines of silkworms that are resistant to our climate/location and adapt to the natural conditions with high productivity to reduce dependence on imports and provide hard currency. Six single hybrids are reared in Giza and Daqahliya governorates to evaluate. Data explain that there is not a single superior hybrid for all the traits studied. The performance of the same hybrids differed according to the governorate. Also, the evaluation index and subordinate function values differ with location. The previous results suggest that before using the silkworm hybrids in any governorate, it must be evaluated to determine the best hybrid for each location.

REFERENCES

1. Ruiz, X., and M. Almanza, 2018. Implications of genetic diversity in the improvement of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Chil. J. agric. Res., 78: 569-579.
2. Sahan, U. 2020. Identifying parents and generating hybrids with high combining ability for yielding fresh cocoon and raw silk in silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Rev. Bras. Zootec., 49: e20180278.
3. Kang, P. D., B. H. Sohn, S. U. Lee, M. J. Kim, I. Y. Jung, Y. S., Y. D. Kim and H. S. Lee, 2004. Breeding of a new silkworm variety, Kumhwangiam, with a sex-limited cocoon color for spring rearing season. Int. J. Ind. Ent., 9: 89-93.
4. Ahmed, M. N., N. B. Kumar, Shivkumar, M. K. Ghosh, 2018. Evaluation of genetic potential of selected genotypes of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. under temperate climatic conditions. Journal of Crop and Weed, 14(3): 88-93.

5. Lerner, Q. I. M., 1954. Genetic homeostasis. Oliver and Boyd. Edinburgh and London, U. K. VII, 13A.
6. Ueda, S. and H. Lizuka, 1962. Studies on the effect of rearing temperature affecting the health of the silkworm larvae and upon the quality of cocoons. I. Effect of temperature in each instar. Acta Sericologia Jpn., 41: 6-21.
7. Benchamin, K. V. and M. S. Jolly, 1986. Principles of silkworm rearing, in Proceedings of Seminar on Problems and Prospects of Sericulture, S. Mahalingam, Ed., Vellore, India, pp: 63-106.
8. Jumagulov, K., N. Rajabov, K.H. Rakhmanova, O. Karimov, Z. Sharapova, S. H. Khalikova, 2021. Influence of external environmental factors on the productivity of mulberry silkworm *Bombyx mori* L., in different sizes of silk breeding organizations. E3S Web of Conferences, 258, 04047: 1-8.
9. Singh, H. and N.S. Kumar, 2010. On the breeding of dumbbell bivoltine silkworm breeds of *Bombyx mori* L. tolerant to high temperature and high humidity conditions of the tropics. Int. J. Indust. Entomol., 20(2): 45-59.
10. Rahmathulla, V.K., 2012. Management of climatic factors for successful silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Crop and Higher Silk Production. Psyche, ID 121234: 1-12.
11. Ghazy, U.M.M., 2005. Hybridization of some local entries of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Ph.D., Economic Entomology (Sericulture), Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt.
12. Ghazy, U.M.M., 1999. Isolation and evaluation of pure lines of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. M.Sc., Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt.
13. Mahmoud, S.M. and U.M.M. Ghazy, 2005. Performance of two hybrids of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. in different location. Egypt J. Agric. Res., 83(3): 1039-1041.
14. Ghazy, U.M.M. and M.M. Mahmoud, 2013a. Screening of hybrid vigour of some local hybrids of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. under Alexandria governorate conditions. Bulletin of the Entomological Society of Egypt, 90: 209-220.
15. Ghazy, U.M.M., T.A. Fouad and K. Haggag, 2017. New double hybrids of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. to be suitable for changed caused in Egyptian climate. Intern. J. of Appl. Res., 3(11): 09-17.
16. Eid, M.A.A., S.A.S. El-Maasarawy, S.M. Abd El-Naby, and T.A. Fouad, 2007. Effect of different methods of artificial hatching on the silkworm L. productivity. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32(9): 7749-7755.
17. Ghazy, U.M.M., 2008. Rearing first three instars of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L., under polythene cover. Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt, 85: 271-279.
18. Hosny, A., A.H. Megalla, S.M. Mahmoud, 2002. Evaluation of some economic parameters of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L., by using some disinfectants during the larval stage. 2nd international conference 21-24 December, Plant Protection Research Institute, Cairo, Egypt, 1: 217-220.
19. Lea, H.Z., 1996. Basic Principles and Practical Techniques of Silkworm Breeding. Department of Biology, Kangwon National Univ., Chun Chon, Korea.
20. Ghazy, U.M.M., 2014a. Modifications of evaluation index and subordinate function formulae to determine superiority of mulberry silkworm crosses. J. Basic Appl. Zool., 67: 1-9.
21. Ghazy, U.M.M., 2007. Evaluation of some double cross hybrids of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Bulletin of the Entomological Society of Egypt, 84: 111-119.
22. Ghazy, U.M.M. and M.M. Mahmoud, 2013b. Evaluation of the performance of thirteen single local hybrids of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. in different locations. Bulletin of the Entomological Society of Egypt, Economic Series, 39: 181-191.
23. Fouad, T.A. 2020a. Estimation of regional effect, evaluation index and subordinate function of mulberry silkworm in some Egyptian governorates. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences, (A. Entomology), 13(4): 107-121.
24. Allard, R.B. and A.O. Bradshaw, 1964. Implication of genotype environment interaction in applied plant breeding. Crop. Sci., 4: 503-508.
25. Zhao, Y., K. Chen, and S. He, 2007. Key principles for breeding spring-and-autumn using silkworm varieties from our experience of breeding 873x874. Casp. J. Environ. Sci., 5: 57-61.
26. Hematabadi, R.N., A.R. Seidavi, S. Gharahveysi, 2016. A review on correlation, heritability and selection in silkworm breeding. J. Appl. Anim. Res., 44: 9-23.
27. Ghazy, U.M.M., I.A. Saad and T.A. Fouad, 2009. Comparison between some local and imported Bulgarian hybrids of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Bulletin of the Entomological Society of Egypt, 86: 101-107.

28. Ghazy, U.M.M. 2014b. Promising crosses of some local mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. double hybrids. Bulletin of the Entomological Society of Egypt, Economic Series, 40: 121-134.
29. Bajwa, G.A., Z. Rizwan, and M.A. Majeed, 2022. Identifying hybrid genotypes of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* using heterosis for biological and silk yielding traits. Pak. J. Zool., pp: 1-7.
30. Gowda, V., G. V. Kalpana, and S. K. Ashwath, 2013. Evaluation and identification of potential bivoltine silkworm hybrids of *Bombyx mori* L. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 1(6): 39-43.
31. Nirmal Kumar, S. and G. Sreerama Reedy, 1998. Evaluation and selection of potential parents for silkworm breeding. In Silkworm Breeding. Ed. G. Sreerama Reedy, Oxford & IBH publishing, pp: 63-78.
32. Fouad, T.A., 2020b. Heterosis evaluation of some local and imported hybrids of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences, (A. Entomology), 13(2): 77-95.
33. Seshagiri, S.V. and P.J. Raju, 2016. Development and evaluation of silkworm hybrids (Poly x bivoltine) of *Bombyx mori* L. for commercial exploitation. International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Applied Science, 2(2): 365-380.
34. Rao, C.G.P., S.V. Seshagiri, C. Ramesh, K. Ibrahim Basha, H. Nagaraju and Chandrashekaraiyah, 2005. Evaluation of genetic potential of the polyvoltine silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. germplasm and identification of parents for breeding programme. Journal of Zhejiang University Science, B: 215-220.